CONTROL-ORIENTED MODEL REDUCTION FOR PARABOLIC CONTROL SYSTEMS

Peter Benner

Professur Mathematik in Industrie und Technik Fakultät für Mathematik Technische Universität Chemnitz







<ロト (四) (三) (三) (三)

31st Conference of the Dutch-Flemish Numerical Analysis Communities Woudschoten, October 13, 2006



Overview

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

1 Distributed Parameter Systems

- Parabolic Systems
- Infinite-Dimensional Systems

2 Model Reduction Based on Balancing

- Motivation
- Balanced Truncation
- LQG Balanced Truncation
- Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

3 Solving Large-Scale Matrix Equations

- ADI Method for Lyapunov Equations
- Newton's Method for AREs
- 4 LQR Problem
- 5 Numerical Results
 - Performance of Matrix Equation Solvers

- Model Reduction Performance
- Reconstruction of the State
- 6 Conclusions and Open Problems



Distributed Parameter Systems

Parabolic PDEs as infinite-dimensional systems

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Parabolic Systems Infinite-Dimensional Systems

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Given Hilbert spaces

- \mathcal{X} state space,
- $\ensuremath{\mathcal{U}}$ control space,
- \mathcal{Y} output space,

and operators

$$\begin{split} \textbf{A}: & \text{dom}(\textbf{A}) \subset \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}, \\ \textbf{B}: & \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{X}, \\ \textbf{C}: & \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}. \end{split}$$

Linear Distributed Parameter System (DPS)

$$\Sigma: \left\{ \begin{array}{rll} \dot{\mathbf{x}} &=& \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}, \\ \mathbf{y} &=& \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}, \end{array} \right. \qquad \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}} \in \mathcal{X},$$

i.e., abstract evolution equation together with observation equation.

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



Distributed Parameter Systems

Parabolic PDEs as infinite-dimensional systems

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Parabolic Systems Infinite-Dimensional Systems

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Given Hilbert spaces

- \mathcal{X} state space,
- $\ensuremath{\mathcal{U}}$ control space,
- \mathcal{Y} output space,

and operators

$$\begin{split} \textbf{A}: & \text{dom}(\textbf{A}) \subset \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}, \\ \textbf{B}: & \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{X}, \\ \textbf{C}: & \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}. \end{split}$$

Linear Distributed Parameter System (DPS)

$$\Sigma: \left\{ \begin{array}{rll} \dot{\mathbf{x}} &=& \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}, \\ \mathbf{y} &=& \mathbf{C}\mathbf{x}, \end{array} \right. \qquad \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{0}} \in \mathcal{X},$$

i.e., abstract evolution equation together with observation equation.



Parabolic Systems

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Parabolic Systems

Infinite-Dimensiona Systems

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems The state $x = x(t, \xi)$ is a weak solution of a parabolic PDE with $(t, \xi) \in [0, T] \times \Omega, \ \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\partial_t x - \nabla(a(\xi).\nabla x) + b(\xi).\nabla x + c(\xi)x = B_{pc}(\xi)u(t), \quad \xi \in \Omega, \ t > 0$$

with initial and boundary conditions

$\alpha(\xi)x + \beta(\xi)\partial_{\eta}x$	=	$B_{bc}(\xi)u(t),$	$\xi \in \partial \Omega,$	$t \in [0, T]$
$x(0,\xi)$	=	$x_0(\xi) \in \mathcal{X},$	$\xi \in \Omega$,	
y(t)	=	$C(\xi)x,$	$\xi\in\Omega,$	$t \in [0, T].$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ● ● ● ● ● ●

■ $B_{pc} = 0 \implies$ boundary control problem ■ $B_{bc} = 0 \implies$ point control problem



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Parabolic Systems

Infinite-Dimensional Systems

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Assume

• A generates C_0 -semigroup T(t) on \mathcal{X} ,

- (**A**, **B**) is exponentially stabilizable, i.e., there exists **F** : dom(**A**) $\mapsto U$ such that **A** + **BF** generates an exponentially stable C_0 -semigroup **S**(**t**);
- (A, C) is exponentially detectable, i.e., (A*, C*) is exponentially stabilizable;
- **B**, **C** are finite-rank and bounded, e.g., $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}^p$. Then the system $\Sigma(A, B, C)$ has a transfer function

$$\mathsf{G} = \mathsf{C}(s\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{A})^{-1}\mathsf{B} \in L_\infty.$$

If, in addition, ${\bf A}$ is exponentially stable, ${\bf G}$ is in the Hardy space ${\cal H}_\infty.$

Weaker assumptions:

 $\Sigma(\textbf{A},\textbf{B},\textbf{C})$ is Pritchard-Salomon system, allows for certain unboundedness of B,C.



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Parabolic Systems

Infinite-Dimensional Systems

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Assume

- A generates C_0 -semigroup T(t) on \mathcal{X} ,
- (A, B) is exponentially stabilizable, i.e., there exists
 - $$\label{eq:F} \begin{split} \textbf{F}: \mathsf{dom}(\textbf{A}) \mapsto \mathcal{U} \text{ such that } \textbf{A} + \textbf{BF} \text{ generates an exponentially} \\ \mathsf{stable} \ \mathcal{C}_0\text{-semigroup } \textbf{S}(\textbf{t}); \end{split}$$
- (A, C) is exponentially detectable, i.e., (A*, C*) is exponentially stabilizable;

B, **C** are finite-rank and bounded, e.g., $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}^p$. Then the system $\Sigma(A, B, C)$ has a transfer function

$$\mathsf{G} = \mathsf{C}(s\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{A})^{-1}\mathsf{B} \in L_\infty.$$

If, in addition, \boldsymbol{A} is exponentially stable, \boldsymbol{G} is in the Hardy space $H_{\infty}.$

Weaker assumptions:

 $\Sigma(\textbf{A},\textbf{B},\textbf{C})$ is Pritchard-Salomon system, allows for certain unboundedness of B,C.



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Parabolic Systems

Infinite-Dimensional Systems

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Assume

- A generates C_0 -semigroup T(t) on \mathcal{X} ,
- \blacksquare (A, B) is exponentially stabilizable, i.e., there exists
 - $\label{eq:F} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{F}: \mathsf{dom}(\textbf{A}) \mapsto \mathcal{U} \text{ such that } \textbf{A} + \textbf{BF} \text{ generates an exponentially} \\ \text{stable } \mathcal{C}_0\text{-semigroup } \textbf{S}(\textbf{t}); \end{array}$
- (**A**, **C**) is exponentially detectable, i.e., (**A***, **C***) is exponentially stabilizable;

B, **C** are finite-rank and bounded, e.g., $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}^p$. Then the system $\Sigma(A, B, C)$ has a transfer function

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{C}(s\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{B} \in L_{\infty}.$$

If, in addition, ${\bf A}$ is exponentially stable, ${\bf G}$ is in the Hardy space ${\cal H}_\infty.$

Weaker assumptions:

 $\Sigma(\textbf{A},\textbf{B},\textbf{C})$ is Pritchard-Salomon system, allows for certain unboundedness of B,C.



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Parabolic Systems

Infinite-Dimensional Systems

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Assume

- A generates C_0 -semigroup T(t) on \mathcal{X} ,
- \blacksquare (A, B) is exponentially stabilizable, i.e., there exists
 - $F : dom(A) \mapsto U$ such that A + BF generates an exponentially stable C_0 -semigroup S(t);
- (A, C) is exponentially detectable, i.e., (A*, C*) is exponentially stabilizable;
- **B**, **C** are finite-rank and bounded, e.g., $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}^p$.

Then the system $\Sigma(A, B, C)$ has a transfer function

$$\mathsf{G} = \mathsf{C}(s\mathsf{I} - \mathsf{A})^{-1}\mathsf{B} \in L_\infty.$$

If, in addition, ${\bf A}$ is exponentially stable, ${\bf G}$ is in the Hardy space ${\cal H}_\infty.$

Weaker assumptions:

 $\Sigma(\textbf{A},\textbf{B},\textbf{C})$ is Pritchard-Salomon system, allows for certain unboundedness of B,C.



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Parabolic Systems

Infinite-Dimensional Systems

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Assume

- A generates C_0 -semigroup T(t) on \mathcal{X} ,
- (A, B) is exponentially stabilizable, i.e., there exists
 - $F : dom(A) \mapsto U$ such that A + BF generates an exponentially stable C_0 -semigroup S(t);
- (A, C) is exponentially detectable, i.e., (A*, C*) is exponentially stabilizable;
- **B**, **C** are finite-rank and bounded, e.g., $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}^p$.

Then the system $\Sigma(A, B, C)$ has a transfer function

$$\mathsf{G}=\mathsf{C}(s\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{A})^{-1}\mathsf{B}\in L_\infty.$$

If, in addition, **A** is exponentially stable, **G** is in the Hardy space H_{∞} .

Weaker assumptions:

 $\Sigma(\textbf{A},\textbf{B},\textbf{C})$ is Pritchard-Salomon system, allows for certain unboundedness of B,C.



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Parabolic Systems

Infinite-Dimensional Systems

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Assume

- A generates C_0 -semigroup T(t) on \mathcal{X} ,
- (A, B) is exponentially stabilizable, i.e., there exists
 - $F : dom(A) \mapsto U$ such that A + BF generates an exponentially stable C_0 -semigroup S(t);
- (A, C) is exponentially detectable, i.e., (A*, C*) is exponentially stabilizable;
- **B**, **C** are finite-rank and bounded, e.g., $\mathcal{U} = \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}^p$.

Then the system $\Sigma(A, B, C)$ has a transfer function

$$\mathsf{G}=\mathsf{C}(s\mathsf{I}-\mathsf{A})^{-1}\mathsf{B}\in L_\infty.$$

If, in addition, **A** is exponentially stable, **G** is in the Hardy space H_{∞} .

Weaker assumptions:

 $\Sigma(\textbf{A},\textbf{B},\textbf{C})$ is Pritchard-Salomon system, allows for certain unboundedness of B,C.



(Exponentially) Stable Systems

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Parabolic Systems

Infinite-Dimensional Systems

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

G is the Laplace transform of

$$\mathbf{h}(t) := \mathbf{C} T(t) \mathbf{B}$$

and symbol of the Hankel operator \mathbf{H} : $L_2(0,\infty;\mathbb{R}^m)\mapsto L_2(0,\infty;\mathbb{R}^p)$,

$$(\mathsf{Hu})(t) := \int_0^\infty \mathsf{h}(t+\tau) u(\tau) \, d\tau.$$

H is compact with countable many singular values σ_j , $j = 1, ..., \infty$, called the Hankel singular values (HSVs) of **G**. Moreover,



HSVs are system invariants, used for approximation similar to truncated SVD. The 2-induced operator norm is the H_{∞} norm; here,

$$\|\mathbf{G}\|_{H_{\infty}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j.$$



(Exponentially) Stable Systems

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Parabolic Systems

Infinite-Dimensional Systems

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems **G** is the Laplace transform of

$$\mathbf{h}(t) := \mathbf{C} T(t) \mathbf{B}$$

and symbol of the Hankel operator \mathbf{H} : $L_2(0,\infty;\mathbb{R}^m)\mapsto L_2(0,\infty;\mathbb{R}^p)$,

$$(\mathbf{Hu})(t) := \int_0^\infty \mathbf{h}(t+\tau) u(\tau) \, d\tau.$$

H is compact with countable many singular values σ_j , $j = 1, ..., \infty$, called the Hankel singular values (HSVs) of **G**. Moreover,



HSVs are system invariants, used for approximation similar to truncated SVD. The 2-induced operator norm is the H_{∞} norm; here,

$$\|\mathbf{G}\|_{H_{\infty}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j.$$



(Exponentially) Stable Systems

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Parabolic Systems

Infinite-Dimensional Systems

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems **G** is the Laplace transform of

$$\mathbf{h}(t) := \mathbf{C} T(t) \mathbf{B}$$

and symbol of the Hankel operator \mathbf{H} : $L_2(0,\infty;\mathbb{R}^m)\mapsto L_2(0,\infty;\mathbb{R}^p)$,

$$(\mathbf{Hu})(t) := \int_0^\infty \mathbf{h}(t+\tau) u(\tau) \, d\tau.$$

H is compact with countable many singular values σ_j , $j = 1, ..., \infty$, called the Hankel singular values (HSVs) of **G**. Moreover,

$$\sum\nolimits_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j < \infty.$$

HSVs are system invariants, used for approximation similar to truncated SVD. The 2-induced operator norm is the H_{∞} norm; here,

$$\|\mathbf{G}\|_{H_{\infty}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j.$$



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Motivation

Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matri> Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Designing a controller for parabolic control systems requires semi-discretization in space, control design for *n*-dim. system.

Feedback Controllers

A feedback controller (dynamic compensator) is a linear system of order N, where

- input = output of plant,
- output = input of plant.

Modern (LQG- $/\mathcal{H}_{2}$ - $/\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$ -) control design: $N \ge n$



- 日本 不得 とうほう 不良 とうほう

Real-time control is only possible with controllers of low complexity. \rightsquigarrow Modern feedback control for parabolic systems w/o model reduction impossible due to large scale of discretized systems.



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Motivation

Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matri> Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Designing a controller for parabolic control systems requires semi-discretization in space, control design for *n*-dim. system.

Feedback Controllers

A feedback controller (dynamic compensator) is a linear system of order N, where

- input = output of plant,
- output = input of plant.

Modern (LQG- $/\mathcal{H}_2$ - $/\mathcal{H}_\infty$ -) control design: $N \ge n$



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ● ● ● ● ● ●

Real-time control is only possible with controllers of low complexity. \rightsquigarrow Modern feedback control for parabolic systems w/o model reduction impossible due to large scale of discretized systems.



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Motivation

Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matri: Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Designing a controller for parabolic control systems requires semi-discretization in space, control design for *n*-dim. system.

Feedback Controllers

A feedback controller (dynamic compensator) is a linear system of order N, where

- input = output of plant,
- output = input of plant.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Modern} \ (\text{LQG-}/\mathcal{H}_{2}\text{-}/\mathcal{H}_{\infty}\text{-}) \ \text{control} \\ \text{design:} \ \textit{\textit{N}} \geq \textit{\textit{n}} \end{array}$



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ● ● ● ● ● ●

Real-time control is only possible with controllers of low complexity. \rightsquigarrow Modern feedback control for parabolic systems w/o model reduction impossible due to large scale of discretized systems.



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Motivation

Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Designing a controller for parabolic control systems requires semi-discretization in space, control design for *n*-dim. system.

Feedback Controllers

A feedback controller (dynamic compensator) is a linear system of order N, where

- input = output of plant,
- output = input of plant.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Modern} \ (\text{LQG-}/\mathcal{H}_{2}\text{-}/\mathcal{H}_{\infty}\text{-}) \ \text{control} \\ \text{design:} \ N \geq n \end{array}$



▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ● ● ● ● ● ●

Real-time control is only possible with controllers of low complexity.

 \rightsquigarrow Modern feedback control for parabolic systems w/o model reduction impossible due to large scale of discretized systems.



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Motivation

Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Designing a controller for parabolic control systems requires semi-discretization in space, control design for *n*-dim. system.

Feedback Controllers

A feedback controller (dynamic compensator) is a linear system of order N, where

- input = output of plant,
- output = input of plant.

 $\begin{array}{l} {\sf Modern} \ ({\sf LQG}{\text{-}}/{\mathcal H}_2{\text{-}}/{\mathcal H}_\infty{\text{-}}) \ {\sf control} \\ {\sf design:} \ N \geq n \end{array}$



Real-time control is only possible with controllers of low complexity. → Modern feedback control for parabolic systems w/o model reduction impossible due to large scale of discretized systems.



Balanced Truncation

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Motivation Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation o Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Definition: [CURTAIN/GLOVER/(PARTINGTON) 1986,1988]

For $\mathbf{G} \in H_{\infty}$, $\Sigma(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})$ is a balanced realization of \mathbf{G} if the controllability and observability Gramians, given by the unique self-adjoint positive semidefinite solutions of the Lyapunov equations

satisfy $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q} = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_j) =: \mathbf{\Sigma}$.



Balanced Truncation Model reduction by truncation

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Motivation Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matri> Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Abstract balanced truncation [GLOVER/CURTAIN/PARTINGTON 1988]

Given balanced realization with

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q} = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_j) = \mathbf{\Sigma},$$

choose r with $\sigma_r > \sigma_{r+1}$ and partition $\Sigma(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})$ according to

$$\mathbf{P}_r = \mathbf{Q}_r = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r),$$

so that

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_r & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_r \\ * \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_r & * \end{bmatrix},$$

then the reduced-order model is the stable system $\Sigma_r(\mathbf{A}_r, \mathbf{B}_r, \mathbf{C}_r)$ with transfer function \mathbf{G}_r satisfying

$$\|\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{G}_r\|_{H_{\infty}} \leq 2\sum_{j=r+1}^{\infty} \sigma_j.$$



LQG Balanced Truncation

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reduction Based on Balancing Motivation

Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation

Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Balanced truncation only applicable for *stable* systems. Now: unstable systems

Definition: [CURTAIN 2003]

For $\mathbf{G} \in L_{\infty}$, $\Sigma(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})$ is an LQG-balanced realization of \mathbf{G} if the unique self-adjoint, positive semidefinite, stabilizing solutions of the operator Riccati equations

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{APz} + \mathsf{PA}^*z - \mathsf{PC}^*\mathsf{CPz} + \mathsf{BB}^*z &= 0 \quad \text{for } z \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathsf{A}^*) \\ &\mathsf{A}^*\mathsf{Qz} + \mathsf{QAz} - \mathsf{QBB}^*\mathsf{Qz} + \mathsf{C}^*\mathsf{Cz} &= 0 \quad \text{for } z \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathsf{A}) \end{aligned}$$

are bounded and satisfy $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q} = \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_j) =: \mathbf{\Gamma}$. (P stabilizing $\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{PC}^*\mathbf{C}$ generates exponentially stable C_0 -semigroup.)



LQG Balanced Truncation

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reduction Based on Balancing Motivation

Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation

Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Balanced truncation only applicable for *stable* systems. Now: unstable systems

Definition: [CURTAIN 2003].

For $\mathbf{G} \in L_{\infty}$, $\Sigma(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})$ is an LQG-balanced realization of \mathbf{G} if the unique self-adjoint, positive semidefinite, stabilizing solutions of the operator Riccati equations

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{APz} + \mathsf{PA}^*z - \mathsf{PC}^*\mathsf{CPz} + \mathsf{BB}^*z &= 0 & \mbox{for } z \in \mathrm{dom}(\mathsf{A}^*) \\ \mathsf{A}^*\mathsf{Qz} + \mathsf{QAz} - \mathsf{QBB}^*\mathsf{Qz} + \mathsf{C}^*\mathsf{Cz} &= 0 & \mbox{for } z \in \mathrm{dom}(\mathsf{A}) \end{array}$$

are bounded and satisfy $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q} = \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_j) =: \mathbf{\Gamma}$. (P stabilizing $\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{PC}^*\mathbf{C}$ generates exponentially stable C_0 -semigroup.)



LQG Balanced Truncation

Model reduction by truncation

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Motivation Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced

Truncation

Computation o Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Abstract LQG Balanced Truncation [CURTAIN 2003]

Given balanced realization with

$$\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{Q} = \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_j) = \mathbf{\Gamma},$$

hoose r with $\gamma_r > \gamma_{r+1}$ and partition $\Sigma(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})$ according to
 $\mathbf{P}_r = \mathbf{Q}_r = \operatorname{diag}(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r),$

so that

С

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_r & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B}_r \\ * \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}_r & * \end{bmatrix},$$

then the reduced-order model is the LQG balanced system $\Sigma_r(\mathbf{A}_r, \mathbf{B}_r, \mathbf{C}_r)$ with transfer function \mathbf{G}_r satisfying

"
$$\|\mathbf{G}-\mathbf{G}_r\|_{L_\infty}$$
" $\leq 2\sum_{j=r+1}^\infty rac{\gamma_j}{\sqrt{1+\gamma_j^2}}.$

ヘロト 人間ト 人注ト 人注ト

-



Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reduction Based on Balancing Motivation Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation

Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Spatial discretization (FEM, FDM) \rightsquigarrow finite-dimensional system on $\mathcal{X}_n \subset \mathcal{X}$ with dim $\mathcal{X}_n = n$:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \quad x(0) = x_0,$$

$$y = Cx,$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, with corresponding algebraic Lyapunov equations

 $AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0, \qquad A^TQ + QA + C^TC = 0,$

algebraic Riccati equations (AREs)

 $0 = \mathcal{R}_f(P) := AP + PA^T - PC^T CP + BB^T,$ $0 = \mathcal{R}_c(Q) := A^T Q + QA - QBB^T Q + C^T C.$



Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reductio Based on Balancing Motivation Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation

Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matri> Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Spatial discretization (FEM, FDM) \rightsquigarrow finite-dimensional system on $\mathcal{X}_n \subset \mathcal{X}$ with dim $\mathcal{X}_n = n$:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \quad x(0) = x_0, y = Cx,$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, with corresponding algebraic Lyapunov equations

$$AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0, \qquad A^TQ + QA + C^TC = 0,$$

algebraic Riccati equations (AREs)

 $0 = \mathcal{R}_f(P) := AP + PA^T - PC^T CP + BB^T,$ $0 = \mathcal{R}_c(Q) := A^T Q + QA - QBB^T Q + C^T C.$



Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reductio Based on Balancing Motivation Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation

Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matri> Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Spatial discretization (FEM, FDM) \rightsquigarrow finite-dimensional system on $\mathcal{X}_n \subset \mathcal{X}$ with dim $\mathcal{X}_n = n$:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \quad x(0) = x_0,$$

 $y = Cx,$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, with corresponding algebraic Lyapunov equations

$$AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0, \qquad A^TQ + QA + C^TC = 0,$$

algebraic Riccati equations (AREs)

$$0 = \mathcal{R}_f(P) := AP + PA^T - PC^T CP + BB^T,$$

$$0 = \mathcal{R}_c(Q) := A^T Q + QA - QBB^T Q + C^T C.$$

- 日本 不得 とうほう 不良 とうほう



Convergence of Gramians

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reductio Based on Balancing Motivation Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation

Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Theorem [CURTAIN 2003]

Under given assumptions for $\Sigma(A, B, C)$, the solutions of the algebraic Lyapunov equations on \mathcal{X}_n converge in the nuclear norm to the solutions of the corresponding operator equations and the transfer functions converge in the gap topology if the *n*-dimensional approximations satisfy the assumptions:

■ \exists orthogonal projector $\Pi_n : \mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{X}_n$ such that

$$\Pi_n \mathbf{z} \to \mathbf{z} \ (n \to \infty) \quad \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{X}, \quad B = \Pi_n \mathbf{B}, \qquad C = \mathbf{C}|_{\mathcal{X}_n}.$$

For all
$$\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{X}$$
 and $n \to \infty$,

$$e^{At}\Pi_n \mathbf{z} \to T(t)\mathbf{z}, \qquad (e^{At})^*\Pi_n \mathbf{z} \to T(t)^*\mathbf{z},$$

A D > A P > A D > A D >

3

uniformly in t on bounded intervals.

• A is uniformly exponentially stable.



Convergence of Gramians

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reductio Based on Balancing Motivation Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation

Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Theorem [CURTAIN 2003]

Under given assumptions for $\Sigma(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C})$, the stabilizing solutions of the algebraic Riccati equations on \mathcal{X}_n converge in the nuclear norm to the solutions of the corresponding operator equations and the transfer functions converge in the gap topology if the *n*-dimensional approximations satisfy the assumptions:

■ \exists orthogonal projector $\Pi_n : \mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{X}_n$ such that

$$\Pi_n \mathbf{z} \to \mathbf{z} \ (n \to \infty) \quad \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{X}, \quad B = \Pi_n \mathbf{B}, \qquad C = \mathbf{C}|_{\mathcal{X}_n}$$

For all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathcal{X}$ and $n \to \infty$,

$$e^{At}\Pi_n \mathbf{z} \to T(t)\mathbf{z}, \qquad (e^{At})^*\Pi_n \mathbf{z} \to T(t)^*\mathbf{z},$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

uniformly in t on bounded intervals.

• (A, B, C) is uniformly exponentially stabilizable and detectable.



Error Bounds

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reductic Based on Balancing Motivation Balanced Truncation

Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems For control applications, want to estimate/bound

$$\|\mathbf{y} - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^m)}$$
 or $\|\mathbf{y}(t) - y_r(t)\|_2$.

Error bound includes approximation errors caused by

- Galerkin projection/spatial FEM discretization,
- model reduction.

Ultimate goal

Balance the discretization and model reduction errors vs. each other in fully adaptive discretization scheme.



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reductio Based on Balancing Motivation Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation

Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Assume $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{R}^p)$ bounded, $C = \mathbf{C}|_{\mathcal{X}_n}$, $\mathcal{X}_n \subset \mathcal{X}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{y} - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} &\leq \|\|\mathbf{y} - y\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} + \|y - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \\ &= \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - C\mathbf{x}\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} + \|y - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \\ &\leq \underbrace{\|\mathbf{C}\|}_{=:c} \cdot \underbrace{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathcal{X})}}_{\mathsf{FEM error}} + \underbrace{\|y - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)}}_{\mathsf{model reduction error}}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

Balanced truncation:

$$\|\mathbf{y} - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \le c \|\mathbf{x} - x\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathcal{X})} + 2\|u\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \sum_{j=r+1}^n \sigma_j.$$

LQG balanced truncation:

 $\|\mathbf{y} - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \le c \|\mathbf{x} - x\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathcal{X})} + 2\|u\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \sum_{j=r+1}^n \frac{\gamma_j}{\sqrt{1+\gamma_j^2}}.$

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reductio Based on Balancing Motivation Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation

Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Assume
$$\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{R}^p)$$
 bounded, $C = \mathbf{C}|_{\mathcal{X}_n}$, $\mathcal{X}_n \subset \mathcal{X}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{y} - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} &\leq & \|\mathbf{y} - y\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} + \|y - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \\ &= & \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - Cx\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} + \|y - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \\ &\leq & \underbrace{\|\mathbf{C}\|}_{=:c} \cdot \underbrace{\|\mathbf{x} - x\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathcal{X})}}_{\mathsf{FEM error}} + \underbrace{\|y - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)}}_{\mathsf{model reduction error}} .\end{aligned}$$

Corollary

Balanced truncation:

$$\|\mathbf{y} - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \le c \|\mathbf{x} - x\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathcal{X})} + 2\|u\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \sum_{j=r+1}^n \sigma_j.$$

_QG balanced truncation:

 $\|\mathbf{y} - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \le c \|\mathbf{x} - x\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathcal{X})} + 2\|u\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \sum_{j=r+1}^n \frac{\gamma_j}{\sqrt{1+\gamma_j^2}}.$

A D > A P > A D > A D >

-



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing Motivation Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation

Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Assume $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{R}^p)$ bounded, $C = \mathbf{C}|_{\mathcal{X}_n}$, $\mathcal{X}_n \subset \mathcal{X}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{y} - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} &\leq & \|\mathbf{y} - y\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} + \|y - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \\ &= & \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - Cx\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} + \|y - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \\ &\leq & \underbrace{\|\mathbf{C}\|}_{=:c} \underbrace{\cdot \|\mathbf{x} - x\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathcal{X})}}_{\mathsf{FEM error}} + \underbrace{\|y - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)}}_{\mathsf{model reduction error}} . \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

Balanced truncation:

$$\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \le c \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathcal{X})} + 2\|u\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \sum_{j=r+1}^n \sigma_j.$$

LQG balanced truncation:

 $\|\mathbf{y} - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \le c \|\mathbf{x} - x\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathcal{X})} + 2\|u\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \sum_{j=r+1}^n \frac{\gamma_j}{\sqrt{1+\gamma_j^2}}.$

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing Motivation Balanced Truncation LQG Balanced Truncation

Computation of Reduced-Order Systems

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Assume
$$\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{R}^p)$$
 bounded, $C = \mathbf{C}|_{\mathcal{X}_n}$, $\mathcal{X}_n \subset \mathcal{X}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} - y_r \|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} &\leq & \|\mathbf{y} - y\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} + \|y - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \\ &= & \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} - Cx\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} + \|y - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \\ &\leq & \underbrace{\|\mathbf{C}\|}_{=:c} \cdot \underbrace{\|\mathbf{x} - x\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathcal{X})}}_{\mathsf{FEM error}} + & \underbrace{\|y - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)}}_{\mathsf{model reduction error}} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

Balanced truncation:

$$\|\mathbf{y} - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \le c \|\mathbf{x} - x\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathcal{X})} + 2\|u\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \sum_{j=r+1}^n \sigma_j.$$

LQG balanced truncation:

 $\|\mathbf{y} - y_r\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \le c \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathcal{X})} + 2\|u\|_{L_2(0,T;\mathbb{R}^p)} \sum_{j=r+1}^n \frac{\gamma_j}{\sqrt{1+\gamma_j^2}}.$



Solving Large-Scale Matrix Equations

Large-Scale Algebraic Lyapunov and Riccati Equations

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems General form for $A, G = G^T, W = W^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ given and $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ unknown:

$$0 = \mathcal{L}(Q) := A^T Q + QA + W,$$

$$0 = \mathcal{R}(Q) := A^T Q + QA - QGQ + W.$$

In large scale applications from semi-discretized control problems for PDEs,

■
$$n = 10^3 - 10^6 \iff 10^6 - 10^{12}$$
 unknowns!),

- A has sparse representation $(A = -M^{-1}K \text{ for FEM})$,
- *G*, *W* low-rank with *G*, *W* ∈ {*BB*^{*T*}, *C*^{*T*}*C*}, where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $m \ll n$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, $p \ll n$.
- Standard (eigenproblem-based) O(n³) methods are not applicable!



Solving Large-Scale Matrix Equations

Large-Scale Algebraic Lyapunov and Riccati Equations

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems General form for $A, G = G^T, W = W^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ given and $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ unknown:

$$0 = \mathcal{L}(Q) := A^T Q + QA + W,$$

$$0 = \mathcal{R}(Q) := A^T Q + QA - QGQ + W.$$

In large scale applications from semi-discretized control problems for PDEs,

- $n = 10^3 10^6$ ($\implies 10^6 10^{12}$ unknowns!),
- A has sparse representation $(A = -M^{-1}K \text{ for FEM})$,
- *G*, *W* low-rank with *G*, *W* ∈ {*BB*^{*T*}, *C*^{*T*}*C*}, where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $m \ll n$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, $p \ll n$.
- Standard (eigenproblem-based) O(n³) methods are not applicable!


Solving Large-Scale Matrix Equations

Large-Scale Algebraic Lyapunov and Riccati Equations

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems General form for $A, G = G^T, W = W^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ given and $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ unknown:

$$0 = \mathcal{L}(Q) := A^T Q + QA + W,$$

$$0 = \mathcal{R}(Q) := A^T Q + QA - QGQ + W.$$

In large scale applications from semi-discretized control problems for PDEs,

- $n = 10^3 10^6$ ($\implies 10^6 10^{12}$ unknowns!),
- A has sparse representation ($A = -M^{-1}K$ for FEM),
- *G*, *W* low-rank with *G*, *W* ∈ {*BB*^{*T*}, *C*^{*T*}*C*}, where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $m \ll n$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, $p \ll n$.
- Standard (eigenproblem-based) O(n³) methods are not applicable!



Solving Large-Scale Matrix Equations

Large-Scale Algebraic Lyapunov and Riccati Equations

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems General form for $A, G = G^T, W = W^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ given and $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ unknown:

$$0 = \mathcal{L}(Q) := A^T Q + QA + W,$$

$$0 = \mathcal{R}(Q) := A^T Q + QA - QGQ + W.$$

In large scale applications from semi-discretized control problems for PDEs,

■
$$n = 10^3 - 10^6$$
 ($\implies 10^6 - 10^{12}$ unknowns!),

- A has sparse representation $(A = -M^{-1}K \text{ for FEM})$,
- G, W low-rank with $G, W \in \{BB^T, C^T C\}$, where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, m \ll n, C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}, p \ll n$.
- Standard (eigenproblem-based) O(n³) methods are not applicable!



Solving Large-Scale Matrix Equations

Large-Scale Algebraic Lyapunov and Riccati Equations

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems General form for $A, G = G^T, W = W^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ given and $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ unknown:

$$0 = \mathcal{L}(Q) := A^T Q + QA + W,$$

$$0 = \mathcal{R}(Q) := A^T Q + QA - QGQ + W.$$

In large scale applications from semi-discretized control problems for PDEs,

■
$$n = 10^3 - 10^6$$
 ($\implies 10^6 - 10^{12}$ unknowns!),

- A has sparse representation ($A = -M^{-1}K$ for FEM),
- G, W low-rank with $G, W \in \{BB^T, C^T C\}$, where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, $m \ll n$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$, $p \ll n$.
- Standard (eigenproblem-based) O(n³) methods are not applicable!



Low-Rank Approximation ARE $0 = A^T Q + QA - QBB^T Q + CC^T$

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Consider spectrum of ARE solution (analogous for Lyapunov equations).

Example:

- Linear 1D heat equation with point control,
- $\blacksquare \ \Omega = \ [\ 0, \ 1 \],$
- FEM discretization using linear B-splines,

$$h = 1/100 \Longrightarrow n = 101.$$

Idea:
$$Q = Q^T \ge 0 \implies$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★∃▶ ★∃▶ → 三 - のへで

 $Q = ZZ^{T} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k z_k z_k^{T} \approx Z^{(r)} (Z^{(r)})^{T} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \lambda_k z_k z_k^{T}.$



Low-Rank Approximation ARE $0 = A^T Q + QA - QBB^T Q + CC^T$

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Consider spectrum of ARE solution (analogous for Lyapunov equations).

Example:

- Linear 1D heat equation with point control,
- $\ \ \, \Omega = \, [\, 0, \, 1\,],$
- FEM discretization using linear B-splines,

$$h = 1/100 \implies n = 101.$$

Idea:
$$Q = Q^T \ge 0 \implies$$



$$Q = ZZ^{\mathsf{T}} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k z_k z_k^{\mathsf{T}} \approx Z^{(r)} (Z^{(r)})^{\mathsf{T}} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \lambda_k z_k z_k^{\mathsf{T}}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○□ ●



Low-Rank Approximation ARE $0 = A^T Q + QA - QBB^T Q + CC^T$

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Consider spectrum of ARE solution (analogous for Lyapunov equations).

Example:

- Linear 1D heat equation with point control,
- $\ \ \, \Omega = \, [\, 0, \, 1\,],$
- FEM discretization using linear B-splines,

$$h = 1/100 \implies n = 101.$$

Idea:
$$Q = Q^T \ge 0 \implies$$



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- 10

$$Q = ZZ^T = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k z_k z_k^T \approx Z^{(r)} (Z^{(r)})^T = \sum_{k=1}^r \lambda_k z_k z_k^T.$$



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ stable, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ($w \ll n$), consider Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^T = -BB^T.$$

ADI Iteration:

[Wachspress 1988]

$$(A + p_k I) X_{(j-1)/2} = -BB^T - X_{k-1} (A^T - p_k I)$$

$$(A + \overline{p_k} I) X_k^T = -BB^T - X_{(j-1)/2} (A^T - \overline{p_k} I)$$

with parameters $p_k \in \mathbb{C}^-$ and $p_{k+1} = \overline{p_k}$ if $p_k \notin \mathbb{R}$.

For $X_0 = 0$ and proper choice of p_k : $\lim_{k \to \infty} X_k = X$ superlinear.

Re-formulation using $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$ yields iteration for $Y_k...$

◆□ > ◆母 > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 - のへで



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ stable, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ($w \ll n$), consider Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^T = -BB^T.$$

ADI Iteration:

[Wachspress 1988]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★∃▶ ★∃▶ → 三 - のへで

$$(A + p_k I) \frac{X_{(j-1)/2}}{(A + \overline{p_k} I) X_k^T} = -BB^T - X_{k-1} (A^T - p_k I)$$
$$(A + \overline{p_k} I) \frac{X_k^T}{(A + \overline{p_k} I)} = -BB^T - \frac{X_{(j-1)/2}}{(A + \overline{p_k} I)}$$

with parameters $p_k \in \mathbb{C}^-$ and $p_{k+1} = \overline{p_k}$ if $p_k \notin \mathbb{R}$.

For $X_0 = 0$ and proper choice of p_k : $\lim_{k \to \infty} X_k = X$ superlinear.

Re-formulation using $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$ yields iteration for $Y_k...$



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ stable, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ($w \ll n$), consider Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^T = -BB^T.$$

ADI Iteration:

[Wachspress 1988]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★∃▶ ★∃▶ → 三 - のへで

$$(A + p_k I) \frac{X_{(j-1)/2}}{(A + \overline{p_k} I) X_k^T} = -BB^T - \frac{X_{k-1}(A^T - p_k I)}{(A + \overline{p_k} I) X_k^T}$$

with parameters $p_k \in \mathbb{C}^-$ and $p_{k+1} = \overline{p_k}$ if $p_k \notin \mathbb{R}$.

For $X_0 = 0$ and proper choice of p_k : $\lim_{k \to \infty} X_k = X$ superlinear.

Re-formulation using $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$ yields iteration for $Y_k...$



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ stable, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ($w \ll n$), consider Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^T = -BB^T.$$

ADI Iteration:

[Wachspress 1988]

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★∃▶ ★∃▶ → 三 - のへで

$$(A + p_k I) \frac{X_{(j-1)/2}}{(A + \overline{p_k} I) X_k^T} = -BB^T - X_{k-1} (A^T - p_k I)$$
$$(A + \overline{p_k} I) \frac{X_k^T}{(A + \overline{p_k} I)} = -BB^T - \frac{X_{(j-1)/2}}{(A^T - \overline{p_k} I)}$$

with parameters $p_k \in \mathbb{C}^-$ and $p_{k+1} = \overline{p_k}$ if $p_k \notin \mathbb{R}$.

• For $X_0 = 0$ and proper choice of p_k : $\lim_{k \to \infty} X_k = X$ superlinear.

• Re-formulation using $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$ yields iteration for $Y_k...$



Factored ADI Iteration Lyapunov equation $0 = AX + XA^{T} = -BB^{T}$.

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Setting $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$, some algebraic manipulations \Longrightarrow

Algorithm [PENZL 1997, L1/WHITE 2002, B./L1/PENZL 1999/2006] $V_1 \leftarrow \sqrt{-2\text{Re}(p_1)}(A + p_1I)^{-1}B, \quad Y_1 \leftarrow V_1$ FOR j = 2, 3, ... $V_k \leftarrow \sqrt{\frac{\text{Re}(p_k)}{\text{Re}(p_{k-1})}} (V_{k-1} - (p_k + \overline{p_{k-1}})(A + p_kI)^{-1}V_{k-1}),$ $Y_k \leftarrow [Y_{k-1} V_k]$

At convergence, $Y_{k_{\max}} Y_{k_{\max}}^T \approx X$, where

$$Y_{k_{\max}} = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & \dots & V_{k_{\max}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad V_k = \begin{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m} \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: Implementation in real arithmetic possible by combining two steps.



Factored ADI Iteration Lyapunov equation $0 = AX + XA^{T} = -BB^{T}$.

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Setting $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$, some algebraic manipulations \Longrightarrow

Algorithm [PENZL 1997, LI/WHITE 2002, B./LI/PENZL 1999/2006] $V_1 \leftarrow \sqrt{-2\text{Re}(p_1)}(A + p_1I)^{-1}B, \quad Y_1 \leftarrow V_1$ FOR j = 2, 3, ... $V_k \leftarrow \sqrt{\frac{\text{Re}(p_k)}{\text{Re}(p_{k-1})}} (V_{k-1} - (p_k + \overline{p_{k-1}})(A + p_kI)^{-1}V_{k-1}),$ $Y_k \leftarrow [Y_{k-1} V_k]$

At convergence, $Y_{k_{\max}} Y_{k_{\max}}^T \approx X$, where

$$Y_{k_{\max}} = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 & \dots & V_{k_{\max}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad V_k = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{C}^{n \times m} \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: Implementation in real arithmetic possible by combining two steps.



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov

Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems • Consider $0 = \mathcal{R}(Q) = C^T C + A^T Q + QA - QBB^T Q.$

Frechét derivative of $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ at Q:

$$\mathcal{R}'_Q: Z \to (A - BB^T Q)^T Z + Z(A - BB^T Q).$$

Newton-Kantorovich method:

$$Q_{j+1} = Q_j - \left(\mathcal{R}'_{Q_j}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{R}(Q_j), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Newton's method (with line search) for AREs

FOR j = 0, 1, ...

 $\blacksquare A_j \leftarrow A - BB^T Q_j =: A - BK_j.$

2 Solve the Lyapunov equation $A_i^T N_i + N_i A_i = -\mathcal{R}(Q_i)$.

$$3 \quad Q_{j+1} \leftarrow Q_j + t_j N_j.$$



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov

Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems • Consider $0 = \mathcal{R}(Q) = C^T C + A^T Q + QA - QBB^T Q.$

• Frechét derivative of $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ at Q:

 $\mathcal{R}_Q': Z \to (A - BB^T Q)^T Z + Z(A - BB^T Q).$

Newton-Kantorovich method:

$$Q_{j+1} = Q_j - \left(\mathcal{R}'_{Q_j}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{R}(Q_j), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Newton's method (with line search) for AREs

FOR j = 0, 1, ...

 $\blacksquare A_j \leftarrow A - BB^T Q_j =: A - BK_j.$

2 Solve the Lyapunov equation $A_i^T N_i + N_i A_i = -\mathcal{R}(Q_i)$.

・ロット (雪) (き) (き) (き)

$$Q_{j+1} \leftarrow Q_j + t_j N_j.$$



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov

Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems • Consider $0 = \mathcal{R}(Q) = C^T C + A^T Q + QA - QBB^T Q.$

• Frechét derivative of $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ at Q:

$$\mathcal{R}'_Q: Z \to (A - BB^T Q)^T Z + Z(A - BB^T Q).$$

Newton-Kantorovich method:

$$Q_{j+1}=Q_j-\left(\mathcal{R}_{Q_j}
ight)^{-1}\mathcal{R}(Q_j), \hspace{1em} j=0,1,2,\ldots$$

Newton's method (with line search) for AREs

FOR j = 0, 1, ...

$$\blacksquare A_j \leftarrow A - BB^T Q_j =: A - BK_j.$$

2 Solve the Lyapunov equation $A_i^T N_i + N_i A_i = -\mathcal{R}(Q_i)$.



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov

Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems • Consider $0 = \mathcal{R}(Q) = C^T C + A^T Q + QA - QBB^T Q.$

• Frechét derivative of $\mathcal{R}(Q)$ at Q:

$$\mathcal{R}_Q': Z \to (A - BB^T Q)^T Z + Z(A - BB^T Q).$$

Newton-Kantorovich method:

$$Q_{j+1} = Q_j - \left(\mathcal{R}_{Q_j}^{'}
ight)^{-1} \mathcal{R}(Q_j), \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Newton's method (with line search) for AREs

FOR
$$j = 0, 1, ...$$

1 $A_j \leftarrow A - BB^T Q_j =: A - BK_j$.
2 Solve the Lyapunov equation $A_j^T N_j + N_j A_j = -\mathcal{R}(Q_j)$.
3 $Q_{j+1} \leftarrow Q_j + t_j N_j$.
END FOR j



Properties and Implementation

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov

Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Convergence for K₀ stabilizing:

- $A_j = A BK_j = A BB^T Q_j$ is stable $\forall j \ge 0$.
- $\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\mathcal{R}(Q_j)\|_F = 0$ (monotonically).
- $\lim_{j\to\infty} Q_j = Q_* \ge 0$ (locally quadratic).

Need large-scale Lyapunov solver; here, ADI iteration: linear systems with dense, but "sparse+low rank" coefficient matrix A_i:



■ m ≪ n ⇒ efficient "inversion" using Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula:

 $(A - BK_j)^{-1} = (I_n + A^{-1}B(I_m - K_jA^{-1}B)^{-1}K_j)A^{-1}.$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ● ● ● ● ● ●

BUT: $Q = Q^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \Longrightarrow n(n+1)/2$ unknowns!



Properties and Implementation

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov Newton's

Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems ■ Convergence for K₀ stabilizing:

- $A_j = A BK_j = A BB^T Q_j$ is stable $\forall j \ge 0$.
- $\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\mathcal{R}(Q_j)\|_F = 0$ (monotonically).
- $\lim_{j\to\infty} Q_j = Q_* \ge 0$ (locally quadratic).
- Need large-scale Lyapunov solver; here, ADI iteration: linear systems with dense, but "sparse+low rank" coefficient matrix A_i:

$$A_{j} = A - B \cdot K_{j}$$
$$= sparse - m \cdot$$

■ m ≪ n ⇒ efficient "inversion" using Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula:

 $(A - BK_j)^{-1} = (I_n + A^{-1}B(I_m - K_jA^{-1}B)^{-1}K_j)A^{-1}.$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★∃▶ ★∃▶ → 三 - のへで

BUT: $Q = Q^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \Longrightarrow n(n+1)/2$ unknowns!



Properties and Implementation

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov

Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

■ Convergence for K₀ stabilizing:

- $A_j = A BK_j = A BB^T Q_j$ is stable $\forall j \ge 0$.
- $\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\mathcal{R}(Q_j)\|_F = 0$ (monotonically).
- $\lim_{j\to\infty} Q_j = Q_* \ge 0$ (locally quadratic).
- Need large-scale Lyapunov solver; here, ADI iteration: linear systems with dense, but "sparse+low rank" coefficient matrix A_i:

$$A_j = A - B \cdot K_j$$
$$= sparse - m \cdot$$

■ *m* ≪ *n* ⇒ efficient "inversion" using Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula:

$$(A - BK_j)^{-1} = (I_n + A^{-1}B(I_m - K_jA^{-1}B)^{-1}K_j)A^{-1}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★∃▶ ★∃▶ → 三 - のへで

BUT: $Q = Q^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \Longrightarrow n(n+1)/2$ unknowns!



Properties and Implementation

A

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lyapunov

Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems ■ Convergence for K₀ stabilizing:

- $A_j = A BK_j = A BB^T Q_j$ is stable $\forall j \ge 0$.
- $\lim_{j\to\infty} \|\mathcal{R}(Q_j)\|_F = 0$ (monotonically).
- $\lim_{j\to\infty} Q_j = Q_* \ge 0$ (locally quadratic).
- Need large-scale Lyapunov solver; here, ADI iteration: linear systems with dense, but "sparse+low rank" coefficient matrix A_i:

$$A_j = A - B \cdot K_j$$
$$= sparse - m \cdot constants$$

■ *m* ≪ *n* ⇒ efficient "inversion" using Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula:

$$(A - BK_j)^{-1} = (I_n + A^{-1}B(I_m - K_jA^{-1}B)^{-1}K_j)A^{-1}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★∃▶ ★∃▶ → 三 - のへで

BUT: $Q = Q^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \implies n(n+1)/2$ unknowns!



Low-Rank Newton-ADI for AREs

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for Lvapunov

Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Re-write Newton's method for AREs

$$A_j^T N_j + N_j A_j = -\mathcal{R}(Q_j)$$

$$A_j^T \underbrace{(Q_j + N_j)}_{=Q_{j+1}} + \underbrace{(Q_j + N_j)}_{=Q_{j+1}} A_j = \underbrace{-C^T C - Q_j B B^T Q_j}_{=:-W_j W_j^T}$$

Set $Q_j = Z_j Z_j^T$ for rank $(Z_j) \ll n \Longrightarrow$ $A_i^T (Z_{i+1} Z_{i+1}^T) + (Z_{i+1} Z_{i+1}^T) A_i = -W_i W_i^T$

Factored Newton Iteration [B./LI/PENZL 1999/2006]

Solve Lyapunov equations for Z_{j+1} directly by factored ADI iteration and use 'sparse + low-rank' structure of A_j .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★∃▶ ★∃▶ → 三 - のへで



Low-Rank Newton-ADI for AREs

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

ADI for

Newton's Method for AREs

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems Re-write Newton's method for AREs

$$A_j^T N_j + N_j A_j = -\mathcal{R}(Q_j)$$

$$A_j^T \underbrace{(Q_j + N_j)}_{=Q_{j+1}} + \underbrace{(Q_j + N_j)}_{=Q_{j+1}} A_j = \underbrace{-C^T C - Q_j B B^T Q_j}_{=:-W_j W_j^T}$$

Set
$$Q_j = Z_j Z_j^T$$
 for rank $(Z_j) \ll n \Longrightarrow$

 $A_{j}^{T}(Z_{j+1}Z_{j+1}^{T}) + (Z_{j+1}Z_{j+1}^{T})A_{j} = -W_{j}W_{j}^{T}$

Factored Newton Iteration [B./LI/PENZL 1999/2006]

Solve Lyapunov equations for Z_{j+1} directly by factored ADI iteration and use 'sparse + low-rank' structure of A_i .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★∃▶ ★∃▶ → 三 - のへで



LQR Problem

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Linear-Quadratic Regulator Problem

Linear-quadratic optimization problem w/o control/state constraints:

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}\in L_2}\int_0^\infty \langle \mathsf{C}\mathbf{x}(t),\mathsf{C}\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_\mathcal{Y} + \langle \mathbf{u}(t),\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_\mathcal{U}\,dt$$

subject to $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}, \ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0.$

Solution: feedback control law (~> static feedback controller)

$$\mathbf{u}(t) = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}(t) := \mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}(t)$$

(with ${\bf Q}$ as in LQG operator Riccati equation). Finite-dimensional approximation is

$$u(t) = K_* x(t) := B^T Q_* x(t),$$

where Q_* is the stabilizing solution of the corresponding ARE.



LQR Problem

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Linear-Quadratic Regulator Problem

Linear-quadratic optimization problem w/o control/state constraints:

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}\in L_2}\int_0^\infty \langle \mathsf{C}\mathbf{x}(t),\mathsf{C}\mathbf{x}(t)\rangle_\mathcal{Y} + \langle \mathbf{u}(t),\mathbf{u}(t)\rangle_\mathcal{U}\,dt$$

subject to $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}, \ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0.$

Solution: feedback control law (~> static feedback controller)

$$\mathbf{u}(t) = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}(t) := \mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}(t)$$

(with **Q** as in LQG operator Riccati equation). Finite-dimensional approximation is

$$u(t) = K_* x(t) := B^T Q_* x(t),$$

where Q_* is the stabilizing solution of the corresponding ARE.



LQR Problem

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems

Linear-Quadratic Regulator Problem

Linear-quadratic optimization problem w/o control/state constraints:

$$\min_{\mathsf{u}\in L_2}\int_0^\infty \langle \mathsf{C}\mathsf{x}(t),\mathsf{C}\mathsf{x}(t)\rangle_\mathcal{Y} + \langle \mathsf{u}(t),\mathsf{u}(t)\rangle_\mathcal{U}\,dt$$

subject to $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}, \ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0.$

Solution: feedback control law (~> static feedback controller)

$$\mathbf{u}(t) = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}(t) := \mathbf{B}^*\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}(t)$$

(with ${\bf Q}$ as in LQG operator Riccati equation). Finite-dimensional approximation is

$$u(t) = K_* x(t) := B^T Q_* x(t),$$

where Q_* is the stabilizing solution of the corresponding ARE.



Application to LQR Problem

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems K_* can be computed by direct feedback iteration:

*j*th Newton iteration:

$$K_j = B^T Z_j Z_j^T = \sum_{k=1}^{k_{max}} (B^T V_{j,k}) V_{j,k}^T \xrightarrow{j \to \infty} K_* = B^T Z_* Z_*^T$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★∃▶ ★∃▶ → 三 - のへで

K_j can be updated in ADI iteration, no need to even form Z_j, need only fixed workspace for K_j ∈ ℝ^{m×n}!



Optimal Control from Reduced-Order Model

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems LQR solution for the reduced-order model yields

$$u_r(t) = K_{r,*}x_r(t) := B_r Q_{r,*}x_r(t).$$

Theorem

Let K_* be the feedback matrix computed from finite-dimensional approximation to LQR problem, $K_{r,*}$ the feedback matrix obtained from the LQR problem for the LQG reduced-order model obtained using the projector VW^T , then

$$K_{r,*}=K_*V^T.$$

Consequence: the reduced-order optimal control can be computed as by-product in the model reduction process! Similar result for LQG controller.



Optimal Control from Reduced-Order Model

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems LQR solution for the reduced-order model yields

$$u_r(t) = K_{r,*}x_r(t) := B_r Q_{r,*}x_r(t).$$

Theorem

Let K_* be the feedback matrix computed from finite-dimensional approximation to LQR problem, $K_{r,*}$ the feedback matrix obtained from the LQR problem for the LQG reduced-order model obtained using the projector VW^T , then

$$K_{r,*} = K_* V^T.$$

Consequence: the reduced-order optimal control can be computed as by-product in the model reduction process! Similar result for LQG controller.



Performance of Matrix Equation Solvers

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matri> Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results Matrix Equation Solvers

Model Reduction Performance Reconstruction of the State

Conclusions and Open Problems

- Linear 2D heat equation with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary and point control/observation.
- FD discretization on uniform 150×150 grid.
- n = 22.500, m = p = 1, 10 shifts for ADI iterations.
- Convergence of large-scale matrix equation solvers:





◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ・豆 = のへ⊙



Performance of matrix equation solvers

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results Matrix Equation

Solvers Model Reductio Performance

Conclusions and

Performance of Newton's method for accuracy $\sim 1/n$

grid	unknowns	$\frac{\ \mathcal{R}(P)\ _{F}}{\ P\ _{F}}$	it. (ADI it.)	CPU (sec.)
8 × 8	2,080	4.7e-7	2 (8)	0.47
16 imes 16	32,896	1.6e-6	2 (10)	0.49
32×32	524,800	1.8e-5	2 (11)	0.91
64×64	8,390,656	1.8e-5	3 (14)	7.98
128 imes 128	134,225,920	3.7e-6	3 (19)	79.46

Here,

- Convection-diffusion equation,
- m = 1 input and p = 2 outputs,
- $Q = Q^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \Rightarrow \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ unknowns.



Numerical Results Model Reduction Performance

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results Matrix Equation Solvers Model Reduction Performance

Reconstruction of the State

Conclusions and Open Problems

- Numerical ranks of Gramians are 31 and 26, respectively.
- Computed reduced-order model (BT): $r = 6 (\sigma_7 = 5.8 \cdot 10^{-4})$,
- BT error bound $\delta = 1.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$.





Numerical Results Model Reduction Performance

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results Matrix Equation Solvers Model Reduction

Performance

of the State

Conclusions and Open Problems Computed reduced-order model (BT): r = 6, BT error bound $\delta = 1.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$.

 Solve LQR problem: quadratic cost functional, solution is linear state feedback.

• Computed controls and outputs (implicit Euler):





Numerical Results Model Reduction Performance

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results Matrix Equation Solvers

Model Reduction Performance

Reconstruction of the State

Conclusions and Open Problems Computed reduced-order model (BT): r = 6, BT error bound $\delta = 1.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$.

 Solve LQR problem: quadratic cost functional, solution is linear state feedback.

Errors in controls and outputs:





Model Reduction Performance: BT vs. LQG BT

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matri> Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results Matrix Equation Solvers Model Reduction Performance

Reconstruction of the State

Conclusions and Open Problems

- Boundary control problem for 2D heat flow in copper on rectangular domain; control acts on two sides via Robins BC.
- FDM \rightsquigarrow n = 4496, m = 2; 4 sensor locations $\rightsquigarrow p = 4$.
- Numerical ranks of BT Gramians are 68 and 124, respectively, for LQG BT both have rank 210.
- Computed reduced-order model: r = 10.



Hankel singular values

Source: COMPl_eib v1.1, www.compleib.de.



Model Reduction Performance: BT vs. LQG BT

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem



Reconstruction of the State

Conclusions and Open Problems

- Boundary control problem for 2D heat flow in copper on rectangular domain; control acts on two sides via Robins BC.
- FDM \rightsquigarrow n = 4496, m = 2; 4 sensor locations $\rightsquigarrow p = 4$.
- Numerical ranks of BT Gramians are 68 and 124, respectively, for LQG BT both have rank 210.
- Computed reduced-order model: r = 10.



Source: COMPl_eib v1.1, www.compleib.de.



Model Reduction Performance: BT vs. LQG BT

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results Matrix Equation Solvers Model Reduction Performance

Reconstruction of the State

Conclusions and Open Problems

- Boundary control problem for 2D heat flow in copper on rectangular domain; control acts on two sides via Robins BC.
- FDM \rightsquigarrow n = 4496, m = 2; 4 sensor locations $\rightsquigarrow p = 4$.
- Numerical ranks of BT Gramians are 68 and 124, respectively, for LQG BT both have rank 210.
- Computed reduced-order model: r = 10.



Source: COMPleib v1.1, www.compleib.de.



Numerical Results Reconstruction of the State

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results Matrix Equation Solvers Model Reduction Performance

Reconstruction of the State

Conclusions and Open Problems BT is often criticized for its bias towards the input-output behavior of the system. But states can also be reconstructed using

 $x(t) \approx V x_r(t).$

Example: 2D heat equation with localized heat source, 64×64 grid, r = 6 model by BT, simulation for $u(t) = 10 \cos(t)$.



Numerical Results Reconstruction of the State

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP

Model Reductior Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results Matrix Equation Solvers Model Reduction Performance

Reconstruction of the State

Conclusions and Open Problems BT is often criticized for its bias towards the input-output behavior of the system. But states can also be reconstructed using

 $x(t) \approx V x_r(t).$

Example: 2D heat equation with localized heat source, 64×64 grid, r = 6 model by BT, simulation for $u(t) = 10 \cos(t)$.





Numerical Results Reconstruction of the State

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DP

Model Reductior Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results Matrix Equation Solvers Model Reduction Performance

Reconstruction of the State

Conclusions and Open Problems BT is often criticized for its bias towards the input-output behavior of the system. But states can also be reconstructed using

 $x(t) \approx V x_r(t).$

Example: 2D heat equation with localized heat source, 64×64 grid, r = 6 model by BT, simulation for $u(t) = 10 \cos(t)$.





・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト



BT modes are shape functions for Galerkin projection

PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

Model Reduction Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results Matrix Equation Solvers Model Reduction Performance

Reconstruction of the State

Conclusions and Open Problems



▲□▶ ▲御▶ ▲差▶ ▲差▶ → 差 → 少へ(*)



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

- Model Reductio Based on Balancing
- Large Matrix Equations
- LQR Problem
- Numerical Results
- Conclusions and Open Problems

- BT (and LQG) BT perform well for model reduction of (as of yet, simple) parabolic PDE control problems.
- Robust control design can be based on LQG BT (see CURTAIN 2004).
- Need more numerical tests.
- Find implementations for other balancing schemes $(H_{\infty}$ -/bounded real BT,...).
- Open Problems:
 - Optimal combination of FEM and BT error estimates/bounds use convergence of Hankel singular values for control of mesh refinement?
 - BT modes are intelligent ansatz functions for (Petrov-)Galerkin projection—how to exploit?
 - Application to nonlinear problems: for some semilinear problems, BT approaches seem to work well.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

- Model Reductio Based on Balancing
- Large Matrix Equations
- LQR Problem
- Numerical Results
- Conclusions and Open Problems

- BT (and LQG) BT perform well for model reduction of (as of yet, simple) parabolic PDE control problems.
- Robust control design can be based on LQG BT (see CURTAIN 2004).
- Need more numerical tests.
- Find implementations for other balancing schemes $(H_{\infty}$ -/bounded real BT,...).
- Open Problems:
 - Optimal combination of FEM and BT error estimates/bounds use convergence of Hankel singular values for control of mesh refinement?
 - BT modes are intelligent ansatz functions for (Petrov-)Galerkin projection—how to exploit?
 - Application to nonlinear problems: for some semilinear problems, BT approaches seem to work well.



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

- Model Reductio Based on Balancing
- Large Matrix Equations
- LQR Problem
- Numerical Results
- Conclusions and Open Problems

- BT (and LQG) BT perform well for model reduction of (as of yet, simple) parabolic PDE control problems.
- Robust control design can be based on LQG BT (see CURTAIN 2004).
- Need more numerical tests.
- Find implementations for other balancing schemes $(H_{\infty}$ -/bounded real BT,...).
- Open Problems:
 - Optimal combination of FEM and BT error estimates/bounds use convergence of Hankel singular values for control of mesh refinement?
 - BT modes are intelligent ansatz functions for (Petrov-)Galerkin projection—how to exploit?
 - Application to nonlinear problems: for some semilinear problems, BT approaches seem to work well.



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

- Model Reductio Based on Balancing
- Large Matrix Equations
- LQR Problem
- Numerical Results
- Conclusions and Open Problems

- BT (and LQG) BT perform well for model reduction of (as of yet, simple) parabolic PDE control problems.
- Robust control design can be based on LQG BT (see CURTAIN 2004).
- Need more numerical tests.
- Find implementations for other balancing schemes $(H_{\infty}$ -/bounded real BT,...).
- Open Problems:
 - Optimal combination of FEM and BT error estimates/bounds use convergence of Hankel singular values for control of mesh refinement?
 - BT modes are intelligent ansatz functions for (Petrov-)Galerkin projection—how to exploit?
 - Application to nonlinear problems: for some semilinear problems, BT approaches seem to work well.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★∃▶ ★∃▶ → 三 - のへで



PDE Model Reduction

Peter Benner

DPS

- Model Reductio Based on Balancing
- Large Matrix Equations
- LQR Problem
- Numerical Results
- Conclusions and Open Problems

- BT (and LQG) BT perform well for model reduction of (as of yet, simple) parabolic PDE control problems.
- Robust control design can be based on LQG BT (see CURTAIN 2004).
- Need more numerical tests.
- Find implementations for other balancing schemes $(H_{\infty}$ -/bounded real BT,...).
- Open Problems:
 - Optimal combination of FEM and BT error estimates/bounds use convergence of Hankel singular values for control of mesh refinement?
 - BT modes are intelligent ansatz functions for (Petrov-)Galerkin projection—how to exploit?
 - Application to nonlinear problems: for some semilinear problems, BT approaches seem to work well.







Peter Benner

DP:

Model Reductio Based on Balancing

Large Matrix Equations

LQR Problem

Numerical Results

Conclusions and Open Problems



Thank you for your attention!

3

・ロト ・ 一下・ ・ モト・