ADVANCES IN BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION FOR CIRCUIT SIMULATION

Peter Benner

Mathematik in Industrie und Technik Fakultät für Mathematik Technische Universität Chemnitz







Scientific Computing in Electrical Engineering Helsinki University of Technology Espoo, Finland September 28 – October 3, 2008



Support and Thanks

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncatio

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

SyreNe

System reduction for IC design in nano-electronics

BMBF (Ministry of Education and Research, Germany) research network.

Partners:

TU Berlin, TU Braunschweig, TU Chemnitz, U Hamburg. FhG-ITWM Kaiserslautern. Infineon Technologies AG, NEC Europe Ltd., Qimonda AG.



System Reduction for Nanoscale IC Design



Support and Thanks

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncatior

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

O-MOORE-NICE!

Operational model order reduction for nanoscale IC electronics

EU support via Marie Curie Host Fellowships for the Transfer of Knowledge (ToK) Industry-Academia Partnership Scheme.

Partners:

TU Chemnitz, TU Eindhoven, U Antwerpen. NXP Semiconductors.





Support and Thanks

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncatio

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Cooperation partners:

- MilT working group in Chemnitz: Ulrike Baur, Lihong Feng, Jens Saak, André Schneider, Michael Striebel, Mohammed-Sahadet Hossain, ...
- Former and current students: Patrick Kürschner, René Günzel, Tobias Rothaug,
- Heike Faßbender,
- Tatjana Stykel,

. . . .

- Enrique Quintana-Ortí and the group at Universitat Jaume I, Castellón,
- Qimonda AG/TITAN group: Uwe Feldmann, Georg Denk, ...
- NXP Semiconductors: Jan ter Maten, Wil Schilders, ...

Several talks at SCEE 2008 related to the topic of this presentation.



Overview

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncatior

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

1 Introduction

- Model Reduction for Linear Systems
- Circuit Simulation
- Goals

2 Balanced Truncation

- The Basic Ideas
- Balancing-Related Model Reduction
- Application to Descriptor Systems
- Application to Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

3 Miscellanea

- Approximate BT
- Structure Preservation
- Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems
- Systems with a Large Number of Terminals
- 4 Conclusions
- 5 References



Introduction

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear System Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Dynamical Systems/DAEs

$$\Sigma: \begin{cases} E\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), & x(t_0) = x_0, \\ y(t) = g(t, x(t), u(t)), \end{cases}$$

with

- **states** $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,
- inputs $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$,
- outputs $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^p$.

 $E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ singular \rightsquigarrow differential-algebraic equations (DAEs) (DAEs), otherwise ordinary differential equations (ODEs).





Model Reduction for Dynamical Systems

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear Syste Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Original System

 $\Sigma: \begin{cases} E\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), \\ y(t) = g(t, x(t), u(t)). \end{cases}$

states $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

- inputs $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$,
- outputs $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$.

<u>u</u> → ∑ <u>y</u> →

Reduced-Order System

$$\widehat{\Sigma}: \left\{ egin{array}{l} \hat{E}\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = \widehat{f}(t,\hat{x}(t),oldsymbol{u}(t)), \ \hat{y}(t) = \widehat{g}(t,\hat{x}(t),oldsymbol{u}(t)). \end{array}
ight.$$

states
$$\hat{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^r$$
, $r \ll n$

• inputs
$$u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$$
,

• outputs $\hat{y}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$.

Goal:

 $||y - \hat{y}|| < \text{tolerance} \cdot ||u||$ for all admissible input signals.



Model Reduction for Dynamical Systems

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear Syste Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Original System

 $\Sigma: \begin{cases} E\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t), u(t)), \\ y(t) = g(t, x(t), u(t)). \end{cases}$

states $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

- inputs $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$,
- outputs $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$.

<u>u</u> <u>y</u>

Reduced-Order System

$$\widehat{\Sigma}: \left\{ egin{array}{l} \hat{E}\dot{\hat{x}}(t) = \widehat{f}(t,\hat{x}(t),oldsymbol{u}(t)), \ \hat{y}(t) = \widehat{g}(t,\hat{x}(t),oldsymbol{u}(t)). \end{array}
ight.$$

states
$$\hat{x}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^r$$
, $r \ll n$

• inputs
$$u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$$
,

• outputs $\hat{y}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$.



Goal:

 $\|y - \hat{y}\| < \text{tolerance} \cdot \|u\|$ for all admissible input signals.



Model Reduction for Linear Systems

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear Systems Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation Miscellanea Conclusions

References

Linear Descriptor Systems

Εż	=	f(t, x, u)	=	Ax + Bu,	$A, E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$	$B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m},$
у	=	g(t, x, u)	=	Cx + Du,	$C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n},$	$D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}.$

inear Systems in Frequency Domain

Application of Laplace transformation $(x(t) \mapsto x(s), \dot{x}(t) \mapsto sx(s))$ to linear descriptor system with x(0) = 0:

 $sEx(s) = Ax(s) + Bu(s), \quad y(s) = Bx(s) + Du(s),$ yields I/O-relation in frequency domain:

$$y(s) = \left(\underbrace{C(sE - A)^{-1}B + D}_{O(s)}\right)u(s)$$

G is the transfer function of Σ .



Model Reduction for Linear Systems

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear Systems Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation

winscenaries

Conclusions

References

Linear Descriptor Systems

Εż	=	f(t, x, u)	=	Ax + Bu,	$A, E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n},$	$B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m},$
y	=	g(t, x, u)	=	Cx + Du,	$C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n},$	$D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}$.

Linear Systems in Frequency Domain

Application of Laplace transformation $(x(t) \mapsto x(s), \dot{x}(t) \mapsto sx(s))$ to linear descriptor system with x(0) = 0:

 $sEx(s) = Ax(s) + Bu(s), \quad y(s) = Bx(s) + Du(s),$ yields I/O-relation in frequency domain:

$$y(s) = \left(\underbrace{C(sE - A)^{-1}B + D}_{=:G(s)}\right)u(s)$$

G is the transfer function of Σ .



Model Reduction for Linear Descriptor Systems

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear Systems Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation

Conclusion

References

Problem

Approximate the dynamical system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} E\dot{x} &=& Ax + Bu, & A, E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, & B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, \\ y &=& Cx + Du, & C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}, & D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m} \end{array}$$

by reduced-order system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \hat{E}\dot{\hat{x}} &=& \hat{A}\hat{x} + \hat{B}u, \\ \hat{y} &=& \hat{C}\hat{x} + \hat{D}u, \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{rcl} \hat{A}, \hat{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}, & \hat{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times m}, \\ \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times r}, & \hat{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}, \end{array}$$

of order $r \ll n$, such that

 $\|y - \hat{y}\| = \|Gu - \hat{G}u\| \le \|G - \hat{G}\|\|u\| < \text{tolerance} \cdot \|u\|.$

 \implies Approximation problem: min_{order (\hat{G}) < $r \parallel G - \hat{G} \parallel$.}



Model Reduction for Linear Descriptor Systems

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear Systems Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Approximate the dynamical system

Problem

$$\begin{array}{rcl} E\dot{x} &=& Ax + Bu, \qquad A, E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, \\ y &=& Cx + Du, \qquad C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}, \quad D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m} \end{array}$$

by reduced-order system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \hat{E}\dot{\hat{x}} &=& \hat{A}\hat{x} + \hat{B}u, & & \hat{A}, \hat{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times r}, & \hat{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times m}, \\ \hat{y} &=& \hat{C}\hat{x} + \hat{D}u, & & \hat{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times r}, & \hat{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}, \end{array}$$

of order $r \ll n$, such that

 $\|y - \hat{y}\| = \|Gu - \hat{G}u\| \le \|G - \hat{G}\|\|u\| < \text{tolerance} \cdot \|u\|.$

 \implies Approximation problem: $\min_{\text{order}(\hat{G}) \leq r} \|G - \hat{G}\|$.



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

- Introduction
- Linear System: Circuit Simulation Goals
- Balanced Truncation
- Miscellanea
- Conclusions
- References

(Electronic) circuit simulation

- utilizes mathematical models to replicate the behavior of an actual electronic device or circuit.
- Simulating a circuit's behavior before actually building it greatly improves efficiency and provides insights into the behavior of electronics circuit designs.
- In particular, for integrated circuits,
 - the tooling (photomasks) is expensive,
 - breadboards are impractical,
 - probing the behavior of internal signals is extremely difficult.

Therefore almost all IC design relies heavily on simulation.

 ${\tt quoted from \ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Circuit_simulation}$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

- Introduction
- Linear System: Circuit Simulation Goals
- Balanced Truncation
- Miscellanea
- Conclusions
- References

(Electronic) circuit simulation

- utilizes mathematical models to replicate the behavior of an actual electronic device or circuit.
- Simulating a circuit's behavior before actually building it greatly improves efficiency and provides insights into the behavior of electronics circuit designs.
- In particular, for integrated circuits,
 - the tooling (photomasks) is expensive,
 - breadboards are impractical,
 - probing the behavior of internal signals is extremely difficult.

Therefore almost all IC design relies heavily on simulation.

quoted from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Circuit_simulation



The need for model reduction techniques

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear System Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation

iviiscellanea

Conclusions

References

Progressive miniaturization

- Verification of VLSI/ULSI chip design requires high number of simulations for different input signals.
- Moore's Law (1965/75) states that the number of on-chip transistors doubles each 24 months.



Moore's Law

Source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Image:Moores_law.svg



The need for model reduction techniques

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

- Introduction
- Linear System Circuit Simulation Goals
- Balanced Truncation
- Miscellanea
- Conclusions
- References

Progressive miniaturization

- Verification of VLSI/ULSI chip design requires high number of simulations for different input signals.
- Moore's Law (1965/75) ~→ steady increase of describing equations, i.e., network topology (Kirchhoff's laws) and characteristic element/semiconductor equations.
- Increase in packing density and multilayer technology requires modeling of interconncet to ensure that thermic/electro-magnetic effects do not disturb signal transmission.

Intel 4004 (1971)	Intel Core 2 Extreme (quad-core) (2007)
1 layer, 10μ technology	9 layers, 45 <i>nm</i> technology
2,300 transistors	> 8, 200, 000 transistors
64 kHz clock speed	> 3 GHz clock speed.



The need for model reduction techniques

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

- Introduction
- Linear System Circuit Simulation Goals
- Balanced Truncation
- Miscellanea
- Conclusions
- References

Progressive miniaturization

- Verification of VLSI/ULSI chip design requires high number of simulations for different input signals.
- Moore's Law (1965/75) ~→ steady increase of describing equations, i.e., network topology (Kirchhoff's laws) and characteristic element/semiconductor equations.
- Increase in packing density and multilayer technology requires modeling of interconncet to ensure that thermic/electro-magnetic effects do not disturb signal transmission.



The need for model reduction techniques

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

- Introduction
- Linear System Circuit Simulation Goals
- Balanced Truncation
- Miscellanea
- Conclusions
- References

Progressive miniaturization

- Verification of VLSI/ULSI chip design requires high number of simulations for different input signals.
- Moore's Law (1965/75) → steady increase of describing equations, i.e., network topology (Kirchhoff's laws) and characteristic element/semiconductor equations.

Multilayer technology





The need for model reduction techniques

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear System Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation Miscellanea Conclusions References

Progressive miniaturization

 Verification of VLSI/ULSI chip design requires high number of simulations for different input signals.

 \rightsquigarrow Clear need for model reduction techniques in order to facilitate or even enable circuit simulation for current and future VLSI design.



The need for model reduction techniques

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear System: Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation Miscellanea Conclusions References

Progressive miniaturization

 Verification of VLSI/ULSI chip design requires high number of simulations for different input signals.

 \rightsquigarrow Clear need for model reduction techniques in order to facilitate or even enable circuit simulation for current and future VLSI design.

Here: linear systems, they occur in micro electronics through modified nodal analysis (MNA) for RLC networks. e.g., when

- decoupling large linear subcircuits,
- modeling transmission lines,
- modeling pin packages in VLSI chips,
- modeling circuit elements described by Maxwell's equation using partial element equivalent circuits (PEEC).



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear System Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Automatic generation of compact models.

 Satisfy desired error tolerance for all admissible input signals, i.e., want

 $\|y - \hat{y}\| < \text{tolerance} \cdot \|u\| \qquad \forall u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m).$

- \implies Need computable error bound/estimate!
- Preserve physical properties:
 - stability (poles of G in \mathbb{C}^- , i.e., $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^-$)
 - minimum phase (zeroes of G in \mathbb{C}^-),
 - passivity



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

- Introduction
- Linear System Circuit Simulation Goals
- Balanced Truncation
- Miscellanea
- Conclusions
- References

- Automatic generation of compact models.
- Satisfy desired error tolerance for all admissible input signals, i.e., want

 $\|y - \hat{y}\| < ext{tolerance} \cdot \|u\| \qquad \forall u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m).$

\implies Need computable error bound/estimate!

- Preserve physical properties:
 - stability (poles of G in \mathbb{C}^- , i.e., $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^-$),
 - minimum phase (zeroes of G in \mathbb{C}^-),
 - passivity



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear System Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

- Automatic generation of compact models.
- Satisfy desired error tolerance for all admissible input signals, i.e., want

 $\|y - \hat{y}\| < \text{tolerance} \cdot \|u\| \qquad \forall u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m).$

- \implies Need computable error bound/estimate!
- Preserve physical properties:
 - stability (poles of G in \mathbb{C}^- , i.e., $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^-$)
 - minimum phase (zeroes of G in $\mathbb{C}^-)$
 - passivity



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

- Introduction
- Linear System Circuit Simulation Goals
- Balanced Truncation
- Miscellanea
- Conclusions
- References

- Automatic generation of compact models.
- Satisfy desired error tolerance for all admissible input signals, i.e., want

 $\|y - \hat{y}\| < \text{tolerance} \cdot \|u\| \qquad \forall u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m).$

- \implies Need computable error bound/estimate!
- Preserve physical properties:
 - stability (poles of G in \mathbb{C}^- , i.e., $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^-$),
 - minimum phase (zeroes of G in \mathbb{C}^-),
 - passivity



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear System Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

- Automatic generation of compact models.
- Satisfy desired error tolerance for all admissible input signals, i.e., want

 $\|y - \hat{y}\| < \text{tolerance} \cdot \|u\| \qquad \forall u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m).$

- \implies Need computable error bound/estimate!
- Preserve physical properties:
 - stability (poles of G in \mathbb{C}^- , i.e., $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^-$),
 - minimum phase (zeroes of G in \mathbb{C}^-),

passivity



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear System Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

- Automatic generation of compact models.
- Satisfy desired error tolerance for all admissible input signals, i.e., want

 $\|y - \hat{y}\| < \text{tolerance} \cdot \|u\| \qquad \forall u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m).$

- \implies Need computable error bound/estimate!
- Preserve physical properties:
 - stability (poles of G in \mathbb{C}^- , i.e., $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^-$),
 - minimum phase (zeroes of G in \mathbb{C}^-),
 - passivity:

 $\int_{-\infty}^{t} u(\tau)^{\mathsf{T}} y(\tau) \, d\tau \geq 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m).$

("system does not generate energy").



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Linear System Circuit Simulation Goals

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

- Automatic generation of compact models.
- Satisfy desired error tolerance for all admissible input signals, i.e., want

 $\|y - \hat{y}\| < \text{tolerance} \cdot \|u\| \qquad \forall u \in L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m).$

 \implies Need computable error bound/estimate!

- Preserve physical properties:
 - stability (poles of G in \mathbb{C}^- , i.e., $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^-$),
 - minimum phase (zeroes of G in \mathbb{C}^-),

- passivity

A variety of methods for linear model reduction exist (e.g., momentmatching, rational interpolation, \ldots), here we only consider systemtheoretic methods which have advantageous theoretical properties, but are often considered not applicable for really large-scale problems.



Balanced Truncation

Motivation: best approximation using SVD

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Theorem: (Schmidt-Mirsky/Eckart-Young)

Best rank-*r* approximation to $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_y}$ w.r.t. spectral norm:

$$\widehat{X} = \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j u_j v_j^T,$$

where $X = U\Sigma V^T$ is the singular value decomposition (SVD) of X, where $U = [u_1, ...], V = [v_1, ...], \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, ...).$

The approximation error is $||X - \hat{X}||_2 = \sigma_{r+1}$.



Balanced Truncation

Motivation: best approximation using SVD

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

systems Large-Scale, Sparse System

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Theorem: (Schmidt-Mirsky/Eckart-Young)

Best rank-*r* approximation to $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_y}$ w.r.t. spectral norm:

$$\widehat{X} = \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_j u_j v_j^T,$$

where $X = U\Sigma V^T$ is the singular value decomposition (SVD) of X, where $U = [u_1, \ldots], V = [v_1, \ldots], \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots).$

The approximation error is $||X - \hat{X}||_2 = \sigma_{r+1}$.

Idea for dimension reduction

```
Instead of X save u_1, \ldots, u_r, \sigma_1 v_1, \ldots, \sigma_r v_r.
```

 \Rightarrow memory = $r \times (n_x + n_y)$ instead of $n_x \times n_y$.



Balanced Truncation

Motivation: best approximation using SVD

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

ntroduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Related MR

Descriptor systems

Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Data compression via SVD works, if the singular values decay (exponentially).

Singular Value Decay





BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems Large-Scale

Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Idea:

 A system Σ, realized by (A, B, C, D, E), is called balanced, if solutions P, Q of the Lyapunov equations

 $APE^{\mathsf{T}} + EPA^{\mathsf{T}} + BB^{\mathsf{T}} = 0, \quad A^{\mathsf{T}}QE + E^{\mathsf{T}}QA + C^{\mathsf{T}}C = 0,$

satisfy: $P = E^T Q E = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ with $\sigma_1 \ge \dots \ge \sigma_n > 0$.

• $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}$ are the Hankel singular values (HSVs) of Σ .

• Compute balanced realization of the system via system equivalence transformation $(S, T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \text{ nonsingular})$

 $\mathcal{T}: (A, B, C, D, E) \mapsto (TAS, TB, CS, D, TES)$

 $= \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \end{bmatrix}, D, \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right)$ • Truncation $\rightsquigarrow (\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D}, \hat{E}) = (A_{11}, B_1, C_1, D, E_{11}).$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems Large-Scale

Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Idea:

A system Σ, realized by (A, B, C, D, E), is called balanced, if solutions P, Q of the Lyapunov equations
 APE^T + EPA^T + BB^T = 0, A^TQE + E^TQA + C^TC = 0,

satisfy: $P = E^T Q E = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ with $\sigma_1 \ge \ldots \ge \sigma_n > 0$.

• $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}$ are the Hankel singular values (HSVs) of Σ .

Compute balanced realization of the system via system equivalence transformation $(S, T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \text{ nonsingular})$

 $\mathcal{T}: (A, B, C, D, E) \mapsto (TAS, TB, CS, D, TES)$

 $= \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \end{bmatrix}, D, \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right)$ • Truncation $\rightsquigarrow (\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D}, \hat{E}) = (A_{11}, B_1, C_1, D, E_{11}).$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems Large-Scale

Sparse System

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Idea:

 A system Σ, realized by (A, B, C, D, E), is called balanced, if solutions P, Q of the Lyapunov equations

 $APE^{\mathsf{T}} + EPA^{\mathsf{T}} + BB^{\mathsf{T}} = 0, \quad A^{\mathsf{T}}QE + E^{\mathsf{T}}QA + C^{\mathsf{T}}C = 0,$

satisfy: $P = E^T Q E = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ with $\sigma_1 \ge \dots \ge \sigma_n > 0$.

- $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}$ are the Hankel singular values (HSVs) of Σ .
- Compute balanced realization of the system via system equivalence transformation $(S, T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \text{ nonsingular})$

 $\mathcal{T}: (A, B, C, D, E) \mapsto (TAS, TB, CS, D, TES)$

$$= \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \end{bmatrix}, D, \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

• Truncation $\rightsquigarrow (\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D}, \hat{E}) = (A_{11}, B_1, C_1, D, E_{11}).$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems Large-Scale

Sparse System

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Idea:

 A system Σ, realized by (A, B, C, D, E), is called balanced, if solutions P, Q of the Lyapunov equations

 $APE^{T} + EPA^{T} + BB^{T} = 0, \quad A^{T}QE + E^{T}QA + C^{T}C = 0,$

satisfy: $P = E^T Q E = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)$ with $\sigma_1 \ge \dots \ge \sigma_n > 0$.

- $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}$ are the Hankel singular values (HSVs) of Σ .
- Compute balanced realization of the system via system equivalence transformation $(S, T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \text{ nonsingular})$

 $\mathcal{T}: (A, B, C, D, E) \mapsto (TAS, TB, CS, D, TES)$

 $= \left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} C_1 & C_2 \end{array} \right], D, \left[\begin{array}{cc} E_{11} & E_{12} \\ E_{21} & E_{22} \end{array} \right] \right)$ $\blacksquare \text{ Truncation } \rightsquigarrow \left(\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D}, \hat{E} \right) = (A_{11}, B_1, C_1, D, E_{11}).$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Motivation:

HSV are system invariants: they are preserved under T and determine the energy transfer given by the Hankel map

$$\mathcal{H}: L_2(-\infty, 0) \mapsto L_2(0, \infty): u_- \mapsto y_+.$$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Motivation:

HSV are system invariants: they are preserved under T and determine the energy transfer given by the Hankel map

$$\mathcal{H}: L_2(-\infty, 0) \mapsto L_2(0, \infty): u_- \mapsto y_+.$$

In balanced coordinates ... energy transfer from u_{-} to y_{+} :

$$E := \sup_{u \in L_2(-\infty,0] \atop x(0) = x_0} \frac{\int_0^\infty y(t)^T y(t) dt}{\int_{-\infty}^0 u(t)^T u(t) dt} = \frac{1}{\|x_0\|_2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j^2 x_{0,j}^2$$


BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

Systems

Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Motivation:

HSV are system invariants: they are preserved under \mathcal{T} and determine the energy transfer given by the Hankel map

$$\mathcal{H}: L_2(-\infty, 0) \mapsto L_2(0, \infty): u_- \mapsto y_+.$$

In balanced coordinates ... energy transfer from u_{-} to y_{+} :

$$E := \sup_{u \in L_2(-\infty,0] \atop x(0)=x_0} \frac{\int_0^\infty y(t)^T y(t) dt}{\int_{-\infty}^0 u(t)^T u(t) dt} = \frac{1}{\|x_0\|_2} \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j^2 x_{0,j}^2$$

 \implies Truncate states corresponding to "small" HSVs \implies complete analogy to best approximation via SVD!



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas Balancing-

MR Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Implementation: SR Method

 Compute Cholesky factors of the solutions of the Lyapunov equations,

$$P = S^T S, \quad E^T Q E = R^T R.$$

$$SR^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1, U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^{T} \\ V_2^{T} \end{bmatrix}.$$

3 Set

$$W = (RE^{-1})^T V_1 \Sigma_1^{-1/2}, \qquad V = S^T U_1 \Sigma_1^{-1/2}$$

If Reduced model is $(W^T A V, W^T B, C V, D, W^T E V)$.

Remark: Low-rank (rectangular) approximations of S, R can be computed directly using several methods, e.g. sign function [B./QUINTANA-ORTf] and methods for large, sparse problems (\rightsquigarrow later).



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Related MR

systems Large-Scale,

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Implementation: SR Method

 Compute Cholesky factors of the solutions of the Lyapunov equations,

$$P = S^T S, \quad E^T Q E = R^T R.$$

2 Compute SVD

$$SR^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} U_1, U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^{T} \\ V_2^{T} \end{bmatrix}.$$

3 Set

 $W = (RE^{-1})^T V_1 \Sigma_1^{-1/2}, \qquad V = S^T U_1 \Sigma_1^{-1/2}.$

A Reduced model is $(W^T A V, W^T B, C V, D, W^T E V)$.

Remark: Low-rank (rectangular) approximations of S, R can be computed directly using several methods, e.g. sign function [B./QUINTANA-ORTf] and methods for large, sparse problems (\rightsquigarrow later) \Rightarrow small-size SVD.



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas Balancing-Related

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Implementation: SR Method

 Compute Cholesky factors of the solutions of the Lyapunov equations,

$$P = S^T S, \quad E^T Q E = R^T R.$$

2 Compute SVD

$$SR^{T} = [U_1, U_2] \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^{T} \\ V_2^{T} \end{bmatrix}.$$

3 Set

$$W = (RE^{-1})^T V_1 \Sigma_1^{-1/2}, \qquad V = S^T U_1 \Sigma_1^{-1/2}$$

4 Reduced model is $(W^T AV, W^T B, CV, D, \underbrace{W^T EV}_{=1})$.

Remark: Reduced-order model with $E \neq l_r$ can be computed using balancing-free SR method [SAFONOV/CHIANG '89, STYKEL '04].



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems Large-Scale, Sparse System

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Basic Principle of Balanced Truncation

Given positive semidefinite matrices $P = S^T S$, $Q = R^T R$, compute balancing state-space transformation so that

$$P = Q = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) = \Sigma, \quad \sigma_1 \ge \ldots \ge \sigma_n \ge 0,$$

and truncate corresponding realization at size r with $\sigma_r > \sigma_{r+1}$.



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems Large-Scale, Sparse System

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Basic Principle of Balanced Truncation

Given positive semidefinite matrices $P = S^T S$, $Q = R^T R$, compute balancing state-space transformation so that

$$P = Q = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) = \Sigma, \quad \sigma_1 \ge \ldots \ge \sigma_n \ge 0,$$

and truncate corresponding realization at size r with $\sigma_r > \sigma_{r+1}$.

Classical Balanced Truncation (BT) MULLIS/ROBERTS '76, MOORE '81

- P =controllability Gramian of system given by (A, B, C, D).
- Q = observability Gramian of system given by (A, B, C, D).
- *P*, *Q* solve dual Lyapunov equations

 $AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0, \qquad A^TQ + QA + C^TC = 0.$

Need stability of A!



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems Large-Scale, Sparse System

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Basic Principle of Balanced Truncation

Given positive semidefinite matrices $P = S^T S$, $Q = R^T R$, compute balancing state-space transformation so that

$$P = Q = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) = \Sigma, \quad \sigma_1 \ge \ldots \ge \sigma_n \ge 0,$$

and truncate corresponding realization at size r with $\sigma_r > \sigma_{r+1}$.

LQG Balanced Truncation (LQGBT)

JONCKHEERE/SILVERMAN '83

- P/Q = controllability/observability Gramian of closed-loop system based on LQG compensator.
- *P*, *Q* solve dual algebraic Riccati equations (AREs)

$$0 = AP + PA^{T} - PC^{T}CP + B^{T}B,$$

$$0 = A^{T}Q + QA - QBB^{T}Q + C^{T}C.$$

Can be applied to unstable systems!



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems Large-Scale, Sparse System

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Basic Principle of Balanced Truncation

Given positive semidefinite matrices $P = S^T S$, $Q = R^T R$, compute balancing state-space transformation so that

$$P = Q = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) = \Sigma, \quad \sigma_1 \ge \ldots \ge \sigma_n \ge 0,$$

and truncate corresponding realization at size *r* with $\sigma_r > \sigma_{r+1}$.

Balanced Stochastic Truncation (BST) DESAI/PAL '84, GREEN '88

- P = controllability Gramian of system given by (A, B, C, D),i.e., solution of Lyapunov equation $AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0.$
- Q = observability Gramian of right spectral factor of power spectrum of system given by (A, B, C, D), i.e., solution of ARE

$$\hat{A}^T Q + Q \hat{A} + Q B_W (D D^T)^{-1} B_W^T Q + C^T (D D^T)^{-1} C = 0,$$

where $\hat{A} := A - B_W (DD^T)^{-1}C$, $B_W := BD^T + PC^T$.



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems Large-Scale, Sparse System

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Basic Principle of Balanced Truncation

Given positive semidefinite matrices $P = S^T S$, $Q = R^T R$, compute balancing state-space transformation so that

 $P = Q = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) = \Sigma, \quad \sigma_1 \ge \ldots \ge \sigma_n \ge 0,$

and truncate corresponding realization at size r with $\sigma_r > \sigma_{r+1}$.

Positive-Real Balanced Truncation (PRBT)

GREEN '88

- Based on positive-real equations, related to positive real (Kalman-Yakubovich-Popov-Anderson) lemma.
- P, Q solve dual AREs

$$0 = \overline{A}P + P\overline{A}^{T} + PC^{T}\overline{R}^{-1}CP + B\overline{R}^{-1}B^{T},$$

$$0 = \overline{A}^{T}Q + Q\overline{A} + QB\overline{R}^{-1}B^{T}Q + C^{T}\overline{R}^{-1}C,$$

where $\bar{R} = D + D^T$, $\bar{A} = A - B\bar{R}^{-1}C$.



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems Large-Scale, Sparse System

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Basic Principle of Balanced Truncation

Given positive semidefinite matrices $P = S^T S$, $Q = R^T R$, compute balancing state-space transformation so that

 $P = Q = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n) = \Sigma, \quad \sigma_1 \ge \ldots \ge \sigma_n \ge 0,$

and truncate corresponding realization at size *r* with $\sigma_r > \sigma_{r+1}$.

Other Balancing-Based Methods

- Bounded-real balanced truncation (BRBT) based on bounded real lemma [OPDENACKER/JONCKHEERE '88];
- H_{∞} balanced truncation (HinfBT) closed-loop balancing based on H_{∞} compensator [MUSTAFA/GLOVER '91].

Both approaches require solution of dual AREs.

Frequency-weighted versions of the above approaches.

Mil

Balancing-Related Model Reduction

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ideas

Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems Large-Scale, Sparse System

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

- Guaranteed preservation of physical properties like
 - stability (all),

I

- passivity (PRBT), → cf. Oral CS 3C (T. Stykel) Thu, 16:00h,
- minimum phase (BST).
- Computable error bounds, e.g.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{BT:} \quad \|G - \hat{G}\|_{\infty} &\leq 2 \sum_{j=r+1}^{n} \sigma_{j}^{BT}, \\ \mathsf{L}\mathsf{QGBT:} \quad \|G - \hat{G}\|_{\infty} &\leq 2 \sum_{j=r+1}^{n} \frac{\sigma_{j}^{LQG}}{\sqrt{1 + (\sigma_{j}^{LQG})^{2}}}, \\ \mathsf{BST:} \quad \|G - \hat{G}\|_{\infty} &\leq \left(\prod_{j=r+1}^{n} \frac{1 + \sigma_{j}^{BST}}{1 - \sigma_{j}^{BST}} - 1\right) \|G\|_{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

- Can be combined with singular perturbation approximation for steady-state performance.
- Computations can be modularized.



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Now: *E* singular.

Often, one finds statements that BT can be based on the generalized Lyapunov equations

 $APE^{T} + EPA^{T} + BB^{T} = 0, \quad A^{T}QE + E^{T}QA + C^{T}C = 0,$ (1)

e.g.,

J. Phillips, L.M. Silveira. Poor Man's TBR: A Simple Model Reduction Scheme. Proc. DATE 2004, Vol. 2.

J.R. Phillips, L.M. Silveira.
 Poor man's TBR: A simple model reduction scheme.
 IEEE Trans. Comput.-Aided Des. Integr. Circuits Syst., 24(1):43–55, 2005.



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Now: *E* singular.

Often, one finds statements that BT can be based on the generalized Lyapunov equations

 $APE^{T} + EPA^{T} + BB^{T} = 0, \quad A^{T}QE + E^{T}QA + C^{T}C = 0,$ (1)

e.g.,

J. Phillips, L.M. Silveira. Poor Man's TBR: A Simple Model Reduction Scheme. Proc. DATE 2004, Vol. 2.

J.R. Phillips, L.M. Silveira.
 Poor man's TBR: A simple model reduction scheme.
 IEEE Trans. Comput.-Aided Des. Integr. Circuits Syst., 24(1):43–55, 2005.

This is wrong in general! — (1) may or may not have solutions, no matter whether the associated system is asymptotically stable or not! Thus, model reduction algorithms should not be based on (1)!



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

 Fully developed theory and numerical algorithms for balanced truncation [STYKEL '02-'08], based on projected generalized Lyapunov equations

$$APE^{T} + EPA^{T} + \mathcal{P}_{\ell}BB^{T}\mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{T} = 0, \quad P = \mathcal{P}_{r}P,$$

$$A^{T}QE + E^{T}QA + \mathcal{P}_{r}^{T}C^{T}C\mathcal{P}_{r} = 0, \quad Q = Q\mathcal{P}_{\ell},$$

where $\mathcal{P}_r, \mathcal{P}_\ell$ are the spectral projectors onto the right and left deflating subspaces of $\lambda E - A$ corresponding to the finite eigenvalues.

Theory and algorithms are based implicitly on Weierstraß canonical form

$$\lambda E - A = T \begin{bmatrix} \lambda I_{n_f} - J^0 & 0\\ 0 & \lambda N - J^\infty \end{bmatrix} S^{-1},$$

where

- J^0 contains finite eigenvalues,
- $N \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\infty} \times n_{\infty}}$ is nilpotent of index ν ($N^{\nu} = 0, N^{\nu-1} \neq 0$), and
- $-n_{\infty} = n n_f$ is the number of infinite eigenvalues.



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

 Fully developed theory and numerical algorithms for balanced truncation [STYKEL '02-'08], based on projected generalized Lyapunov equations

$$APE^{T} + EPA^{T} + \mathcal{P}_{\ell}BB^{T}\mathcal{P}_{\ell}^{T} = 0, \quad P = \mathcal{P}_{r}P,$$

$$A^{T}QE + E^{T}QA + \mathcal{P}_{r}^{T}C^{T}C\mathcal{P}_{r} = 0, \quad Q = Q\mathcal{P}_{\ell},$$

where $\mathcal{P}_r, \mathcal{P}_\ell$ are the spectral projectors onto the right and left deflating subspaces of $\lambda E - A$ corresponding to the finite eigenvalues.

Theory and algorithms are based implicitly on Weierstraß canonical form

$$\lambda E - A = T \left[egin{array}{cc} \lambda I_{n_f} - J^0 & 0 \ 0 & \lambda N - J^\infty \end{array}
ight] S^{-1},$$

where

- J^0 contains finite eigenvalues,
- $N \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\infty} \times n_{\infty}}$ is nilpotent of index ν ($N^{\nu} = 0, N^{\nu-1} \neq 0$), and
- $n_{\infty} = n n_f$ is the number of infinite eigenvalues.



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

 Here: use algorithm mathematically equivalent to [STYKEL '02-'08] based on explicit decomposition

$$G(s) = G_f(s) + G_\infty(s),$$

where $G_f(s)$, $G_{\infty}(s)$ correspond to finite, infinite poles, resp. lock-diagonalization of $\lambda E - A$:

$$\lambda \hat{E} - \hat{A} := U(\lambda E - A)V^{-1} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} E_0 & 0\\ 0 & E_\infty \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A_f & 0\\ 0 & A_\infty \end{bmatrix},$$

and setting

$$\hat{B} := UB =: \begin{bmatrix} B_f \\ B_\infty \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{C} := CV^{-1} =: \begin{bmatrix} C_f & C_\infty \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{D} := D.$$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ide Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

 Here: use algorithm mathematically equivalent to [STYKEL '02-'08] based on explicit decomposition

$$G(s) = G_f(s) + G_\infty(s),$$

where $G_f(s), G_{\infty}(s)$ correspond to finite, infinite poles, resp.

• This is achieved by computing block-diagonalization of $\lambda E - A$:

$$\lambda \hat{E} - \hat{A} := U(\lambda E - A)V^{-1} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} E_0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_\infty \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A_f & 0 \\ 0 & A_\infty \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\hat{B} := UB =: \begin{bmatrix} B_f \\ B_\infty \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{C} := CV^{-1} =: [C_f C_\infty], \quad \hat{D} := D.$$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Block-diagonalization of $\lambda E - A$:

$$\lambda \hat{E} - \hat{A} := U(\lambda E - A)V^{-1} = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} E_0 & 0 \\ 0 & E_\infty \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A_f & 0 \\ 0 & A_\infty \end{bmatrix},$$

and setting

$$\hat{B} := UB =: \begin{bmatrix} B_f \\ B_\infty \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{C} := CV^{-1} =: \begin{bmatrix} C_f & C_\infty \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{D} := D.$$

Then

G

$$(s) = C(sE - A)^{-1}B + D = \hat{C}(s\hat{E} - \hat{A})^{-1}\hat{B} + \hat{D}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} C_f & C_\infty \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} sE_f - A_f \\ sE_\infty - A_\infty \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} B_f \\ B_\infty \end{bmatrix} + D$$

$$= \underbrace{C_f(sE_f - A_f)^{-1}B_f}_{=:G_f(s)} + \underbrace{C_\infty(sE_\infty - A_\infty)^{-1}B_\infty + D}_{:=G_\infty(s)}.$$

 \rightsquigarrow apply BT to $G_f \rightsquigarrow \hat{G}_f$, compute minimal realization of G_{∞} .



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Implementation: block diagonalization

Block-triangular form) Use disk function method (quadratically convergent, matrix multiplication rich, inverse-free algorithm) to obtain Q, Z orthogonal such that

$$Q^{T}(\lambda E - A)Z = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} E_{f} & W_{E} \\ 0 & E_{\infty} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A_{f} & W_{A} \\ 0 & A_{\infty} \end{bmatrix}$$

2 (Block-diagonal form) Solve generalized Sylvester equation $A_f Y + ZA_{\infty} + W_4 = 0, \quad E_f Y + ZE_{\infty} + W_F = 0.$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Implementation: block diagonalization

[] (Block-triangular form) Use disk function method (quadratically convergent, matrix multiplication rich, inverse-free algorithm) to obtain Q, Z orthogonal such that

$$Q^{T}(\lambda E - A)Z = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} E_{f} & W_{E} \\ 0 & E_{\infty} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A_{f} & W_{A} \\ 0 & A_{\infty} \end{bmatrix}$$

2 (Block-diagonal form) Solve generalized Sylvester equation

$$A_f Y + ZA_{\infty} + W_A = 0, \quad E_f Y + ZE_{\infty} + W_E = 0$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \lambda \hat{E} - \hat{A} &:= \begin{bmatrix} I & Z \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} Q^T (\lambda E - A) Z \begin{bmatrix} I & Y \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \lambda \begin{bmatrix} E_f & 0 \\ 0 & E_\infty \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A_f & 0 \\ 0 & A_\infty \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Implementation: block diagonalization

[] (Block-triangular form) Use disk function method (quadratically convergent, matrix multiplication rich, inverse-free algorithm) to obtain Q, Z orthogonal such that

$$Q^{T}(\lambda E - A)Z = \lambda \begin{bmatrix} E_{f} & W_{E} \\ 0 & E_{\infty} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} A_{f} & W_{A} \\ 0 & A_{\infty} \end{bmatrix}$$

2 (Block-diagonal form) Solve generalized Sylvester equation

 $A_f Y + ZA_{\infty} + W_A = 0, \quad E_f Y + ZE_{\infty} + W_E = 0.$ Simplification for index $\nu = 1$:

$$\begin{cases} E_{\infty} = 0 \\ A_{\infty} \text{ nonsingular} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} Y = -E_f^{-1}W_E, \\ Z = -(W_A - A_f Y)A_{\infty}^{-1}, \end{cases}$$

otherwise use appropriate solver for Sylvester equations, e.g., from SLICOT, see www.slicot.org.



BALANCING-

RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Application to Descriptor Systems

Implementation: solution of Lyapunov equations

Solve

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

$$A_f P E_f^T + E_f P A_f^T + B_f B_f^T = 0, \quad A_f^T Q E_f + E_f^T Q A_f + C_f^T C_f = 0,$$

via dual gen. Newton it. for sign function [B./CLAVER/QUINTANA-ORTÍ '97]:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_0 & \leftarrow & A, \quad S_0 & \leftarrow & B, \quad R_0 & \leftarrow & C \\ \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots & & \\ A_{j+1} & \leftarrow & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c_j}} \left(A_j + c_j^2 E_f A_j^{-1} E_f \right), \\ & S_{j+1} & \leftarrow & \text{full-rank factor of } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c_j}} \left[\begin{array}{c} S_j & c_j E_f A_j^{-1} S_j \end{array} \right] \\ & R_{j+1} & \leftarrow & \text{full-rank factor of } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c_j}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R_j \\ c_j R_j A_j^{-1} E_f \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

Set
$$S := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} E_f^{-1} \lim_{j \to \infty} S_j = \text{ factor of } P,$$

 $R := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \lim_{j \to \infty} R_j = \text{ factor of } E_f^T Q E_f.$



BALANCING-

RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Application to Descriptor Systems

Implementation: solution of Lyapunov equations

Solve

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

$$A_f P E_f^T + E_f P A_f^T + B_f B_f^T = 0, \quad A_f^T Q E_f + E_f^T Q A_f + C_f^T C_f = 0$$

via dual gen. Newton it. for sign function [B./CLAVER/QUINTANA-ORTÍ '97]:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_0 & \leftarrow & A, \quad S_0 & \leftarrow & B, \quad R_0 & \leftarrow & C \\ \mathrm{for} & j = 0, 1, 2, \dots & & \\ & A_{j+1} & \leftarrow & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c_j}} \left(A_j + c_j^2 E_f A_j^{-1} E_f \right), \\ & & S_{j+1} & \leftarrow & \mathrm{full\mbox{-}rank\mbox{ factor of}\mbox{ } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c_j}} \left[\begin{array}{c} S_j & c_j E_f A_j^{-1} S_j \end{array} \right] \\ & & R_{j+1} & \leftarrow & \mathrm{full\mbox{-}rank\mbox{ factor of}\mbox{ } \frac{1}{\sqrt{2c_j}} \left[\begin{array}{c} R_j \\ c_j R_j A_j^{-1} E_f \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

Note: Full-rank factors are computed using rank-revealing LQ/QR factorization (RRLQ/RRQR) with respect to tolerance τ for rank determination, without accumulation of Q.



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Implementation: minimal realization of $\mathcal{G}_\infty(s)$

If index $\nu = 1$:

$$G_\infty(s)\equiv \hat{D}:=D-C_\infty A_\infty^{-1}B_\infty$$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ide Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Implementation: minimal realization of $G_{\infty}(s)$

If index $\nu = 1$:

$$\mathcal{G}_\infty(s)\equiv \hat{D}:=D-\mathcal{C}_\infty A_\infty^{-1}B_\infty.$$

If no feed-through term allowed in simulation software:

$$\hat{A} := \begin{bmatrix} \hat{A} \\ & -I_m \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{B} := \begin{bmatrix} \hat{B} \\ & I_m \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\hat{C} := \begin{bmatrix} \hat{C} & \hat{D} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{E} := \begin{bmatrix} \hat{B} \\ & I_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \hat{G}(s) & := & \hat{G}_f(s) + G_\infty(s) = \hat{C}(s\hat{E} - \hat{A})^{-1}\hat{B} + \hat{D} \\ & = & \hat{C}(s\hat{E} - \hat{A})^{-1}\hat{B} \end{array}$$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ide Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Implementation: minimal realization of $G_{\infty}(s)$

If index $\nu > 1$: the McMillan degree \hat{n}_{∞} of $G_{\infty}(s)$ satisfies [Stykel '02/'04]

 $\hat{n}_{\infty} \leq \min\{\nu m, \nu p, n_{\infty}\}.$

Corresponding minimal realization can be computed by applying balanced truncation with "zero" threshold for polynomial part $G_{\infty}(s)$; for details see [STYKEL '02/'04].



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ide Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Implementation: minimal realization of $\mathcal{G}_\infty(s)$

If index $\nu > 1$: the McMillan degree \hat{n}_{∞} of $G_{\infty}(s)$ satisfies [Stykel '02/'04]

 $\hat{n}_{\infty} \leq \min\{\nu m, \nu p, n_{\infty}\}.$

Corresponding minimal realization can be computed by applying balanced truncation with "zero" threshold for polynomial part $G_{\infty}(s)$; for details see [STYKEL '02/'04].

In any case, $\hat{\mathit{G}}_{\infty}(s) = \mathit{G}_{\infty}(s)$ and thus,

$$G(s) - \hat{G}(s) = G_f(s) - \hat{G}_f(s),$$

therefore

$$\|G-\hat{G}\|_{\infty} \leq 2\sum_{j=r+1}^{n_f} \sigma_j^f.$$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Descriptor systems BT algorithm was implemented in circuit simulator TI-TAN (Qimonda AG, Diploma thesis R. Günzel, 2008).

Example 1: small nonlinear circuit

297 resistors, 268 capacitors, 4 voltage sources, 8 MOSFETs. Linear subcircuit of order n = 297 extracted, reduced to order r = 31. TITAN simulation results:





BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Descriptor systems BT algorithm was implemented in circuit simulator TI-TAN (Qimonda AG, Diploma thesis R. Günzel, 2008).

Example 2: industrial circuit

14,677 resistors, 15,404 capacitors, 14 voltage sources, 4,800 MOSFETs. 14 linear subcircuit of varying order extracted and reduced. TITAN simulation results:





Application to Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea: Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

General misconception: complexity of BT $O(n^3)$ – true for several implementations (e.g., MATLAB, SLICOT)!



Application to Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

ntroduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

General misconception: complexity of BT $O(n^3)$ – true for several implementations (e.g., MATLAB, SLICOT)!

Algorithmic ideas from numerical linear algebra (since \sim 1997):

- Instead of Gramians P, Q or Cholesky factors thereof compute $S, R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, $k \ll n$, such that

$$P \approx SS^T$$
, $Q \approx RR^T$.

 Compute S, R with problem-specific Lyapunov/Riccati solvers of "low" complexity directly.





Application to Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

General misconception: complexity of BT $O(n^3)$ – true for several implementations (e.g., MATLAB, SLICOT)!

Algorithmic ideas from numerical linear algebra (since \sim 1997):

- Instead of Gramians P, Q or Cholesky factors thereof compute $S, R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, $k \ll n$, such that

$$P \approx SS^T$$
, $Q \approx RR^T$.

 Compute S, R with problem-specific Lyapunov/Riccati solvers of "low" complexity directly.



 \rightsquigarrow need solver for large-scale matrix equations which computes S,R directly!



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ide Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ stable, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ($w \ll n$), consider Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^T = -BB^T.$$

ADI Iteration:

[WACHSPRESS '88]

$$(A + p_k I) X_{(j-1)/2} = -BB^T - X_{k-1} (A^T - p_k I)$$

$$(A + \overline{p_k} I) X_k^T = -BB^T - X_{(j-1)/2} (A^T - \overline{p_k} I)$$

with parameters $p_k \in \mathbb{C}^-$ and $p_{k+1} = \overline{p_k}$ if $p_k \notin \mathbb{R}$.

For $X_0 = 0$ and proper choice of p_k : $\lim_{k \to \infty} X_k = X$ superlinear.

• Re-formulation using $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$ yields iteration for $Y_k...$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ide Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ stable, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ($w \ll n$), consider Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^{T} = -BB^{T}.$$

ADI Iteration:

[WACHSPRESS '88]

$$(A + p_k I) \frac{X_{(j-1)/2}}{(A + \overline{p_k} I) X_k}^T = -BB^T - \frac{X_{k-1}(A^T - p_k I)}{(A + \overline{p_k} I) X_k}$$

with parameters $p_k \in \mathbb{C}^-$ and $p_{k+1} = \overline{p_k}$ if $p_k \notin \mathbb{R}$.

For $X_0 = 0$ and proper choice of p_k : $\lim_{k \to \infty} X_k = X$ superlinear.

Re-formulation using $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$ yields iteration for $Y_k...$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ide Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ stable, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ($w \ll n$), consider Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^T = -BB^T.$$

ADI Iteration:

[WACHSPRESS '88]

$$(A + p_k I) \frac{X_{(j-1)/2}}{(A + \overline{p_k} I) X_k^T} = -BB^T - X_{k-1} (A^T - p_k I)$$
$$(A + \overline{p_k} I) \frac{X_k^T}{(A + \overline{p_k} I)} = -BB^T - \frac{X_{(j-1)/2}}{(A^T - \overline{p_k} I)}$$

with parameters $p_k \in \mathbb{C}^-$ and $p_{k+1} = \overline{p_k}$ if $p_k \notin \mathbb{R}$.

For $X_0 = 0$ and proper choice of p_k : $\lim_{k \to \infty} X_k = X$ superlinear.

Re-formulation using $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$ yields iteration for $Y_k...$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ide Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

For $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ stable, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ($w \ll n$), consider Lyapunov equation

$$AX + XA^T = -BB^T.$$

ADI Iteration:

[WACHSPRESS '88]

$$(A + p_k I) \frac{X_{(j-1)/2}}{(A + \overline{p_k} I) X_k^T} = -BB^T - X_{k-1} (A^T - p_k I)$$
$$(A + \overline{p_k} I) \frac{X_k^T}{(A + \overline{p_k} I)} = -BB^T - \frac{X_{(j-1)/2}}{(A + \overline{p_k} I)}$$

with parameters $p_k \in \mathbb{C}^-$ and $p_{k+1} = \overline{p_k}$ if $p_k \notin \mathbb{R}$.

• For $X_0 = 0$ and proper choice of p_k : $\lim_{k \to \infty} X_k = X$ superlinear.

• Re-formulation using $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$ yields iteration for $Y_k...$


Factored ADI Iteration Lyapunov equation $AX + XA^{T} = -BB^{T}$.

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ide Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Setting $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$, some algebraic manipulations \Longrightarrow

Algorithm [PENZL '97, LI/WHITE '02, B./LI/PENZL '99/'08]

$$Y_1 \leftarrow \sqrt{-2\operatorname{Re}(p_1)}(A+p_1I)^{-1}B, \quad Y_1 \leftarrow V_1$$

FOR
$$j = 2, 3, ...$$

ν

$$\begin{split} & V_k \leftarrow \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Re}(p_k)}{\operatorname{Re}(p_{k-1})}} \left(V_{k-1} - (p_k + \overline{p_{k-1}}) (A + p_k I)^{-1} V_{k-1} \right), \\ & Y_k \leftarrow \operatorname{rrqr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} Y_{k-1} & V_k \end{bmatrix} \right) & \% \quad \text{column compression} \end{split}$$

At convergence, $Y_{k_{\max}} Y_{k_{\max}}^T \approx X$, where

range
$$(Y_{k_{\max}})$$
 = range $(\begin{bmatrix} V_1 & \dots & V_{k_{\max}} \end{bmatrix}), \quad V_k = \begin{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}. \end{bmatrix}$



Factored ADI Iteration Lyapunov equation $AX + XA^{T} = -BB^{T}$.

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ide Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Setting $X_k = Y_k Y_k^T$, some algebraic manipulations \Longrightarrow

Algorithm [PENZL '97, LI/WHITE '02, B./LI/PENZL '99/'08]

$$Y_1 \leftarrow \sqrt{-2\operatorname{Re}(p_1)}(A+p_1I)^{-1}B, \quad Y_1 \leftarrow V_1$$

FOR
$$j = 2, 3, ...$$

V

$$\begin{split} V_k &\leftarrow \sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{Re}(p_k)}{\operatorname{Re}(p_{k-1})}} \left(V_{k-1} - (p_k + \overline{p_{k-1}}) (A + p_k I)^{-1} V_{k-1} \right), \\ Y_k &\leftarrow \operatorname{rrqr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} Y_{k-1} & V_k \end{bmatrix} \right) \qquad \% \quad \text{column compression} \end{split}$$

At convergence, $Y_{k_{\max}} Y_{k_{\max}}^T \approx X$, where

$$\operatorname{range}(Y_{k_{\max}}) = \operatorname{range}\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}V_1 & \ldots & V_{k_{\max}}\end{array}\right]\right), \quad V_k = \left[\begin{array}{cc}\mathbb{C}^{n \times m}.\end{array}\right]$$



Application to Large-Scale, Sparse Systems Numerical example: butterfly gyro

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

- FEM discretization of MEMS device (micro gyroscope) $\rightarrow n = 34,722, m = 1, p = 12.$
- Reduced model computed using BT with low-rank ADI for Lyapunov equations, r = 30.







Recent developments for large-scale Lyapunov equations

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

- Improved ADI parameter selection strategies for non-real spectra [B./MENA/SAAK '06/'08, SABINO '06, TRUHAR/LI/TOMLJANOVIĆ '08].
- Competitive Krylov subspace method based on projection onto

 $\mathcal{K}(A,B,k) \cup \mathcal{K}(A^{-1},A^{-1}B,k) = \operatorname{span}\{b,A^{-1}b,Ab,A^{-2}b,A2b,\ldots\},$

- Hybrid ADI + Galerkin projection [B./LI/TRUHAR '08].
- Under development: Hybrid K-PIK + ADI, dominant poles as ADI parameters (with J. Saak, J. Rommes).
- Low-rank ADI for descriptor systems:
 - [STYKEL '06/'08] for projected generalized Lyapunov equations;
 - [Heinkenschloss/Sorensen/Sun '06/'08] for Oseen-type systems;
 - [FREITAS/MARTINS/ROMMES '08, B. '07/'08] for different semi-explicit index-1 systems.



Recent developments for large-scale Lyapunov equations

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

- Improved ADI parameter selection strategies for non-real spectra [B./MENA/SAAK '06/'08, SABINO '06, TRUHAR/LI/TOMLJANOVIĆ '08].
- Competitive Krylov subspace method based on projection onto

 $\mathcal{K}(A,B,k) \cup \mathcal{K}(A^{-1},A^{-1}B,k) = \operatorname{span}\{b,A^{-1}b,Ab,A^{-2}b,A2b,\ldots\},$

- Hybrid ADI + Galerkin projection [B./LI/TRUHAR '08].
- Under development: Hybrid K-PIK + ADI, dominant poles as ADI parameters (with J. Saak, J. Rommes).
- Low-rank ADI for descriptor systems:
 - [STYKEL '06/'08] for projected generalized Lyapunov equations;
 - [Heinkenschloss/Sorensen/Sun '06/'08] for Oseen-type systems;
 - [FREITAS/MARTINS/ROMMES '08, B. '07/'08] for different semi-explicit index-1 systems.



Recent developments for large-scale Lyapunov equations

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

- Improved ADI parameter selection strategies for non-real spectra [B./MENA/SAAK '06/'08, SABINO '06, TRUHAR/LI/TOMLJANOVIĆ '08].
- Competitive Krylov subspace method based on projection onto

 $\mathcal{K}(A,B,k) \cup \mathcal{K}(A^{-1},A^{-1}B,k) = \operatorname{span}\{b,A^{-1}b,Ab,A^{-2}b,A2b,\ldots\},$

- Hybrid ADI + Galerkin projection [B./LI/TRUHAR '08].
- Under development: Hybrid K-PIK + ADI, dominant poles as ADI parameters (with J. Saak, J. Rommes).
- Low-rank ADI for descriptor systems:
 - [STYKEL '06/'08] for projected generalized Lyapunov equations;
 - [Heinkenschloss/Sorensen/Sun '06/'08] for Oseen-type systems;
 - [FREITAS/MARTINS/ROMMES '08, B. '07/'08] for different semi-explicit index-1 systems.



Recent developments for large-scale Lyapunov equations

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

- Improved ADI parameter selection strategies for non-real spectra [B./MENA/SAAK '06/'08, SABINO '06, TRUHAR/LI/TOMLJANOVIĆ '08].
- Competitive Krylov subspace method based on projection onto

 $\mathcal{K}(A,B,k)\cup\mathcal{K}(A^{-1},A^{-1}B,k)=\mathrm{span}\{b,A^{-1}b,Ab,A^{-2}b,A2b,\ldots\},$

- Hybrid ADI + Galerkin projection [B./LI/TRUHAR '08].
- Under development: Hybrid K-PIK + ADI, dominant poles as ADI parameters (with J. Saak, J. Rommes).
- Low-rank ADI for descriptor systems:
 - [STYKEL '06/'08] for projected generalized Lyapunov equations;
 - [Heinkenschloss/Sorensen/Sun '06/'08] for Oseen-type systems;
 - [FREITAS/MARTINS/ROMMES '08, B. '07/'08] for different semi-explicit index-1 systems.



Recent developments for large-scale Lyapunov equations

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Idea Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

- Improved ADI parameter selection strategies for non-real spectra [B./MENA/SAAK '06/'08, SABINO '06, TRUHAR/LI/TOMLJANOVIĆ '08].
- Competitive Krylov subspace method based on projection onto

 $\mathcal{K}(A,B,k)\cup\mathcal{K}(A^{-1},A^{-1}B,k)=\mathrm{span}\{b,A^{-1}b,Ab,A^{-2}b,A2b,\ldots\},$

- Hybrid ADI + Galerkin projection [B./LI/TRUHAR '08].
- Under development: Hybrid K-PIK + ADI, dominant poles as ADI parameters (with J. Saak, J. Rommes).
- Low-rank ADI for descriptor systems:
 - [STYKEL '06/'08] for projected generalized Lyapunov equations;
 - [HEINKENSCHLOSS/SORENSEN/SUN '06/'08] for Oseen-type systems;
 - [FREITAS/MARTINS/ROMMES '08, B. '07/'08] for different semi-explicit index-1 systems.



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ide Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Recall: balancing-related model reduction methods like positive-real balancing (\rightsquigarrow passivity-preserving) require solution of algebraic Riccati equations (AREs) of the form

$$W + A^{\mathsf{T}} X E + E^{\mathsf{T}} X A + E^{\mathsf{T}} X G X E = 0.$$
 (1)

- Various algorithms for dense matrices; e.g., implementation of PRBT for *E* ≠ *I_n* nonsingular [B./QUINTANA-ORTÍ×2 '04] based on sign function method.
- For large, sparse matrices: use Newton's method ~> Newton step = solution of Lyapunov equation ~> use low-rank ADI, obtain approximate solution in low-rank format [B./LI/PENZL '99/'00].



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ide Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Recall: balancing-related model reduction methods like positive-real balancing (\rightsquigarrow passivity-preserving) require solution of algebraic Riccati equations (AREs) of the form

$$W + A^{\mathsf{T}} X E + E^{\mathsf{T}} X A + E^{\mathsf{T}} X G X E = 0.$$
 (1)

- Various algorithms for dense matrices; e.g., implementation of PRBT for *E* ≠ *I_n* nonsingular [B./QUINTANA-ORTÍ×2 '04] based on sign function method.
- For large, sparse matrices: use Newton's method → Newton step = solution of Lyapunov equation → use low-rank ADI, obtain approximate solution in low-rank format [B./LI/PENZL '99/'00].



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ide Balancing-Related MR

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Recall: balancing-related model reduction methods like positive-real balancing (\rightsquigarrow passivity-preserving) require solution of algebraic Riccati equations (AREs) of the form

$$W + A^{\mathsf{T}} X E + E^{\mathsf{T}} X A + E^{\mathsf{T}} X G X E = 0.$$
 (1)

Generalization to descriptor systems: Do not use (1)!

[heorem]

Consider the projected ARE

$$\mathcal{P}_r^T \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{P}_r + \mathcal{A}^T \mathcal{X} \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E}^T \mathcal{X} \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{E}^T \mathcal{X} \mathcal{G} \mathcal{X} \mathcal{E} = 0, \quad \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{P}_\ell^T \mathcal{X} \mathcal{P}_\ell.$$
(2)

with $G = G^T \ge 0$ and $Q = Q^T \ge 0$ and $\mathcal{P}_r/\mathcal{P}_\ell$: projectors onto right/left defl. subspaces of $\lambda E - A$ wrt finite e-values.

If (E, A, G) is stabilizable and (E, A, Q) is detectable, then (2) has a unique stabilizing solution.

Algorithms based on Newton's method using various Lyapunov solvers for dense or large-scale problems [B./STYKEL '08].

[B./STYKEL '08]



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

The Basic Ide Balancing-Related

Descriptor systems

Large-Scale, Sparse Systems

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

Recall: balancing-related model reduction methods like positive-real balancing (\rightsquigarrow passivity-preserving) require solution of algebraic Riccati equations (AREs) of the form

$$W + A^{T}XE + E^{T}XA + E^{T}XGXE = 0.$$
 (1)

[B./STYKEL '08]

Generalization to descriptor systems: Do not use (1)!

Theorem

Consider the projected ARE

$$\mathcal{P}_r^T \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{P}_r + \mathcal{A}^T X \mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E}^T X \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{E}^T X \mathcal{G} X \mathcal{E} = 0, \quad X = \mathcal{P}_\ell^T X \mathcal{P}_\ell.$$
(2)

with $G = G^T \ge 0$ and $Q = Q^T \ge 0$ and $\mathcal{P}_r/\mathcal{P}_\ell$: projectors onto right/left defl. subspaces of $\lambda E - A$ wrt finite e-values.

If (E, A, G) is stabilizable and (E, A, Q) is detectable, then (2) has a unique stabilizing solution.

Algorithms based on Newton's method using various Lyapunov solvers for dense or large-scale problems [B./STYKEL '08].



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea

Approximate BT

Structure Preservation Sparsity of Reduced-Orde Systems m, p = O(n)

Conclusions

References

• There is no exact BT.



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea

Approximate BT

Structure Preservation Sparsity of Reduced-Orde Systems m, p = O(n)

Conclusions

References

■ There is no exact BT. Why?



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea

Approximate BT

Structure Preservation Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems $m, p = \mathcal{O}(n)$

Conclusions

References

■ There is no exact BT. Why?

 All computational methods for BT require solution of dual Lyapunov (or Riccati) equations, for simplicity consider

 $AX + XA^T + BB^T = 0, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \ B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}.$



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate BT

Structure Preservation Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems m, p = O(n)

Conclusions

References

■ There is no exact BT. Why?

 All computational methods for BT require solution of dual Lyapunov (or Riccati) equations, for simplicity consider

$$AX + XA^{T} + BB^{T} = 0, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \ B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$$

■ There is no direct or numerically backward stable method with complexity ≤ $O(n^3)$ to solve Lyapunov equations!



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate BT

Structure Preservation Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems m, p = O(n)

Conclusions

References

■ There is no exact BT. Why?

 All computational methods for BT require solution of dual Lyapunov (or Riccati) equations, for simplicity consider

$$AX + XA^{T} + BB^{T} = 0, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \ B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$$

 There is no direct or numerically backward stable method with complexity ≤ O(n³) to solve Lyapunov equations! Bartels-Stewart/Hammerling algorithms are considered to be numerically backward stable.

This is only true for triangular *A*: otherwise, the QR algorithm is used to triangularize *A*, but this algorithm solves an eigenvalue problem that may be ill-conditioned even if the solution of the Lyapunov equation is well-conditioned!!

Also note: the QR algorithm is iterative whenever n > 4!

Sign function solvers for Lyapunov equations may be more accurate than Bartels-Stewart/Hammarling, even though they are not numerically stable!



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate BT

Structure Preservation Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems m, p = O(n)

Conclusions

References

■ There is no exact BT. Why?

 All computational methods for BT require solution of dual Lyapunov (or Riccati) equations, for simplicity consider

 $AX + XA^T + BB^T = 0, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \ B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}.$

- There is no direct or numerically backward stable method with complexity $\leq O(n^3)$ to solve Lyapunov equations!
- Current solvers for large-scale, sparse Lyapunov equations (ADI, cyclic Smith, K-PIK; complexity $\mathcal{O}(m \cdot nnz)$) may or may not compute a solution that is as accurate as solutions obtained with $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ solver.



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate B Structure Preservation

Sparsity of Reduced-Orde Systems $m, p = \mathcal{O}(n)$

Conclusions

References

RLC network equations

System structure often encountered in circuit simulation, e.g., in RC(L) networks w/o voltage sources:

$$= \begin{bmatrix} E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & E_2 \end{bmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} -A_1 & -A_2^T \\ A_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = C^T,$$

where $A_1, E_1 \ge 0, E_2 > 0.$

Ε

Note: G(s) symmetric, multiplication of 2nd block row by -1 yields $E = E^{T}$, $A = A^{T}$

- \Rightarrow Gramians coincide, P = Q
- \Rightarrow BT needs only one Lyapunov equation, $W \equiv V$
- \Rightarrow BT preserves stability and passivity.



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate B⁻ Structure

Preservation Sparsity of Reduced-Ord

 $m, p = \mathcal{O}(n)$

Conclusions

References

Split-congruence BT (scBT)

[KERNS/YANG '98]: split-congruence transformations

$$(\hat{E}, \hat{A}, \hat{B}) = (\mathcal{V}^T E \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^T A \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^T B), \text{ where } \mathcal{V} = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

preserve stability, passivity, and reciprocity, i.e., reduced-order transfer function has the form

$$\hat{G}(s) = \hat{B}_1^{\mathsf{T}}(s\hat{E}_1 + \hat{A}_1 + rac{1}{s}\hat{A}_2^{\mathsf{T}}\hat{E}_2^{-1}\hat{A}_2)\hat{B}_1,$$

cf. SPRIM papers [FREUND '04/'06].



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate B7 Structure Preservation

Sparsity of Reduced-Orde Systems m, p = O(n)

Conclusions

References

Split-congruence BT (scBT)

[KERNS/YANG '98]: split-congruence transformations

$$(\hat{E}, \hat{A}, \hat{B}) = (\mathcal{V}^T E \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^T A \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^T B), \text{ where } \mathcal{V} = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

preserve stability, passivity, and reciprocity, i.e., reduced-order transfer function has the form

$$\hat{G}(s) = \hat{B}_1^T (s \hat{E}_1 + \hat{A}_1 + rac{1}{s} \hat{A}_2^T \hat{E}_2^{-1} \hat{A}_2) \hat{B}_1,$$

cf. SPRIM papers [FREUND '04/'06].

Reciprocity preserved \rightsquigarrow reduced-order model can be synthesized as circuit (e.g., [REIS '08]).



BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate BT Structure Preservation

Sparsity of Reduced-Orde Systems $m, p = \mathcal{O}(n)$

Conclusions

References

Split-congruence BT (scBT)

[KERNS/YANG '98]: split-congruence transformations

$$(\hat{E}, \hat{A}, \hat{B}) = (\mathcal{V}^T E \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^T A \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}^T B), \text{ where } \mathcal{V} = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

preserve stability, passivity, and reciprocity, i.e., reduced-order transfer function has the form

$$\hat{G}(s) = \hat{B}_1^{\mathsf{T}}(s\hat{E}_1 + \hat{A}_1 + rac{1}{s}\hat{A}_2^{\mathsf{T}}\hat{E}_2^{-1}\hat{A}_2)\hat{B}_1,$$

cf. SPRIM papers [FREUND '04/'06].

(Very) basic idea: let $V = \begin{bmatrix} V_1 \\ V_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ be projection matrix computed by BT, then use V_1, V_2 as in (3). Note: range $(V) \subset$ range (\mathcal{V}) .

Note: theoretical properties of scBT not clear yet.



Numerical example

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate E

Structure Preservation

Sparsity of Reduced-Orde Systems $m, p = \mathcal{O}(n)$

Conclusions

References

- Random system, n = 150, m = 2
- reduced-order, tolerance $10^{-2} \rightsquigarrow r = 34$, $\delta = 8.6 \cdot 10^{-3}$.







Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate E Structure Preservation

Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems m, p = O(n)

Conclusions

References

BT is often criticized for producing dense reduced-order models.

- Note: this is also true for almost all recent moment-matching methods, e.g. PRIMA, rational interpolation/Krylov, SPRIM.
- Mostly, reduced-order models are used when solving linear systems of equations
 - $(\jmath \omega \hat{E} \hat{A})x = b$ in frequency-domain analysis,
 - $(\hat{E} h_k \hat{A}) x_{k+1} = \hat{E} x_k + ...$ in implicit integration schemes (e.g., transient analysis).

The cost for solving the linear systems may not benefit from smaller order, if efficient sparse direct solver for full-size sparse system matrices is available.

Mil

Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate E Structure Preservation

Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems m, p = O(n)

Conclusions

References

- BT is often criticized for producing dense reduced-order models.
- Note: this is also true for almost all recent moment-matching methods, e.g. PRIMA, rational interpolation/Krylov, SPRIM.
- Mostly, reduced-order models are used when solving linear systems of equations
 - $(\jmath\omega\hat{E} \hat{A})x = b$ in frequency-domain analysis,
 - $(\hat{E} h_k \hat{A}) x_{k+1} = \hat{E} x_k + ...$ in implicit integration schemes (e.g., transient analysis).

The cost for solving the linear systems may not benefit from smaller order, if efficient sparse direct solver for full-size sparse system matrices is available.

Mil

Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate B Structure Preservation

Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems m, p = O(n)

Conclusions

References

- BT is often criticized for producing dense reduced-order models.
- Note: this is also true for almost all recent moment-matching methods, e.g. PRIMA, rational interpolation/Krylov, SPRIM.
- Mostly, reduced-order models are used when solving linear systems of equations
 - $(\jmath \omega \hat{E} \hat{A})x = b$ in frequency-domain analysis,
 - $(\hat{E} h_k \hat{A}) x_{k+1} = \hat{E} x_k + ...$ in implicit integration schemes (e.g., transient analysis).

The cost for solving the linear systems may not benefit from smaller order, if efficient sparse direct solver for full-size sparse system matrices is available.



Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate B Structure

Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems m, p = O(n)

Conclusions

References

An easy improvement

Significant reduction can be achieved by transforming (\hat{A}, \hat{E}) to Hessenberg-triangular form using QZ algorithm, i.e., compute orthogonal Q, Z such that

$$Q(\lambda \hat{E} - \hat{A})Z = \lambda \left[\swarrow \right] - \left[\swarrow \right] \equiv \left[\swarrow \right].$$

New reduced-order system: $(Q\hat{E}Z, Q\hat{A}Z, Q\hat{B}, \hat{C}Z)$, linear systems of equations

$$(\jmath\omega\hat{E}-\hat{A})x = b,$$

 $(\hat{E}-h_k\hat{A})x_{k+1} = \hat{E}x_k + \dots,$ etc

have Hessenberg form and can thus be solved using r - 1 Givens rotations only! (Needs Hessenberg solver inside simulator.)

For symmetric systems, further reduction can be achieved.

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate B Structure Preservation Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems

 $m, p = \mathcal{O}(n)$

Conclusions

References

• Efficient BT implementations are based on assumption $n \gg m, p$.

For on-chip clock distribution networks, power grids, wide buses, this assumption is not justified; here, m, p = O(n), e.g., $m = p = \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{4}$.

Cure: BT can easily be combined with SVDMOR [Feldmann/Liu '04]: for $G(s) = C(sE - A)^{-1}B$, let

$$G(s_0) = C(s_0 E - A)^{-1} B = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^T \\ V_2^T \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\approx U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1^T$ (rank-k approximation),

so that $\|G(s_0) - U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1^T\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1}$. Now define $\tilde{B} := BV_1$, $\tilde{C} := U_1^T C$, then $G(s) \approx U_1 \tilde{B} (sE - A)^{-1} \tilde{C} V_1^T$

and apply BT to $ilde{G}(s) \rightsquigarrow \hat{G}(s)$: $G(s) pprox U_1 \hat{G}(s) V_1^T$.

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate B Structure Preservation Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems $m, p = \mathcal{O}(n)$

m, p = O(r

Conclusions

References

- Efficient BT implementations are based on assumption $n \gg m, p$.
- For on-chip clock distribution networks, power grids, wide buses, this assumption is not justified; here, m, p = O(n), e.g., $m = p = \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{4}$.
- Cure: BT can easily be combined with SVDMOR [Feldmann/Liu '04]: for $G(s) = C(sE A)^{-1}B$, let

$$G(s_0) = C(s_0 E - A)^{-1} B = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^T \\ V_2^T \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\approx U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1^T$ (rank-k approximation),

so that $\|G(s_0) - U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1^T\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1}$. Now define $\tilde{B} := BV_1$, $\tilde{C} := U_1^T C$, then $G(s) \approx U_1 \tilde{B} (sE - A)^{-1} \tilde{C} V_1$

and apply BT to $ilde{G}(s) \rightsquigarrow \hat{G}(s)$: $G(s) pprox U_1 \hat{G}(s) V_1^T$.

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate E Structure Preservation Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems

 $m, p = \mathcal{O}(n)$

Conclusions

References

- Efficient BT implementations are based on assumption $n \gg m, p$.
- For on-chip clock distribution networks, power grids, wide buses, this assumption is not justified; here, m, p = O(n), e.g., $m = p = \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{4}$.
- Cure: BT can easily be combined with SVDMOR [Feldmann/Liu '04]: for $G(s) = C(sE A)^{-1}B$, let

$$G(s_0) = C(s_0 E - A)^{-1} B = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^T \\ V_2^T \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\approx U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1^T$ (rank-k approximation),

so that $\|G(s_0) - U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1^T\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1}$. Now define $\tilde{B} := BV_1$, $\tilde{C} := U_1^T C$, then $G(s) \approx U_1 \underbrace{\tilde{B}(sE - A)^{-1} \tilde{C}}_{=:\tilde{G}(s)} V_1^T$,

and apply BT to $\tilde{G}(s) \rightsquigarrow \hat{G}(s)$: $G(s) \approx U_1 \hat{G}(s) V_1^T$.

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

 $m, n = \mathcal{O}(n)$

- Efficient BT implementations are based on assumption $n \gg m, p$.
- For on-chip clock distribution networks, power grids, wide buses, this assumption is not justified; here, $m, p = \mathcal{O}(n)$, e.g., $m = p = \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{4}$.
- Cure: BT can easily be combined with SVDMOR [Feldmann/Liu '04]: for $G(s) = C(sE - A)^{-1}B$, let

$$G(s_0) = C(s_0 E - A)^{-1} B = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^T \\ V_2^T \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\approx U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1^T \quad (\text{rank-}k \text{ approximation}).$$

 $U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1'$ (rank-k approximation),

so that $||G(s_0) - U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1^T||_2 = \sigma_{k+1}$. Now define $\tilde{B} := BV_1$, $\tilde{C} := U_1^T C$. then $G(s) \approx U_1 \underbrace{\tilde{B}(sE-A)^{-1}\tilde{C}}_{=:\tilde{G}(s)} V_1^T,$

and apply BT to $\tilde{G}(s) \rightsquigarrow \hat{G}(s)$: $G(s) \approx U_1 \hat{G}(s) V_1^T$.

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate B Structure Preservation Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems m, p = O(n)

D.C.

- Efficient BT implementations are based on assumption $n \gg m, p$.
- For on-chip clock distribution networks, power grids, wide buses, this assumption is not justified; here, m, p = O(n), e.g., $m = p = \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{4}$.
- Cure: BT can easily be combined with SVDMOR [Feldmann/Liu '04]: for $G(s) = C(sE A)^{-1}B$, let

$$G(s_0) = C(s_0E - A)^{-1}B = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & \\ & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^T \\ V_2^T \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\approx U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1^T$ (rank-k approximation),

so that $\|G(s_0) - U_1 \Sigma_1 V_1^T\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1}$. Now define $\tilde{B} := BV_1$, $\tilde{C} := U_1^T C$, then

$$G(s) \approx U_1 \underbrace{\tilde{B}(sE-A)^{-1}\tilde{C}}_{=:\tilde{G}(s)} V_1^T,$$

and apply BT to $\tilde{G}(s) \rightsquigarrow \hat{G}(s)$: $G(s) \approx U_1 \hat{G}(s) V_1^T$.

Use truncated SVD \rightarrow cf. Oral CS 1C (A. Schneider), Mon 16:00h.

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncation

Miscellanea Approximate B⁻ Structure Preservation Sparsity of Reduced-Order Systems m, p = O(p)

Conclusions

References

- Efficient BT implementations are based on assumption $n \gg m, p$.
- For on-chip clock distribution networks, power grids, wide buses, this assumption is not justified; here, m, p = O(n), e.g., $m = p = \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{4}$.
- Cure: BT can easily be combined with SVDMOR [Feldmann/Liu '04]: for $G(s) = C(sE A)^{-1}B$, let

$$G(s_0) = C(s_0E - A)^{-1}B = \begin{bmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_1 & \\ & \Sigma_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_1^T \\ V_2^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\approx U_1\Sigma_1V_1^T \quad \text{(rank-k approximation)},$$
so that $||G(s_0) - U_1\Sigma_1V_1^T||_2 = \sigma_{k+1}.$
Now define $\tilde{B} := BV_1, \quad \tilde{C} := U_1^TC, \quad \text{then}$

$$G(s) \approx U_1\underbrace{\tilde{B}(sE - A)^{-1}\tilde{C}}_{=:\tilde{G}(s)}V_1^T,$$

and apply BT to $\tilde{G}(s) \rightsquigarrow \hat{G}(s)$: $G(s) \approx U_1 \hat{G}(s) V_1^T$.

Alternative for medium-size *m*: superposition of reduced-order SIMO models using Padé-type approximation [Feng/B./Rudnyi '08].



Conclusions

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

- Introduction
- Balanced Truncatior
- Miscellanea
- Conclusions
- References

- BT preferred MOR technique in control theory, so far less popular in circuit simulation.
- Limitations of balancing-related model reduction methods w.r.t. descriptor systems, large-scale systems, unstable systems fade away bit by bit.
- Viable alternative to moment-matching/Padé approximation/rational interpolation methods in many situations; computational complexity is usually higher, but in the same complexity class $O(nnz \times r)$.
- Modern implementations of BT are essentially of the same computational complexity as approximations like frequency-domain POD [WILLCOX/PERAIRE '02] (aka Poor Man's TBR [PHILLIPS/SILVEIRA '04/'05] ~ rational interpolation [GRIMME '97,...]), but are closer to satisfy theoretical properties of BT.
- Split-congruence BT preserves reciprocity; thus, allows circuit synthesis approach of [REIS '08] to derive MNA equations/netlist.
- Reduced-order models can be made more sparse to allow faster simulation (if integrator is adapted).



Conclusions Software

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncatio

Miscellanea

Conclusions

References

MATLAB:

- Lyapack/M.E.S.S. (Matrix Equations Sparse Solvers),
 - MORLAB (dense, pre- β ...)

F77/C:

- PLiCMR (dense),
- SpaRed (sparse).

Available from

http://www.tu-chemnitz.de/mathematik/industrie_technik/software

More to come ...







References

BALANCING-RELATED MODEL REDUCTION

Peter Benner

Introduction

Balanced Truncatior

Miscellanea

Conclusion

References

1 A.C. Antoulas.

Lectures on the Approximation of Large-Scale Dynamical Systems. SIAM Publications, Philadelphia, PA, 2005.

P. Benner, E.S. Quintana-Ortí, and G. Quintana-Ortí. State-space truncation methods for parallel model reduction of large-scale systems. ParALtet. Comput., 29:1701–1722, 2003.

3 P. Benner.

Numerical linear algebra for model reduction in control and simulation. GAMM MITT., 29(2):275–296, 2006.

P. Benner, R. Freund, D. Sorensen, and A. Varga (editors). Special issue on Order Reduction of Large-Scale Systems. LINEAR ALGEBRA APPL., Vol. 415, June 2006.

5 P. Benner, V. Mehrmann, and D. Sorensen (editors). Dimension Reduction of Large-Scale Systems. LECTURE NOTES IN COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, Vol. 45, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2005.

6 M. Günther, U. Feldmann, and E.J.W. ter Maten. Modelling and Discretization of Circuit Problems. In W.H.A. Schilders and E.J.W. ter Maten (editors), NUMERICAL METHODS IN ELECTROMAGNETICS, vol. 15 of Handbook of Numerical Analysis, pp. 523–659, Elsevier, 2005.

7 G. Obinata and B.D.O. Anderson. Model Reduction for Control System Design. Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 2001.

8 W.H.A. Schilders, H.A. van der Vorst, and J. Rommes (editors). Model Order Reduction: Theory, Research Aspects and Applications. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008.

9 S.X.-D. Tan and L. He. Advanced Model Order Reduction Techniques in VLSI Design. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2007.