Nonlinear Reduced Order Modeling for Transonic Flows via Manifold Learning

Thomas Franz, Ralf Zimmermann, Stefan Görtz











- 4 ROM via Isomap + Interpolation
- Computational costs







Motivation

Objective: transonic flows

- $\rightarrow\,$ Shocks ($\widehat{=}\,$ strong non-linearities) appear, which move along the flow domain as the parameters are varied
- $\rightarrow\,$ Difficult to predict shocks by ROMs, because most ROMs assume some linear coherences or else require a large amount of full-order data input

How can we improve the prediction of shock dominated CFD solutions using ROMs?



www.DLR.de

Folie 4

ROMs > Franz

12. Dezember 2013

Example - 2D NACA64A010 airfoil





Outline



Isomap

- ROM via Isomap + Interpolation



Introduction to Manifold Learning (ML)

- → Given: $W = \{W^1, ..., W^m\} \subset W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ sampled from an unknown data manifold W with intrinsic dimensionality dim(W) = d < n
- → Goal: find embedding mapping

$$h: W \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to Y = \{y^1, \dots, y^m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d,$$

while preserving the geometry of the data W as much as possible

 \Rightarrow The obtained embedding Y is a good representation for the high dimensional dataset W

The main application of the established ML methods is data compression, image processing or data visualization.



Isomap

- Dimensionality Reduction / Manifold Learing method
- → Based on Multidimensional Scaling (MDS)
- → Attempts to preserve geodesic pairwise distances of input data



Isomap

- Dimensionality Reduction / Manifold Learing method
- → Based on Multidimensional Scaling (MDS)
- → Attempts to preserve geodesic pairwise distances of input data





2d embedding of the Swiss roll with Isomap

Approximating geodesic distances

- imes For close-by points: *Euclidean* distance ≈ geodesic distance
- ✓ For far away points: length of an *Euclidean* polygonal curve through close-by points





Approximating geodesic distances

- imes For close-by points: *Euclidean* distance ≈ geodesic distance
- ✓ For far away points: length of an *Euclidean* polygonal curve through close-by points



⇒ Graph-theoretical shortest paths problem



Metric Multidimensional Scaling (MDS)

- → Maps high dimensional data $W = \{W^1, ..., W^m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ to low dimensional representation $Y = \{y^1, ..., y^m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ featuring *Euclidean* inter-point distances that (almost) equal the inter-point distances of the original data, i.e., dist $(W^i, W^j) \simeq ||y^i, y^j||_2$.
- ✓ Yields the best d-dimensional *Euclidean* embedding of the given data.
- → Embedding is obtained by solving an Eigenvalue Decomposition.



Isomap in detail

Input: $W = \{W^1, \dots, W^m\}, d, k$

1. construct weighted *k*-neighborhood graph (e.g. via k-d tree) to obtain euclidean distance matrix:

$$D_{W}(i,j) = \begin{cases} ||W^{i} - W^{j}||_{2} & \text{if i, j are neighbors} \\ \infty & \text{else} \end{cases}$$



Isomap in detail

Input: $W = \{W^1, \ldots, W^m\}, d, k$

1. construct weighted *k*-neighborhood graph (e.g. via k-d tree) to obtain euclidean distance matrix:

$$\mathcal{D}_{W}(i,j) = egin{cases} ||\mathcal{W}^{i} - \mathcal{W}^{j}||_{2} & ext{if i, j are neighbors} \ \infty & ext{else} \end{cases}$$

2. compute shortest paths based on D_W (e.g. via Floyd-Warshall) to obtain geodesic distance matrix D_G



Isomap in detail

Input: $W = \{W^1, \dots, W^m\}, d, k$

1. construct weighted *k*-neighborhood graph (e.g. via k-d tree) to obtain euclidean distance matrix:

$$\mathcal{D}_{W}(i,j) = egin{cases} ||\mathcal{W}^{i} - \mathcal{W}^{j}||_{2} & ext{if i, j are neighbors} \ \infty & ext{else} \end{cases}$$

- 2. compute shortest paths based on D_W (e.g. via Floyd-Warshall) to obtain geodesic distance matrix D_G
- 3. apply MDS to distance matrix D_G to obtain *d*-dimensional representation $Y = \{y^1, \dots, y^m\}$
- \Rightarrow Isometric embedding



Outline

1 Motivation



Back-mapping

- ROM via Isomap + Interpolation
- 5 Computational costs

6 Results

Outlook



Situation

- *¬* Given: Embedding $Y = \{y^1, ..., y^m\} ⊂ \mathbb{R}^d$ corresponding to a unknown data manifold W and new point $y^* ∈ \mathbb{R}^d$
- \neg Goal: Find *W*^{*} ⊂ ℝⁿ



Situation

- *¬* Given: Embedding $Y = \{y^1, ..., y^m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ corresponding to a unknown data manifold W and new point $y^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$
- \neg Goal: Find *W*^{*} ⊂ ℝⁿ

Idea

- → Nearest neighbors $\{y^j \mid j \in \mathcal{I}\}$ to y^* correspond isometrically to the nearest neighbors $\{W^j \mid j \in \mathcal{I}\}$ on the data manifold.
 - \Rightarrow affine reconstruction of y^* by its *N* nearest neighbors should yield a good weighting to construct a linear combination of the corresponding high dimensional snapshots



Input: y*, k

1. identify k nearest neighbors of y^* among the embedding $Y = \{y^1, \dots, y^m\}$. Let N_0 denote the set of indices of the k nearest neighbors.



Input: y*, k

- 1. identify k nearest neighbors of y^* among the embedding $Y = \{y^1, \ldots, y^m\}$. Let N_0 denote the set of indices of the k nearest neighbors.
- 2. compute $\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^{|N_0|}} ||y^* \sum_{j \in N_0} w_j y^j||$ s.t. $\sum_{j \in N_0} w_j = 1$



Input: y*, k

- identify k nearest neighbors of y* among the embedding Y = {y¹,..., y^m}. Let N₀ denote the set of indices of the k nearest neighbors.
- 2. compute $\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^{|N_0|}} ||y^* \sum_{j \in N_0} w_j y^j||$ s.t. $\sum_{j \in N_0} w_j = 1$
- 3. compute $W^* := \sum_{j \in N_0} w_j W^j$ to get a corresponding high dimensional solution



Input: y^*, k

- 1. identify k nearest neighbors of y^* among the embedding $Y = \{y^1, \ldots, y^m\}$. Let N_0 denote the set of indices of the k nearest neighbors.
- 2. compute $\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^{|N_0|}} ||y^* \sum_{j \in N_0} w_j y^j||$ s.t. $\sum_{j \in N_0} w_j = 1$
- 3. compute $W^* := \sum_{j \in N_0} w_j W^j$ to get a corresponding high dimensional solution

Step 2 can be replaced by a linear system of equations, which appears by setting the gradient of the corresponding *Lagrange* function to zero.



Outline

Motivation



- Back-mapping
- 4 ROM via Isomap + Interpolation
 - Computational costs

6 Results

Outlook



Parameter configurations: CFD solution snapshots:

$$p^{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, i = 1, \dots, m$$
$$W = \{W^{1}, \dots, W^{m}\}, W^{i} := W(p^{i}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$



Parameter configurations:
$$p^i \in \mathbb{R}^k, i = 1, ..., m$$

CFD solution snapshots: $W = \{W^1, ..., W^m\}, W^i := W(p^i) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

ROM via Isomap + Interpolation

1. Apply Isomap to the data set W to obtain the embedding $Y = \{y^1, \dots, y^m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (offline)

Remark: Process chain is similar to POD + Interpolation.



Parameter configurations:
$$p^i \in \mathbb{R}^k, i = 1, ..., m$$

CFD solution snapshots: $W = \{W^1, ..., W^m\}, W^i := W(p^i) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

ROM via Isomap + Interpolation

- 1. Apply Isomap to the data set W to obtain the embedding $Y = \{y^1, \dots, y^m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (offline)
- 2. Interpolate representative $y^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for new parameter configuration $p^* \in \mathbb{R}^k$, where the interpolation set is given by $\{(p^i, y^i)\}_{i=1}^m$ (online)

Remark: Process chain is similar to POD + Interpolation.



Parameter configurations:
$$p^i \in \mathbb{R}^k, i = 1, ..., m$$

CFD solution snapshots: $W = \{W^1, ..., W^m\}, W^i := W(p^i) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

ROM via Isomap + Interpolation

- 1. Apply Isomap to the data set W to obtain the embedding $Y = \{y^1, \dots, y^m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (offline)
- 2. Interpolate representative $y^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for new parameter configuration $p^* \in \mathbb{R}^k$, where the interpolation set is given by $\{(p^i, y^i)\}_{i=1}^m$ (online)
- 3. Apply back-mapping to y^* to obtain a prediction of the CFD solution $W^* = W(p^*)$ (online)

Remark: Process chain is similar to POD + Interpolation.



Outline



- ROM via Isomap + Interpolation
- Computational costs 5





Offline costs depending on the **snapshot size** using 30 snapshots (without building a interpolation model).





Offline costs depending on the **number of snapshots** with a fixed snapshot size of 10^6 (without building a interpolation model).



Computational complexity

online stage

- \neg $\mathcal{O}(dm)$ for RBF interpolation
- \neg $\mathcal{O}(N_{rec} \log m)$ for finding the N_{rec} nearest neighbors
- \neg $\mathcal{O}(N_{rec}^3)$ to calculate the weights for the back-mapping
- \neg $\mathcal{O}(N_{rec}n)$ to map the reduced-ordner coordinates onto the manifold
- \neg Prediciton of full-order solutions scales **linearly** in *n*
- → Prediction of reduced-order coordinates is **independet** of n ⇒ Qualifies as a real-time method



Outline

- ROM via Isomap + Interpolation



Results





LANN wing

Grid size: 237,373





- Snapshots
- × Prediction points

Isomap parameter

- → Dimension of embedding space: 2
- ✓ Number of nearest neighbors for detecting the manifold: 7
- ✓ Number of nearest neighbors for the back-mapping: 5









 $\alpha = 2.6$ Mach = 0.81







ср

1.00 0.60 0.20 -0.20

-0.60

 $\alpha = 2.6$ *Mach* = 0.81



















 $\alpha = 4.1$ Mach = 0.77



Outline

- Motivation
- Isomap
- Back-mapping
- 4 ROM via Isomap + Interpolation
- Computational costs

6 Results





Outlook

- Integration of a residual based optimization method for the Isomap coefficients (e.g. LeastSquare ROM) to improve the solutions considering flow physics
- ✓ "Manifold filling" adaptive sampling strategy



www.DLR.de • Folie 30 > ROMs > Franz • 12. Dezember 2013

Appendix



Computational complexity

Offline stage

Dominated by the terms

- \neg $O(nm \log m)$ for constructing the kd-tree
- \neg $\mathcal{O}(m^3)$ for finding all shortest pathes (Ford-Warshall)

online stage

- \neg $\mathcal{O}(dm)$ for RBF interpolation
- \neg $\mathcal{O}(N_{rec} \log m)$ for finding the N_{rec} nearest neighbors
- \neg $\mathcal{O}(N_{rec}^3)$ to calculate the weights for the back-mapping
- \neg $\mathcal{O}(N_{rec}n)$ to map the reduced-ordner coordinates onto the manifold
- \Rightarrow Both stages scale *linearly* in *n*



Proper Orthogonal Decomposition

Model parameters: CFD solution snapshots: Snapshot matrix:

$$p^{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$W^{i} := W(p^{i}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$Y := (W^{1}, \dots, W^{m}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$$

 \rightarrow Compute $m \times m$ eigenvalue decomposition

$$Y^T Y V^j = \lambda_j V^j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m$$

$$\Rightarrow span\{U^1, \dots, U^m\} = span\{W^1, \dots, W^m\},$$

where $U^j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_j}}YV^j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\langle V^i, V^j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ and $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \dots > 0$



Radial Basis Function interpolation 1/2

- \neg Sample points: $X = \{x^1, \dots, x^m\} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$,
- \neg Responses: $Y = \{y^1, \dots, y^m\} \subset \mathbb{R},$

obtained by evaluating a function $f(x^i) = y^i$, i = 1, ..., m.

Simplest Radial Basis Function model:

$$\hat{f}(x) = w^T \psi = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \psi(||x - x^i||),$$

where $\psi = (\psi(||x - x^1||), \dots, \psi(||x - x^m||)^T \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\psi : r \mapsto \psi(r)$ is a radial basis function (RBF).



Radial Basis Function interpolation 2/2

The weights $w = (w_1, \ldots, w_m)$ are determined by the interpolation conditions

$$\hat{f}(x^{j}) = y^{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_{i}\psi(||x^{j} - x^{i}||) = y^{j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

which gives the linear equation system

$$\Psi w = y, \tag{1}$$

where Ψ is the so called *Gram matrix* with entries $\Psi_{i,j} = \psi(||x^i - x^j||)$, i, j = 1, ..., m. If the matrix Ψ is regular, then the model becomes

$$\hat{f}(x) = y^T \Psi^{-1} \psi.$$









 $\alpha = 2.75$ Mach = 0.79





- Snapshots
- × Prediction points

















