#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Numerical algorithms in control

### Zlatko Drmač

University of Zagreb Department of Mathematics

Trogir 2011

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

- Introduction
- 2 Scaling: examples
- 3 Numerical rank revealing
- 4 Eigenvalues and singular values
- 5 Jacobi method
- 6 Accurate PSVD and applications
- 7 Concluding remarks

### Outline

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q (~

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Problems Machine number Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

3 Numerical rank revealing

- 4 Eigenvalues and singular values
- 5 Jacobi method

6 Accurate PSVD and applications

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

#### Problems

Machine numbe Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### LTI systems, control, tasks

### Space station, CD player, vehicle suspension system, ...

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{E}\dot{x}(t) &= \mathsf{A}x(t) + \mathsf{B}u(t), \quad \mathsf{E}, \mathsf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \ \mathsf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \\ y(t) &= \mathsf{C}x(t) + \mathsf{D}u(t), \quad \mathsf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}, \ \mathsf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}. \end{aligned}$$
(1

Example:  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu + Gr$  with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^6$ ,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{k_p}{m_p} - \frac{c_p}{m_p} & \frac{k_p}{m_p} & \frac{c_p}{m_p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{k_p}{m_s} & \frac{c_p}{m_s} & -\frac{k_s + k_p}{m_s} & -\frac{c_s + c_p}{m_s} & \frac{k_s}{m_s} & \frac{c_s}{m_s} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{k_s}{m_{us}} & \frac{c_s}{m_{us}} & -\frac{k_s + k_t}{m_{us}} - \frac{c_s}{m_{us}} \end{pmatrix}, \ B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $G = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, k_t/m_{us}); r(t) = road; u(t) = actuator force; x_1(t) = passenger's vertical displacement. Determine <math>u(t)$  (e.g. u(t) = -Kx(t)) to ensure smooth riding on a rough road.

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

#### Problems

Machine number Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Control, introduction, tasks

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \ x(0) = 0;$$
  
 $y(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t).$  (2)

Apply Laplace transform to get

$$\hat{y}(s) = \underbrace{(C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D)}_{G(s) \equiv \text{transfer function}} \hat{u}(s)$$

Of interest is the input—output behavior  $\hat{y}(s) = G(s)\hat{u}(s)$ . In large scale/real time applications: try to reproduce nearly the same behavior with a system of smaller dimension  $r \ll n$ . Take D = 0.

$$\frac{\dot{x}_{r}(t) = A_{r}x_{r}(t) + B_{r}u(t)}{y_{r}(t) = C_{r}x_{r}(t)}$$
  $G_{r}(s) = C_{r}(sI - A_{r})^{-1}B_{r}$ 

 $\hat{y}(s) - \hat{y}_r(s) = (G(s) - G_r(s))\hat{u}(s)$  should be small in some norm for a class of inputs  $u(\cdot)$ .

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

#### Problems

Machine numbe Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### NLA tasks in control Consider *N* dimensional LTI SISO (more general, XIXO)

$$\dot{x}(t) = \mathbf{A}x(t) + bu(t) \quad \bowtie \mathbf{G}(s) = c(sI - A)^{-1}b.$$
  
$$y(t) = cx(t)$$

For  $r < \mathbf{\Pi}$  and r-dimensional  $\mathcal{V}_r = \mathcal{R}(V_r)$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_r = \mathcal{R}(W_r)$  with  $\mathcal{V}_r \cap \mathcal{W}^{\perp} = \{0\}$  ( $\Leftrightarrow \det(W_r^T V_r) \neq 0$ ) look for

 $\mathcal{V}_r \ni v(t) = V_r x_r(t)$  such that  $\dot{v}(t) - Av(t) - bu(t) \perp \mathcal{W}_r$ .

The reduced output is  $y_r(t) = cv(t)$ . In the bases  $V_r$ ,  $W_r$ ,

$$W_r^T(V_r \dot{x}_r(t) - AV_r x_r(t) - bu(t)) = 0, \text{ i.e.} \dot{x}_r(t) = A_r x_r(t) + b_r u(t) y_r(t) = c_r x_r(t) \qquad \bowtie G_r(s) = c_r(sI - A_r)^{-1} b_r$$

$$A_{r} = (W_{r}^{T}V_{r})^{-1}W_{r}^{T}A_{V_{r}}, b_{r} = (W_{r}^{T}V_{r})^{-1}W_{r}^{T}b, c_{r} = cV_{t}.$$

#### Zlatko Drmač

### Numerical tasks

- $\|\mathbf{G}\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{i}\omega)|^2 d\omega};$
- min  $\|G G_r\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}$ ,  $G_r$  stable of order r
- Let  $G_r$  be a local minimizer with simple poles at  $\tilde{\lambda}_i$ , i = 1, ..., r. Then at  $\sigma_i = -\tilde{\lambda}_i$ :  $G_r(\sigma_i) = G(\sigma_i)$ ,  $G'_r(\sigma_i) = G'(\sigma_i)$ , i = 1, ..., r.
- Hermite interpolation by  $\mathcal{V}_r = Span((\sigma_i I A)^{-1}b)_{i=1}^r$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_r = Span((\sigma_i I - A^T)^{-1}c^T)_{i=1}^r$ .
- Solving linear systems for V<sub>r</sub> and W<sub>r</sub>. Reduce to generalized upper Hessenberg form

$$\mathbf{Q}^{T} \boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \\ \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{Q}^{T} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \\ \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \\ \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{Q}^{T} \boldsymbol{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and work on  $(E, A, b, c) \equiv (Q^T E Z, Q^T A Z, Q^T b, c Z)$  is efficient. Simpler if E = I, Z = Q.

#### Problems

Machine number Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

#### Problems

Machine number Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

### Numerical tasks

Generate many interesting and challenging problems.

- Simple questions, difficult answers: Compute the transfer function G(ζ) = C(ζE − A)<sup>-1</sup>B for many complex values of ζ. Here *n* can be large.
- By changing the state space coordinates,  $x(t) = T\hat{x}(t)$ , the new representation is, e.g. for E = I, given with  $(\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D}) = (T^{-1}AT, T^{-1}B, CT, D)$ . Find *T* such that the new representation reveals structural properties of the system. Various canonical forms.
- Solve Lyapunov equation AH + HA<sup>T</sup> + BB<sup>T</sup> = 0. Solve Riccati eqn: XA + A<sup>T</sup>X + Q - XSX = 0. Many other types of matrix equations.
- Find invariant subspace that corresponds to specified eigenvalues.

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

#### Problems

- Machine number Examples Consequences Goals
- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

### ... algorithms, software

- Solve eigenvalue and singular value problems.
- Given A with eigenvalues λ<sub>1</sub>,..., λ<sub>n</sub> and B, find K such that A BK has prescribed eigenvalues α<sub>1</sub>,..., α<sub>n</sub>.
- Pressure from applications to deliver accurate solutions quickly. Computing environments changing rapidly.
- Users from applied sciences and engineering usually not interested in math details, just solutions, software.
- Pure mathematicians not interested because the problems are "trivial", non–fundamental or just too messy.
- And we have high performance computers. So, why is this difficult?

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

# Yes, have computer, but .. Machine (floating–point) numbers $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{Q}.$

$$f = \pm m \cdot 2^{e}, \ e = -126: 127, \ m = 1.z_{1} \dots z_{23}.$$

 $\overline{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{F} \bigcup \{ + \texttt{Infinity}, -\texttt{Infinity}, \texttt{NaN} \}$ 

### Machine arithmetic $\oplus$ , $\ominus$ , $\odot$ , $\oslash$ .

•  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$  finite, 2<sup>32</sup> (single), 2<sup>64</sup> (double); 0.1  $\notin \mathbb{F}$ ;

• 
$$a \oplus b \equiv \mathsf{FL}(a+b) = (a+b)(1+\epsilon_{a,b}),$$

 $|\epsilon_{a,b}| \leq u \equiv eps = round-off \approx 10^{-8}.$ 

- In general,  $(a \oplus b) \oplus c \neq a \oplus (b \oplus c)$ ,  $(a \odot b) \odot c \neq a \odot (b \odot c)$ ;  $x \oplus y \oplus z =??$
- $1 \oplus 10^{-9} = 1$ ;  $x = y \not\Leftrightarrow x y = 0$ ;  $10^{-30} \odot 10^{-30} = 0$ ;
- Finite speed, finite memory.
- Faster ⇒ more mess per second.

#### Zlatko Drmač

Machine numbers

Goals

Example using MATLAB,  $\texttt{eps}\approx 2.2\cdot 10^{-16}$ 

11

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times 2}, \ \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c & b \end{pmatrix} = computed(X^T X),$$

Let 
$$x = 5 \cdot 10^{153} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, y = 10 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}. (\cos \angle (x, y) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{10}}).$$

Test the orthogonality of x and y,

11

$$\cos \angle (x,y) \equiv rac{c}{\sqrt{ab}} \leq \epsilon$$

$$(c / sqrt(a*b) <= eps) = 1,$$

$$((c / sqrt(a)) / sqrt(b) <= eps) = 0,$$

$$(c <= sqrt(a*b) * eps) = 1,$$

$$(c \le sqrt(a) * sqrt(b) * eps) = 0.$$

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

# Example using MATLAB, $\texttt{eps} \approx 2.2 \cdot 10^{-16}$

Let 
$$x = 5 \cdot 10^{-153} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $y = 10^{-16} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

#### Then

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □豆 − 釣�?

Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

# Another example $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \xi \\ 0 & -1 & \xi \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \xi = 10/\text{eps. } \xi \approx 4.5\text{e+016}$

Givens rotation kills  $A_{13}$ :  $\tilde{A}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \upsilon \\ 0 & \beta & \beta \\ 0 & \beta & \beta \end{pmatrix};$ 

 $\alpha\approx\sqrt{2},$   $\beta=$  3.184525836262886e+016.

	svd(A)	$svd(A^T)$
$\sigma_1$	6.369051672525773e+16	6.369051672525772e+16
$\sigma_2$	5.747279316501105e+00	3.004066501831585e+00
$\sigma_3$	9.842664568695829e-01	4.220776043599739e-01

$$\tilde{A}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & \beta \\ 0 & \beta & \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{A}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$= BO_{\text{vis}}(B) < 2$$

$$A=BD,\,\kappa_2(B)<2.$$

・ロト・「聞・・「問・・「問・・」 しゃくの

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### A 2 $\times$ 2 example

### Take in MATLAB

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0e250 & 0\\ 0 & 1.0e-201 \end{pmatrix},$$

d = diag(A),  $\sigma = \text{svd}(A)$ . A is (bi)diagonal, and its singular values are on the diagonal. However,

$$d = \operatorname{diag}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 9.9999999999999999 + 249 \\ 1.000000000000 - 201 \end{pmatrix},$$
  

$$\sigma = \operatorname{svd}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 9.99999999999999 + 249 \\ 1.000000000 \underbrace{16167}_{e} - 201 \end{pmatrix}.$$
  

$$\lambda = \operatorname{eig}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 9.99999999999999 + 249 \\ 1.00000000000 - 201 \end{pmatrix}.$$

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### The 2 $\times$ 2 example

LAPACK's driver routine xGESVD computes  $\alpha = \max_{i,j} |A_{ij}|$ and scales the input matrix A with  $(1/\alpha)\sqrt{\nu}/\varepsilon$  (if  $\alpha < \sqrt{\nu}/\varepsilon$ ) or with  $(1/\alpha)\varepsilon\sqrt{\omega}$  (if  $\alpha > \varepsilon\sqrt{\omega}$ ). Here  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\nu$  and  $\omega$  denote the round–off unit, underflow and overflow thresholds, respectively.

Let  $\alpha = \max_{i,j} |A_{ij}|, \varepsilon = eps/2, \omega = realmax, \nu = realmin,$  $s = \varepsilon \sqrt{\omega} / \alpha$ , and scale A with s. The singular values of sA are on its diagonal; scaling the diagonal of sA with 1/schanges the (2,2) entry precisely to 1.00000000016167e-201. Five digits in the second singular value of a  $2 \times 2$  diagonal matrix are lost due to scaling  $\sigma = (1/s) * (s * d)$ . (In MATLAB,  $\omega \approx 1.79 \cdot 10^{308}$ ,  $\nu \approx 2.22 \cdot 10^{-308}$ .) The problem is not removed if s is changed to the closest integer power of two. Note that this scaling is designed to avoid overflow in the implicit use of  $A^T A$ .

AS NLA in CONTROL	٠	•••••	• • •	• •	•	• •	•	٠	•		
Zlatko Drmač											
ntroduction Problems Machine numbers Examples	•	•••••	• • • •	••	•	••	•	•	•		
Goals	•	•••••	• • •	• •	•	• •	٠	•	•		
Scaling: examples				7							
lumerical ank revealing	٠	•••••	•••	• •	$\langle \bullet \rangle$	• •	•	•	•		
Eigenvalues and singular ralues	•	•••••			•			•	•		
acobi method			1								
Accurate PSVD and applications	•	•••••			•	• •	•	•	•		
Concluding emarks	•	•••••			•	•••		•	•		
	i							:			
	٠	•••••		• •	•	• •	٠	٠	•		
	(0,0	)					•		<ul> <li>₹ =&gt; &lt; =</li> </ul>	e e	୬୯୯

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Problems Machine number Examples Consequences

Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Early loss of definiteness

The stiffness matrix of a mass spring system with 3 masses  $\boxtimes \to \blacksquare \to \blacksquare$  with spring constants  $k_1 = k_3 = 1$ ,  $k_2 = \varepsilon/2$ 

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 + k_2 & -k_2 & 0 \\ -k_2 & k_2 + k_3 & -k_3 \\ 0 & -k_3 & k_3 \end{pmatrix}, \ \lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{K}) \approx \varepsilon/4.$$

The true and the computed assembled matrix are

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} & -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} & 0\\ -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} & 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} & -1\\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} & 0\\ -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} & 1 & -1\\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\tilde{K}$  is component–wise relative perturbation of K with  $\max_{i,j} \frac{|\tilde{K}_{ij} - K_{ij}|}{|K_{ij}|} = \frac{\varepsilon}{(2 + \varepsilon)} < \varepsilon/2.$   $\tilde{K}$  is indefinite with  $\lambda_{\min}(\tilde{K}) \approx -\varepsilon^2/8$ . Too late for  $\lambda_{\min}(K)$ . :(

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

- Problems Machine number Examples
- Consequences Goals
- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

### Consequences

Almost never have exactly given data. Have  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$  as approximation of an ideal, not accessible  $A_0$ ,  $A = A_0 + E$ . Do not have E, but know that  $||E||/||A|| \approx f(m, n)\mathbf{u}$  is small.  $A \in \mathcal{B} = \{A_0 + E, ||E|| \le \varepsilon\}$  and any  $X \in \mathcal{B}$  is just as good as A.

- Full rank matrices dense in M<sub>m×n</sub>. What is then the rank of A<sub>0</sub> = A − E? Rank of A? Any technique will fail over F.
- Chance to compute zero exactly is exactly zero.
- Matrices with simple eigenvalues dense in M<sub>n×n</sub>.
   Jordan form? Diagonalizability?
- Is A +definite? Invertible? Orthogonal? Stable (Re(λ(A) < 0))? A<sup>-1</sup> =? A<sup>†</sup> =?
- In 1950 Goldstine and von Neuman concluded that solving linear systems with n > 15 with guaranteed accuracy would be nearly impossible!

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Problems Machine numbe Examples

Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Error? Distance to what?!?



Backward stability: solve exactly a problem close to *X* Not preserved under composition of mappings

 $\begin{array}{l} \longrightarrow \|\delta X\| \leq \epsilon \|X\|, \quad \|\delta X(:,i)\| \leq \epsilon \|X(:,i)\| \\ \longrightarrow |\delta X_{ij}| \leq \epsilon |X_{ij}|, \quad |\delta X_{ij}| \leq \epsilon \sqrt{|X_{ii}X_{jj}|} \\ \longrightarrow X + \delta X \text{ same structure as } X \\ Perturbation theory: } \|\tilde{Y} - Y\| \leq K \cdot \|\delta X\| \\ \text{Von Neumann, Turing, Givens, Wilkinson} \end{array}$ 

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples

Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks A

### III-conditioned = close to iII-posed

Relative condition number

$$\kappa(\mathcal{F}, X) = \limsup_{\Delta X \to 0} \frac{\frac{\|\mathcal{F}(X + \Delta X) - \mathcal{F}(X)\|}{\|\mathcal{F}(X)\|}}{\|\Delta X\| / \|X\|} = \frac{\|D\mathcal{F}(X)\| \|X\|}{\|\mathcal{F}(X)\|}$$

For  $A \mapsto A^{-1}$ ,  $\kappa(A) = ||A|| \cdot ||A^{-1}||$ , and the bad set is the variety of singular matrices.

$$\frac{\operatorname{distance}(A, bad)}{\|A\|} = \frac{1}{\kappa(A)}, \ bad = \operatorname{det}^{-1}(\{0\}).$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{ij} \mapsto \mathbf{A}_{ij} + \epsilon |\mathbf{A}_{ij}|$$
  
 $\inf\{|\epsilon| : \det(\mathbf{A} + \epsilon \mathbf{E}) = \mathbf{0}\} = \frac{1}{\rho_0(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{E})}$ 

Probability of being too close to bad set. Algebraic and geometric properties of bad sets.

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples

Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### **Eigenvalue assignment** $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ given. Find *K* such that the spectrum of $A + BK^T$ is $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$ . Try many *B*'s and methods to hit $\odot$ :



## Placing plenty of poles is pretty preposterous (Chunyang, Laub, Mehrmann)

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

- Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals
- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

### Goals of this lecture

We develop sharp high precision numerical tools for linear algebra problems in control theory. In this lecture, we illustrate some aspects of the development of such tools.

- We show how things can go wrong, even in computing some elementary matrix factorization in order to determine the matrix numerical rank. We stress the necessity of strict mathematical approach to numerical software development.
- The symmetric eigenvalue and the singular value problems are known to be well-conditioned. We show that numerical algorithms do not always deliver optimal accuracy. Using only orthogonal transformations in the diagonalization process does not guarantee accurate results. Perturbation theory important ingredient.
- Higher standard solutions. Accurate NLA methods make other computational tasks numerically feasible.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 回 ト ・ 回 ト

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

#### Scaling: examples

- Physical unit changes Integral equations and least squares A symmetric 3 ×
- example
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

### Introduction

### 2 Scaling: examples

Physical unit changes Integral equations and least squares A symmetric  $3 \times 3$  example

### 3 Numerical rank revealing

- Eigenvalues and singular values
- 5 Jacobi method
- 6 Accurate PSVD and applications
  - Concluding remarks

#### . . . . . . . . .

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

#### Zlatko Drmač

### 1m = 100cm = 1000mm

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A\mathbf{x}(t) + B\mathbf{u}(t)$$
:

#### Scaling: examples

#### Physical unit changes

- Integral equations and least squares A symmetric 3  $\times$  3
- example
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_{1} \\ \dot{x}_{2} \\ \dot{x}_{3} \\ \dot{x}_{4} \\ \dot{x}_{5} \\ \dot{x}_{6} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{k_{p}}{m_{p}} -\frac{c_{p}}{m_{p}} & \frac{k_{p}}{m_{p}} & \frac{c_{p}}{m_{p}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{k_{p}}{m_{s}} & \frac{c_{p}}{m_{s}} & -\frac{k_{s}+k_{p}}{m_{s}} & -\frac{c_{s}+c_{p}}{m_{s}} & \frac{k_{s}}{m_{s}} & \frac{c_{s}}{m_{s}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{k_{s}}{m_{us}} & \frac{c_{s}}{m_{us}} & -\frac{k_{s}+k_{t}}{m_{us}} - \frac{c_{s}}{m_{us}} \end{pmatrix} + Bu$$

- x<sub>1</sub> displacement in meters (m); x<sub>2</sub> speed (m/s)
- *m<sub>p</sub>*, *m<sub>s</sub>*, *m<sub>us</sub>* mass (kg)
- $k_p$ ,  $k_s$ ,  $k_t$  spring stiffness (N/m)
- c<sub>s</sub>, c<sub>p</sub> damping coefficient (N s/m)
- $A_{23} = \frac{11000}{79} [N/m/kg], A_{24} = \frac{800}{79} [Ns/m/kg], \dots$
- In different units,  $x(t) = D\hat{x}(t)$ , D diagonal scaling.
- How to interpret  $||x||_2$ ,  $||A||_F$ ,  $||\delta A||_F$ ? Big? Small?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ● ●

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

#### Physical unit changes

Integral equations and least squares

A symmetric 3  $\,\times\,$  3 example

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Diagonalizing the Grammians

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), x(0) = x_0$$
  
 $y(t) = Cx(t)$ 

Grammians  $H = L_H L_H^T$ ,  $M = L_M L_M^T$  via Lyapunov equations:

$$AH + HA^T = -BB^T$$
,  $A^TM + MA = -C^TC$ .

Hankel SV,  $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i(HM)}$ ,  $HM \mapsto T^{-1}HMT = \Sigma^2$ . Different scaling (change of units, *x* may contain quantities of different physical nature)  $x(t) = D\hat{x}(t)$ ;  $A \mapsto D^{-1}AD$ ,  $B \mapsto D^{-1}B$ ,  $C \mapsto CD$ ;

 $H \mapsto \hat{H} = D^{-1}HD^{-T}, \ M \mapsto \hat{M} = D^{T}MD$ 

Change of units (scaling) changes classical condition numbers  $\kappa_2(H)$ ,  $\kappa_2(M)$  thus making an algorithm numerically inaccurate/unstable, while the underlying problem is the same. Is this acceptable?!?

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Physical unit changes

Integral equations and least squares

A symmetric 3  $\,\times\,$  3 example

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Integral equation

Consider numerical solution of the integral equation

$$\mathsf{y}(\xi) = \int_a^b \mathsf{K}(\xi,\zeta) \mathsf{x}(\zeta) d\zeta$$

Here y denotes measured unknown function x distorted by the instrument with known kernel K( $\cdot$ ,  $\cdot$ ). If the equation is discretized at  $\xi_1 < \cdots < \xi_m$ , and the integral is computed using quadrature rule with the nodes  $\zeta_1 < \cdots < \zeta_n$  and weights  $d_1, \ldots, d_n$ , then

 $\mathbf{y}(\xi_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \mathbf{K}(\xi_i, \zeta_j) \mathbf{x}(\zeta_j) + \mathbf{e}_i, \ \mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{error}, \ i = 1, \dots, m.$ 

Set  $y = (y(\xi_i))_{i=1}^m$ ,  $K = (K(\xi_i, \zeta_j)) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $D = \text{diag}(d_i)_{i=1}^n$ . An approximation  $x = (x_j)_{j=1}^n$  of  $(x(\zeta_j))_{j=1}^n$  is obtained by solving the linear regression problem

$$y = KDx + e, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, e = (e_i)_{i=1}^m.$$

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Physical unit changes

#### Integral equations and least squares

A symmetric 3  $\,\times\,$  3 example

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

.. 
$$\mathbf{y}(\xi) = \int_a^b \mathbf{K}(\xi,\zeta) \mathbf{x}(\zeta) d\zeta$$

$$y = \mathcal{K}\mathcal{D}x + \mathbf{e}, \ x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \ \mathbf{e} = (\mathbf{e}_i)_{i=1}^m,$$

with vector *e* dominated by statistically independent measurement errors from  $\mathcal{N}(0, S^2)$ , where positive definite  $S = \operatorname{diag}(s_i)_{i=1}^n$  carries standard deviations of the  $e_i$ 's. A good estimate of *S* is usually available. Wanted is an estimate  $\tilde{x}$  of *x*. To normalize the error variances, the model is scaled with  $S^{-1}$  to get

$$b = Ax + e', \ b = S^{-1}y, \ A = S^{-1}KD, \ e' = S^{-1}e.$$

Hence, we solve  $||b - Ax||_2 \longrightarrow \min$ So, what does it mean if we have  $A + \delta A$  with backward error (or initial uncertainty)  $\delta A$  with  $||\delta A||_F \ll ||A||_F$ ? Compare with  $A + \delta A = S^{-1}(K + \delta K)D$  with  $||\delta K||_F \ll ||K||_F$ 

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Physical unit changes Integral equations and least squares

A symmetric 3  $\,\times\,$  3 example

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Is *H* positive definite?

### What is the spectrum of *H*?

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 10^{40} & 10^{29} & 10^{19} \\ 10^{29} & 10^{20} & 10^{9} \\ 10^{19} & 10^{9} & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$
  
use MATLAB, eps  $\approx 2.22 \cdot 10^{-16}$   
 $eig(H) = -8.100009764062724e + 019 \\ -3.966787845610502e + 023$   
L=chol(H)' (H = LL<sup>T</sup>)  
 $L = \begin{pmatrix} 1.000000e + 20 & 0 & 0 \\ 9.9999999e + 8 & 9.9498743e + 9 & 0 \\ 9.9999999e - 2 & 9.0453403e - 2 & 9.9086738e - 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Physical unit changes Integral equa

A symmetric 3  $\times$  3 example

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### What is the spectrum of *H* now?

	eig( <i>H</i> )	eig( $P^THP$ ), $P \simeq (2, 1, 3)$				
$\lambda_1$	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.000000000000000000e+40				
$\lambda_2$	-8.100009764062724e+19	9.900000000000000000000000000000000000				
$\lambda_3$	-3.966787845610502e+23	9.81818181818181818e-01				
	1./eig(inv(H))	eig(inv(inv(H)))				
$\lambda_1$	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000				
$\lambda_2$	9.90000000000000000e+19	9.900000000000000000e+19				
$\lambda_3$	9.818181818181817e-01	9.818181818181817e-01				
	$eig(H+E_1)$	$eig(H+E_2)$				
$\lambda_1$	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.000000000000000000e+40				
$\lambda_2$	-8.100009764062724e+19	1.208844819952007e+24				
λa	-3.966787845610502e+23	9.899993299416013e-01				

 $E_{1}: H_{22} = 10^{20} \rightarrow -10^{20}, E_{2}: H_{13}, H_{31} \rightarrow H_{13} * (1 + eps),$ eps  $\approx 2.22 \cdot 10^{-16}; \text{All numbers Correct!?}$ 

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Examples Consequences SLICOT Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Introduction

### Scaling: examples

3 Numerical rank revealing Introduction Examples

Consequences SLICOT Analysis

4 Eigenvalues and singular values

5 Jacobi method

6 Accurate PSVD and applications

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

#### Numerical rank revealing

Introduction Examples Consequences SLICOT Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

## Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ , determine whether for some small $\delta A$ , the matrix $A + \delta A$ is of rank $\rho < \operatorname{rank}(A)$ .

Case study

- needed and useful if A is close to matrices of lower rank (i.e. ill-conditioned)
- in the case of ill-conditioning, one does not expect much and any bad result is attributed to ill-conditioning;
- condition number can be ill-conditioned
- numerical instability in a software implementation of a basic numerical linear algebra decomposition (QR factorization with column pivoting) for almost 40 years hidden in all major numerical software packages

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

#### Numerical rank revealing

Introduction

Examples Consequence SLICOT

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

## Eckart–Young–Mirsky–Schmidt

A  $m \times n$  real of rank r

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{T}} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$\sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r > \mathbf{0} = \sigma_{r+1} = \cdots = \sigma_{\min(m,n)}$$

Let 
$$\ell < r$$
 and  $A_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^\ell \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$  Then

$$\min_{rank(X) \le \ell} ||A - X||_{F} = ||A - A_{\ell}||_{F}$$
$$\min_{rank(X) \le \ell} ||A - X||_{2} = ||A - A_{\ell}||_{2}$$
$$||A - A_{\ell}||_{F} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{r} \sigma_{i}^{2}}$$
$$||A - A_{\ell}||_{2} = \sigma_{\ell+1}$$

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆・ のへ(?)

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

#### Numerical rank revealing

Introduction

Examples Consequences SLICOT

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

# QRCP with Businger–Golub pivoting



The structure (3), (4) may not be rank revealing but it must be guaranteed by the software (e.g. LAPACK, Matlab)

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

#### Numerical rank revealing

Introduction Examples

SLICOT Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### QRCP as preconditioner

Let 
$$AP = Q\begin{pmatrix} R\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
;  $A_c = A \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\|A(:,1)\|_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{\|A(:,n)\|_2})$ ;  
 $R_c = R \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\|R(:,1)\|_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{\|R(:,n)\|_2}) = \begin{pmatrix} \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \\ 0 \downarrow \downarrow \\ 0 \downarrow \downarrow \end{pmatrix}$ ;  
 $R_r = \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\|R(1,:)\|_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{\|R(n,:)\|_2}) \cdot R = \begin{pmatrix} \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \\ 0 \downarrow \downarrow \end{pmatrix}$ .  
Proposition:

Let AP = QR, where  $|R_{ii}| \ge \sqrt{\sum_{k=i}^{j} |R_{kj}|^2}$ ,  $1 \le i \le j \le n$ . Then  $|| |R_r^{-1}| ||_2 \le \sqrt{n} || |R_c^{-1}| ||_2$ ,  $\kappa_2(R_r) \le n^{3/2} \kappa_2(A_c)$ . Moreover,  $||R_r^{-1}||_2$  is bounded by  $O(2^n)$ , independent of A. With exception of rare pathological cases,  $||R_r^{-1}||_2$  is below O(n) for any A.  $RR^*$  is more diagonal than  $R^*R$ . Example:

Let A = Hilbert(100).  $\kappa_2(A) > 10^{150} \gg \text{cond}(A) \approx 3.6e19$  $\kappa_2(A_c) = \kappa_2(R_c) > 10^{19}$ ,  $\kappa_2(R_r) \approx 48.31$ . Repeat with  $A \leftarrow R^T$ , P = I, to get new  $\kappa_2(R_r) \approx 3.22$ .

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

#### Numerical rank revealing

Examples

Consequence SLICOT Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Examples of failure (Matlab)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 の々ぐ

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

#### Numerical rank revealing

Examples

Consequent SLICOT Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Examples of failure (Matlab)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで
#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealin Introduction

Examples

Consequences SLICOT

Analysis Figenval

and singula

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### 



#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Introduction Examples

#### Consequences SLICOT Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Consequences

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

Any routine based on xQRDC (LINPACK) or xGEQPF, xGEQP3 (LAPACK) can catastrophically fail.

- xGEQPX (TOMS # 782, rank revealing QRF)
- xGELSX and xGELSY in LAPACK ( $||Ax b||_2 \rightarrow \min$ )
- xGGSVP in LAPACK (GSVD of (A, B))

$$U^T A Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & A_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V^T B Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & B_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

• ... and many others ... long list. Need a new xGEQP3.

Resolved by Drmač and Bujanović (ACM TOMS, 2008) and included in LAPACK. In control, included in SLICOT in 2010.

### SLICOT

### AS NLA in CONTROL

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealin Introduction Examples Consequences SLICOT

Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks The SLICOT (Subroutine Library In COntrol Theory)

- is used as computational layer in sophisticated CACSD packages such as EASY5 (since 2002. MSC.Software, initially developed in the Boeing Company), Matlab (The MathWorks) and Scilab (INRIA).
- Since its initial release, SLICOT has been growing at an impressive rate, from 90 user–callable subroutines in 1997., 200 subroutines in 2004., 470 subroutines in 2009., ...
- Efficiency an reliability based on BLAS, LAPACK and state of the art numerical linear algebra

The problem illustrated in the previous examples of QRCP failure affects SLICOT and thus many other control theory libraries.

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealin Introduction Examples Consequences SLICOT

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

## $\begin{aligned} \mathsf{E}\dot{x} &= \mathsf{A}x + \mathsf{B}u\\ y &= \mathsf{C}x + \mathsf{D}u. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$

SLICOT

- Strategically placed "WRITE(\*,\*) variable" statements in the affected subroutines can completely change the computed properties of (5).
- Substantial variations of the output can also be caused by changing the compiler and optimizer options.
- This is undesired behavior, even if the computation is backward stable, and even if it is doomed to fail, due to ill-conditioning.

The problem occurs only at certain distance to singularity, and the rank revealing task itself is usually performed if the matrix is close to singularity. Since many things can happen close to singularity, any ill-behavior is usually attributed to ill-conditioning and the true cause remains inconspicuous.

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealin Introduction Examples Consequences

Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### SLICOT Example: MB03OY



Figure: Left: The matrix *R* computed by MB03OY, shown by meshz(log10(abs(R))). The computed rank is 49. Right: The matrix *R* computed with MB03OY, with "WRITE(\*,\*) TEMP2" statement added after the line 339 in MB03OY.f. The computed rank is 82.

### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealin Introduction Examples Consequences SLICOT

Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks



#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing Introduction Examples Consequences

Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks



#### Zlatko Drmač

### Introduction

Scaling: examples

- Numerica rank revea Introduction Examples Consequence SLICOT
- Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### 60 out of 470 affected!



#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing Introduction Examples Consequences SLICOT Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

# Implementation: L(IN+A)PACK, 1970s, 1990s, ...



#### Zlatko Drmač

Examples

Analysis

### L(IN+A)PACK update

```
DO 30 J = I+1. N
            IF (WORK(J).NE.ZERO) THEN
                TEMP = ONE - ( ABS( A( I, J ) ) / WORK( J ) )**2
                TEMP = MAX( TEMP, ZERO )
                TEMP2 = ONE + 0.05*TEMP*( WORK( J ) / WORK( N+J ) )**2
                WRITE(*.*) TEMP2
                IF( TEMP2.EQ.ONE ) THEN
                   IF( M-I.GT.0 ) THEN
                     WORK( J ) = SNRM2( M-I, A( I+1, J ), 1 )
                     WORK(N+J) = WORK(J)
                  ELSE
                     WORK(J) = ZERO
                     WORK(N+J) = ZERO
                  END IF
                FLSF
                  WORK(J) = WORK(J)*SQRT(TEMP)
                END IF
            END IF
30
         CONTINUE
```

### g77 -c -O -ffloat-store

Critical part in the column norm update. (For the full source see http://www.netlib.org/lapack/single/sgeqpf.f)

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing Introduction Examples Consequences SLICOT Analysis
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

### NEW update



$$\mathbf{H}_{k}\mathbf{z}_{k}^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{kk} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{H}_{k}\mathbf{z}_{j}^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{j}^{(k+1)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{j}^{(k+1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega_{j}^{(k)} = \|\mathbf{z}_{j}^{(k)}\| \quad (7)$$

$$\|\mathbf{a}_{j}^{(k)}\| = \alpha_{j}^{(k)} = \alpha_{j}^{(0)}; \ \xi_{j}^{(k+1)} = \sqrt{(\xi_{j}^{(k)})^{2} + (\beta_{j}^{(k+1)})^{2}} \\ \|\mathbf{z}_{j}^{(k+1)}\| \equiv \omega_{j}^{(k+1)} = \sqrt{(\alpha_{j}^{(0)})^{2} - (\xi_{j}^{(k+1)})^{2}}$$

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

- Numerical rank revealin Introduction Examples Consequences SLICOT
- Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### New update - conclusion

- Provably delivers Businger–Golub structured *R* (up to roundoff)
- Row scaled  $\tilde{R}_r$  well conditioned.  $\begin{pmatrix} \overrightarrow{0} & \overrightarrow{-} & \overrightarrow{-} \\ 0 & 0 & \overrightarrow{-} \\ 0 & 0 & \overrightarrow{-} \end{pmatrix}$
- Same efficiency as original routines
- Makes many other solvers more robust and can prevent catastrophes in mission critical applications

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ● ●

• Included in LAPACK, SLICOT

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

#### Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction Backward stal

Perturbations of the spectrum

4

in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Introduction

Scaling: examples

3 Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values Introduction Backward stability Perturbations of the spectrum Positive definiteness in floating point

### 5 Jacobi method

6 Accurate PSVD and applications

#### . . . . . . . .

・ロト ・雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

-

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

#### Eigenvalues and singular values

#### Introduction

Backward stability Perturbations of the spectrum Positive definiteness in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### $H - \lambda I$ , $HM - \lambda I$

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), x(0) = x_0$$

$$y(t) = Cx(t)$$

Grammians  $H = L_H L_H^T$ ,  $M = L_M L_M^T$  via Lyapunov equations:

$$H = \int_0^\infty e^{tA} B B^T e^{tA^T} dt, \quad M = \int_0^\infty e^{tA^T} C^T C e^{tA} dt$$

 $AH + HA^T = -BB^T$ ,  $A^TM + MA = -C^TC$ .

Hankel singular values,  $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i(HM)}$ . Need spectral decomposition of the product *HM* of positive definite matrices,  $HM \mapsto T^{-1}HMT = \Sigma^2$ . New state coo's  $x(t) = T\hat{x}(t); A \mapsto T^{-1}AT, B \mapsto T^{-1}B, C \mapsto CT;$ 

$$H\mapsto \hat{H}=T^{-1}HT^{-T}=\Sigma, \ \ M\mapsto \hat{M}=T^TMT=\Sigma$$

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction

Backward stability Perturbations of the spectrum Positive definiteness in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

# Backward stability: eig() $H = H^T$ , $n \times n$ symmetric.

$$Hu_i = \lambda_i u_i, \quad H = U \wedge U^T, \quad \Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n$$

Symm. EigenValue Problem perfect  $\star \star \star$ : \* eigenvalues real, eigenvectors orthogonal \* algorithms use orthogonal transformations \* Weyl: If  $H \rightsquigarrow H + \delta H$ , then  $\lambda \rightsquigarrow \lambda + \delta \lambda$ , with

$$\max_{\lambda} |\delta\lambda| \le \|\delta H\|$$
$$\cdots U_{k}^{T} \cdots (U_{2}^{T} (U_{1}^{T} H \underbrace{U_{1} ) U_{2} } \cdots \underbrace{U_{k} \cdots }_{U} \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

Computed (finite prec.,  $O(n^3)$ )  $\tilde{U} \approx U$ ,  $\tilde{\Lambda} \approx \Lambda$ . Backward stability:

$$\tilde{U}^{T}(H+\delta H)\tilde{U}\approx\tilde{\Lambda}, \quad \frac{\|\delta H\|}{\|H\|}\leq\epsilon\approx10^{-16}$$
 small.

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction

Backward stability

Perturbations of the spectrum

Positive definitenes in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks



## Want better accuracy for better inputs.

・ロト ・雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

-

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction

Backward stability

Perturbations of the spectrum

Positive definiteness in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Error in the eigenvalues

Let  $H = LL^T \succ 0$  and  $\tilde{L}\tilde{L}^T = H + \delta H \succ 0$ ,  $|\delta H_{ij}| \le \eta_C \sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}$ . Compare the eigenvalues of H and  $\tilde{H} = H + \delta H = \tilde{L}\tilde{L}^T$ :

- $H = LL^T$  is similar to  $L^T L$ ,  $H \sim L^T L$ .
- Let  $Y = \sqrt{I + L^{-1}\delta H L^{-T}}$ . Then

$$H + \delta H = L(I + L^{-1}\delta H L^{-T})L^{T} = LYY^{T}L^{T} \sim Y^{T}L^{T}LY.$$

Compare  $\lambda_i(L^T L) = \lambda_i(H)$  and  $\lambda_i(Y^T L^T L Y) = \lambda_i(H + \delta H)$ .

- Ostrowski:  $\tilde{M} = Y^T M Y$ , then, for all i,  $\lambda_i(\tilde{M}) = \lambda_i(M)\xi_i$ ,  $\lambda_{\min}(Y^T Y) \le \xi_i \le \lambda_{\max}(Y^T Y)$ . Here  $Y^T Y = I + L^{-1} \delta H L^{-T}$ .
- Hence  $|\lambda_i(H) \lambda_i(\tilde{H})| \le \lambda_i(H) ||L^{-1} \delta H L^{-T}||_2$ ,

$$\begin{split} \|L^{-1}\delta HL^{-T}\|_{2} &= \|L^{-1}DD^{-1}\delta HD^{-1}DL^{-T}\|_{2} = \|L^{-1}D(\delta H_{s})DL^{-T}\|_{2} \\ &\leq \|L^{-1}D\|_{2}^{2}\|\delta H_{s}\|_{2} = \|DL^{-T}L^{-1}D\|_{2}\|\delta H_{s}\|_{2} \\ &= \|(D^{-1}HD^{-1})^{-1}\|_{2}\|\delta H_{s}\|_{2} = \|H_{s}^{-1}\|_{2}\|\delta H_{s}\|_{2} \end{split}$$

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction

Backward stability

Perturbations of the spectrum

Positive definiteness in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

## Error in the eigenvalues Since $\delta H_s = (\delta H_{ij} / \sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}})$

$$\max_{i} \left| \frac{\delta \lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}} \right| \leq \|\boldsymbol{H}_{s}^{-1}\|_{2} \underbrace{\left\| \left[ \frac{\delta \boldsymbol{H}_{ij}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{H}_{ii}\boldsymbol{H}_{jj}}} \right] \right\|_{2}}_{\leq n\eta_{C}}$$

Compare with 
$$\max_{i} \left| \frac{\delta \lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}} \right| \leq \kappa_{2}(H) \frac{\|\delta H\|_{2}}{\|H\|_{2}}$$

Van der Sluis:  $||H_s^{-1}||_2 \le \kappa_2(H_s) \le n \min_{D=diag} \kappa_2(DHD)$ . Our 3 × 3 example:  $H = DH_sD$ ,  $D = diag(10^{20}, 10^{10}, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 10^{40} & 10^{29} & 10^{19} \\ 10^{29} & 10^{20} & 10^{9} \\ 10^{19} & 10^{9} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = DH_sD = D \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 1 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} D,$$

 $\kappa_2(H) > 10^{40}, \, \kappa_2(H_s) < 1.4, \, \|H_s^{-1}\|_2 < 1.2.$ 

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction

Backward stability Perturbations of the spectrum

Positive definiteness in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

## Positive definiteness in floating-point

Demmel and Veselić

Let  $H = DH_sD$ , where  $D = \text{diag}(\sqrt{H_{ii}})_{i=1}^n$ , and let  $\lambda_{\min}(H_s)$  be the minimal eigenvalue of  $H_s$ .

If  $\delta H$  is symmetric perturbation such that  $H + \delta H$  is not positive definite, then

$$\max_{1\leq i,j\leq n}\frac{|\delta H_{ij}|}{\sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}}\geq \frac{\lambda_{\min}(H_s)}{n}=\frac{1}{n\|H_s^{-1}\|_2}.$$

If  $\delta H = -\lambda_{\min}(H_s)D^2$ , then  $\max_{i,j} \frac{|\delta H_{ij}|}{\sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}} = \lambda_{\min}(H_s)$  and  $H + \delta H$  is singular.

If  $||H_s^{-1}||_2$  is too big  $(\gtrsim 1/\varepsilon)$  then *H* is entry–wise close to a non–definite matrix. Can say: *H* is numerically definite iff  $||H_s^{-1}||_2 < 1/\varepsilon$ .

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction

Backward stability Perturbations of the spectrum

Positive definiteness in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Implicit definiteness

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 + k_2 & -k_2 & 0 \\ -k_2 & k_2 + k_3 & -k_3 \\ 0 & -k_3 & k_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that  $K = LL^T$ , where

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{k_1} & 0 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{k_2} & \sqrt{k_2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{k_3} & \sqrt{k_3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{k_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{k_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{k_3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

#### Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren One-sided Jacobi SVD Floating point Jaco Provable accuracy

SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Introduction

### Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

### 5 Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren One-sided Jacobi SVD Floating point Jacobi Provable accuracy SVD computation in floating point

6 Accurate PSVD and applications

### Jacobi, 1844, 1846

### AS NLA in CONTROL Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singula values

#### Jacobi method

#### Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD Floating point Jaco

SVD computation in

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Ein leichtes Verfahren ... $H = H^T$ , $H^{(k+1)} = U_k^T H^{(k)} U_k \longrightarrow \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_i) \ (k \longrightarrow \infty)$ Each $U_k$ annihilates $(p_k, q_k)$ , $(q_k, p_k)$ positions in $H^{(k)}$ .

$$\cdots U_{3}^{T} U_{2}^{T} U_{1}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} U_{1} U_{2} U_{3} \cdots = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \circledast & \otimes & 0 \\ \circledast & \bullet & \star & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}$$
$$U_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi_{1} & \sin \psi_{1} \\ -\sin \psi_{1} & \cos \psi_{1} \end{pmatrix} \bigoplus I_{n-2}, \quad U_{2} = \cdots$$
$$\boxed{\text{Jacobi rotation}} \quad \cot 2\psi_{k} = \frac{H_{q_{k}q_{k}}^{(k)} - H_{p_{k}p_{k}}^{(k)}}{2H_{p_{k}q_{k}}^{(k)}},$$
$$\tan \psi_{k} = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(\cot 2\psi_{k})}{|\cot 2\psi_{k}| + \sqrt{1 + \cot^{2} 2\psi_{k}}} \in (-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}],$$
$$p, q) = \mathcal{P}(k) \text{ pivot strategy, } \mathcal{P} : \mathbb{N} \to \{(i, j) \in [i < j\}\}$$

### Convergent strategies

Introduction

AS NLA in CONTROL

Zlatko Drmač

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD Floating point Jacob Provable accuracy SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks Jacobi:  $|h_{pq}^{(k)}| = \max_{i \neq j} |h_{ij}^{(k)}|$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(k) = (p, q)$ . Reading Jacobi's 1846. paper recommended. Cyclic:  $\mathcal{P}$  periodic, one full period called sweep. Row–cyclic and column–cyclic:



 $H^{(k)} \longrightarrow \Lambda$ ,  $U_1 \cdots U_k \cdots \longrightarrow U$  as  $(k \longrightarrow \infty)$ ;  $U^T H U = \Lambda$ Asymptotically quadratic reduction of  $Off(H^{(k)})$ . Forsythe, Henrici, Wilkinson, Rutishauser, Hari, Veselić Asymptotically cubic strategies exist.

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

#### One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacobi Provable accuracy SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### One-sided Jacobi SVD

Hestenes used implicit Jacobi for SVD of  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ : Diagonalize  $H = H^{(0)} = A^T A$ ;  $A \equiv A_0$ .  $H^{(1)} = V_0^T H^{(0)} V_0 = V_0^T A^T (AV_0) = A_1^T A_1$  $H^{(k+1)} = V_k^T H^{(k)} V_k = A_{k+1}^T A_{k+1} \longrightarrow \Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_i)$ 

 $\leftrightarrow \boxed{A_{k+1} = A_k V_k}, \text{ where } H^{(k)} = A_k^T A_k$ V<sub>k</sub> uses Jacobi rotation to diagonalize

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_{pp}^{(k)} & h_{pq}^{k} \\ h_{qp}^{(k)} & h_{qq}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} h_{pp}^{(k)} = \|A_{k}(1:m,p)\|^{2} \\ h_{qq}^{(k)} = \|A_{k}(1:m,q)\|^{2} \\ h_{pq}^{(k)} = A_{k}(1:m,p)^{T}A_{k}(1:m,q) \end{array}$$

 $h_{pp}^{(k)}, h_{qq}^{(k)}$  scalar update;  $h_{pq}^{(k)}$  BLAS1 SDOT  $A_k \longrightarrow U\Sigma, \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_i}), U^T U = I$   $V_1 \cdots V_k \cdots \longrightarrow V, V^T V = I, AV = U\Sigma$  $A = U\Sigma V^T$  the SVD of A.

◆□→ ◆□→ ◆目→ ◆目→ ◆□→ ◆□→

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacobi Provable accuracy SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### One-sided rotation $d_p = ||A_k(1:m,p)||^2, d_q = ||A_k(1:m,q)||^2,$ $\xi = A_k(1:m,p)^T A_k(1:m,q);$

ROTATE
$$(A_{1:m,p}, A_{1:m,q}, d_p, d_q, \xi, [V_{1:m,p}, V_{1:m,p}])$$
  
1:  $\vartheta = \frac{d_q - d_p}{2 \cdot \xi}; t = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(\vartheta)}{|\vartheta| + \sqrt{1 + \vartheta^2}};$   
 $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}}; s = t \cdot c;$   
2:  $(A_{1:m,p}, A_{1:m,q}) = (A_{1:m,p}, A_{1:m,q}) \begin{pmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{pmatrix};$   
3:  $d_p = d_p - t \cdot \xi; d_q = d_q + t \cdot \xi;$   
4: if V is wanted then  
5:  $(V_{1:n,p}, V_{1:n,q}) = (V_{1:n,p}, V_{1:n,q}) \begin{pmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{pmatrix};$   
6: end if

Can avoid squared norms. Can use fast rotations. Unit stride memory access. Vectorizable. Parallelizable.

### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

### Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

#### One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacobi Provable accuracy SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Jacobi SVD $\hat{p} = n(n-1)/2$ ; s = 0; convergence = false; if V is wanted then initialize $V = I_n$ end if for i = 1 to n do $d_i = A_{1:m}^T A_{1:m,i}$ end for; repeat s = s + 1 : p = 0: for i = 1 to n - 1 do for j = i + 1 to n do $\xi = A_{1:m\,i}^T A_{1:m,i},$ if $|\xi| > m\varepsilon_{\sqrt{d_i d_i}}$ then call ROTATE( $A_{1:m,i}, A_{1:m,i}, d_i, d_i, \xi, [V_{1:m,i}, V_{1:m,i}]$ ); else p = p + 1 end if end for end for if $p = \hat{p}$ then convergence=true; go to > end if until s > 30• if convergence then $\Sigma_{ii} = \sqrt{d_i}$ , $U_{1:m,i} = A_{1:m,i}\Sigma_{ii}^{-1}$ , i = 1 : n;

else Error: Did not converge in 30 sweeps. end if

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Ein leichtes Verfahren One-sided Jacobi

Floating point Jacobi

Provable accuracy SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks Jacobi in floating–point Breakthrough: Jacobi method is more accurate than QR! Demmel and Veselić: Let  $\tilde{H}^{(k)}$ , denote the computed matrices. Then, in the positive definite case, one step of Jacobi in floating–point arithmetic reads

$$ilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k+1)} = \hat{U}_k^{\mathsf{T}} ( ilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)} + \delta ilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)}) \hat{U}_k$$

where  $\hat{U}_k$  is exactly orthogonal and  $\varepsilon$ -close to the actually used Jacobi rotation  $\tilde{U}_k$ , and  $\delta \tilde{H}^{(k)}$  is sparse with

$$\mathbf{e}_{k} = \max_{i,j} \frac{|(\delta \tilde{H}^{(k)})_{ij}|}{\sqrt{(\tilde{H}^{(k)})_{ii}(\tilde{H}^{(k)})_{jj}}} \leq \epsilon$$

Relative perturbation of eigenvalues in the *k*-step bounded by  $n\mathbf{e}_k \| (\tilde{H}_s^{(k)})^{-1} \|_2$ ,  $\tilde{H}_s^{(k)}$  scaled to have unit diagonal. IMPORTANT: Stop when  $\max_{i \neq j} | (\tilde{H}_s^{(k)})_{ij} | \leq \epsilon$ The accuracy depends on  $\max_k \| (\tilde{H}_s^{(k)})^{-1} \|_2$ 

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

#### Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

SVD Floating point Jacobi

Provable accuracy SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Jacobi in floating-point

If the entries of the initial *H* are given with relative uncertainty  $\varepsilon$ , then:

- The spectrum is determined up to relative error of order of nε ||H<sub>s</sub><sup>-1</sup>|| (H<sub>s</sub> diagonally scaled H to have unit diagonal)
- The symmetric Jacobi method introduces perturbation of the order of  $n\mathbf{e}_k \max_k \|(\tilde{H}_s^{(k)})^{-1}\|_2$

Numerical evidence:  $\max_k \|(\tilde{H}_s^{(k)})^{-1}\|_2$  behaves well. Theoretical (still open) problem: Bound

$$\max_{k \ge 1} \frac{\|(H_s^{(k)})^{-1}\|_2}{\|H_s^{-1}\|_2} \text{ or } \max_{k \ge 1} \frac{\kappa_2(H_s^{(k)})}{\kappa_2(H_s)}$$

Demmel, Veselić, Slapničar, Mascarenhas, Drmač

### Provable accuracy

Let  $H = LL^T \succ 0$ , *L* Cholesky factor. Use Veselić–Hari trick:

- If we apply Jacobi SVD to *L*,  $LV = U\Sigma$ , where *V* is the product of Jacobi rotations, then  $H = U\Sigma^2 U^T$ .
- So, can apply Jacobi and get eigenvectors without accumulation of Jacobi rotations! This reduces flop count, memory requirements and memory traffic!
- This implicitly diagonalizes  $L^T L$ , which is similar to  $H = LL^T$ , and it is actually one step of the Rutishauser's LR method. If *L* is computed with pivoting, then  $L^T L$  is 'more diagonal' than *H*.
- The cost of Cholesky  $(n^3/3)$  much less than one sweep of Jacobi  $(2n^3$  with fast rotations).
- In floating point

$$\tilde{L}\tilde{L}^{T} = H + \delta H, \quad \max_{i,j} \frac{|\delta H_{ij}|}{\sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}} \leq \eta_{C} \lesssim n\varepsilon$$

Zlatko Drmač

AS NLA in CONTROL

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

```
Jacobi method
```

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacobi

Provable accuracy

SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values

#### Jacobi method

- Ein leichtes Verfahren
- One-sided Jacobi SVD
- Floating point Jacobi

#### Provable accuracy

- SVD computation in floating point
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

### Provable accuracy

Now to the SVD of  $\tilde{L}$ : One sided Jacobi SVD  $\tilde{L}V_1 V_2 \cdots V_k \cdots V_\ell \rightarrow \tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma}$ In floating point

• 
$$\tilde{L} \leftarrow (((\tilde{L}_1 + \delta \tilde{L}_1)\hat{V}_1 + \delta \tilde{L}_2)\hat{V}_2 + \delta \tilde{L}_3)\hat{V}_3 + \cdots$$

- If y = xV, V rotation, x, y row vectors, then  $\tilde{y} = (x + \delta x)\hat{V}$ ,  $\hat{V}$  orthogonal,  $\|\delta x\| \le 6\varepsilon \|x\|$ .
- Hence, each row of δL
  <sub>i</sub> is ε small relative to the corresponding row of L
  <sub>i</sub>. The Ŷ<sub>j</sub> with j ≠ i do not change the row norms of δL
  <sub>i</sub>.
- At convergence,  $\tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma} = (\tilde{L} + \delta \tilde{L})\hat{V}$ , with  $\tilde{\Sigma} = \text{diag}(\tilde{\sigma}_i)$ ,  $\|\delta \tilde{L}(i,:)\| \leq O(n)\varepsilon \|\tilde{L}(i,:)\|$  for all *i*.
- $\tilde{\lambda}_i = \tilde{\sigma}_i^2$  are the eigenvalues of  $(\tilde{L} + \delta \tilde{L})(\tilde{L} + \delta \tilde{L})^T$

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacob SVD

Floating point Jacobi

Provable accuracy

SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Provable accuracy

$$(\tilde{L} + \delta \tilde{L})(\tilde{L} + \delta \tilde{L})^{T} = \tilde{L}\tilde{L}^{T} + \underbrace{\tilde{L}\delta\tilde{L}^{T} + \delta\tilde{L}\tilde{L}^{T} + \delta\tilde{L} + \delta\tilde{L}}_{E}$$

### By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\begin{split} |E_{ij}| &\leq 2O(n\varepsilon) \|\tilde{L}(i,:)\| \|\tilde{L}(j,:)\| + O(\varepsilon^2) \|\tilde{L}(i,:)\| \|\tilde{L}(j,:)\| \\ &\approx (O(n\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^2)) \sqrt{(\tilde{L}\tilde{L}^T)_{ii}(\tilde{L}\tilde{L}^T)_{jj}} \\ &\approx (O(n\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^2)) \sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}, \\ &\text{ since } \tilde{L}\tilde{L}^T = H + \delta H, \ \max_{i,j} \frac{|\delta H_{ij}|}{\sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}} \leq \eta_C \lessapprox n\varepsilon \end{split}$$

So, we have the eigenvalues of

$$\tilde{L}\tilde{L}^{T} + E = H + \delta H + E = H + \Delta H, \quad \max_{i,j} \frac{|\Delta H_{ij}|}{\sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}} \leq O(n\varepsilon)$$

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacobi

Provable accuracy

SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Provable accuracy-conclusion

### If $H \succ 0$ then

- The algorithm:
  - 1. Compute the Cholesky factorization  $H = LL^T$ ;
  - 2. Compute  $L = U \Sigma V^T$  using one-sided Jacobi SVD;
  - 3. Output: Set  $\Lambda = \Sigma^2$ ;  $H = U \Lambda U^T$

computes the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of *H* with entry–wise small backward error  $\max_{i,j} \frac{|\Delta H_{ij}|}{\sqrt{H_{ii}H_{ij}}} \leq O(n\varepsilon)$ .

- The forward error is  $\max_i |\delta \lambda_i| / \lambda_i \leq O(n^2 \varepsilon) \|H_s^{-1}\|_2$ .
- Most of the forward error comes from Step 1. Step 2. in floating point is as good as exact SVD.
- If Cholesky in Step 1 fails to compute *L*, then the matrix is entry–wise close to a non–definite matrix, and smallest eigenvalue can be lost due to symmetric tiny entry–wise perturbations.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○○

• All computations in one  $n \times n$  array.

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacobi Provable accuracy

SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

SVD perturbation theory  
et rank(A) = 
$$n \le m$$
,  $D = diag(||A(:,i)||)$ , and  
 $A \mapsto A + \delta A \implies \sigma_j \mapsto \sigma_j + \delta \sigma_j$ .  
 $A + \delta A = (I + \delta A A^{\dagger}) A \Longrightarrow \max_j \frac{|\tilde{\sigma}_j - \sigma_j|}{\sigma_j} \le ||\delta A A^{\dagger}||$ ,  
 $||\delta A A^{\dagger}|| \le \begin{cases} \frac{||\delta A||}{||A||} (||A^{\dagger}||||A||) = \epsilon \cdot \kappa(A), \\ ||\delta A D^{-1}||| (A D^{-1})^{\dagger}||. \end{cases}$   
 $\delta A D^{-1}|| \le \sqrt{n} \max_j \frac{||\delta A(:,j)||}{||A(:,j)||} \le \sqrt{n}\varepsilon$ ;  
 $(A D^{-1})^{\dagger}|| \equiv ||A_s^{\dagger}|| \le \sqrt{n} \min_{\Delta = diag} \kappa(A \Delta)$ 

$$\begin{split} \|\delta AD^{-1}\| &\leq \sqrt{n} \max_{j} \frac{\|\delta A(z,j)\|}{\|A(z,j)\|} \leq \sqrt{n}\varepsilon; \\ \|(AD^{-1})^{\dagger}\| &\equiv \|A_{s}^{\dagger}\| \leq \sqrt{n} \min_{\Delta = diag} \kappa(A\Delta) \\ \text{Possible: } \|A_{s}^{\dagger}\| \ll \kappa(A); \text{ always } \|A_{s}^{\dagger}\| \leq \sqrt{n}\kappa(A). \\ \text{Jacobi SVD: } \|A_{s}^{\dagger}\| \longrightarrow \text{more accurate }. \\ \text{bidiagonal SVD: } \kappa(A) \longrightarrow \text{less accurate }, \\ \text{bidiagonalization provokes } \kappa(A). \\ \text{Jacobi++ SVD: } A = D_{1}CD_{2} \rightarrow D_{1}(C + \delta C)D_{2}. \end{split}$$

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

#### Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacob Provable accuracy

SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks **QRF preprocessor for Jacobi** A = QR;  $[\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}] = qr(A)$ ,  $\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}$  computed. Backward error analysis:

$$(\exists \delta A) \ (\exists \hat{Q}, \ \hat{Q}^T \hat{Q} = I) \ A + \delta A = \hat{Q}\tilde{R},$$
$$\|\delta A(:, i)\| \le \epsilon_1 \|A(:, i)\|, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$
Perturbation analysis:  $\sigma_i(\tilde{R}) = \sigma_i((I + \delta A A^{\dagger})A)$ 

$$1 - \|\delta A A^{\dagger}\| \leq rac{\sigma_i( ilde{R})}{\sigma_i(A)} \leq 1 + \|\delta A A^{\dagger}\|, ext{ for all } i.$$

Let  $A = A_s D$ , D = diag(||A(:, i)||).

$$\|\delta A A^{\dagger}\| = \|\delta A D^{-1} (A D^{-1})^{\dagger}\| \le \sqrt{n} \max_{i} \frac{\|\delta A(:,i)\|}{\|A(:,i)\|} \|A_{s}^{\dagger}\|$$

$$\|A_{s}^{\dagger}\| \leq \kappa(A_{s}) \leq \sqrt{n} \min_{\Delta = \text{diag}} \kappa(A\Delta)$$

If  $\kappa(A_s)$  is moderate, then  $SVD(\tilde{R})$  is OK for the SVD(A).

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacob

Provable accuracy

SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

### Strong backward stability Jacobi SVD( $\tilde{R}$ ): $\tilde{R}^T V = U\Sigma$ . Computed: $[\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{\Sigma}] = \texttt{JacobiSVD}(\tilde{R}^T)$ . Jacobi rotations $\tilde{V}$ such that

$$\max_{i\neq j} \left| \cos \angle ((\tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma})\boldsymbol{e}_i, (\tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma})\boldsymbol{e}_j) \right| \leq O(n) \mathbf{u}$$

### Error analysis:

$$(\exists \delta \tilde{R}) \ (\exists \hat{V}, \ \hat{V}^T \hat{V} = I) \ (\tilde{R} + \delta \tilde{R})^T \hat{V} = (\tilde{U} \tilde{\Sigma})$$
$$\|\delta \tilde{R}(:, i)\| \le \epsilon_2 \|\tilde{R}(:, i)\|, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{R} + \delta \tilde{R} &= \hat{Q}^{T} (A + \delta A) + \hat{Q}^{T} \hat{Q} \delta \tilde{R} \\ &= \hat{Q}^{T} (A + \underbrace{\delta A + \hat{Q} \delta \tilde{R}}_{\Delta A}) \end{split}$$

where  $\|\Delta A(:,i)\| \le (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2(1 + \epsilon_1)) \|A(:,i)\|$  for all *i*, and the SVD is  $(A + \Delta A)^T \hat{Q} \hat{V} = \tilde{U} \tilde{\Sigma}$ . Very nice and simple. Accurate.

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Accurate **PSVD** and applications

3 Numerical rank revealing

6 Accurate PSVD and applications Accurate PSVD RRD of structured matrices Rational approximation



・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

-
#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

#### Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD RRD of structured matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

Entry–wise backward stability also possible for  $HMx = \lambda x$ .

- Use contragredient scaling H := DHD,  $M := D^{-1}MD^{-1}$  to get all  $M_{ii} = 1$ . Here  $D = \text{diag}(\sqrt{M_{ii}})_{i=1}^{n}$ .
- Cholesky f.  $H := P^T H P = L_H L_H^T$ ,  $M = L_M L_M^T$
- $HM = PL_H L_H^T P^T L_M L_M^T = L_M^{-T} (L_M^T P L_H L_H^T P^T L_M) L_M^T$
- $HM = L_M^{-T}(AA^T)L_M$ ,  $A = L_M^T P L_H$ ,  $L_H = L_{H,s}D_H$
- Compute  $A = (L_M^T P)L_H$ . (No fast matrix–multiply allowed. Must pay  $O(n^3)$ .)
- Compute the SVD  $A = U\Sigma V^T$  using the Jacobi SVD  $(AV = U\Sigma, AA^T = U\Sigma^2 U^T)$ .
- Assemble:  $T = DL_M^{-T}U\Sigma^{1/2}$ .
- It holds  $T^{-1}HT^{-T} = T^TMT = \Sigma$

# +definite $HMx = \lambda x$

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

#### Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD RRD of structure matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

# Accurate solution

### The algorithm solves

$$(H + \delta H)(M + \delta M)\mathbf{x} = \tilde{\lambda}\mathbf{x}$$

exactly, with symmetric  $\delta H$ ,  $\delta M$ ,

$$\frac{|\delta H_{ij}|}{\sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}} \le f(n) \cdot \varepsilon, \quad \frac{|\delta M_{ij}|}{\sqrt{M_{ii}M_{jj}}} \le g(n, L_{H,s}) \cdot \varepsilon, \quad 1 \le i, j \le n$$
$$\frac{|\delta \lambda|}{\lambda} \le h(n)(||H_s^{-1}|| + ||M_s^{-1}||) \cdot \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon = \text{eps.}$$

 $H_s = \operatorname{diag}(H)^{-1/2} H \operatorname{diag}(H)^{-1/2}, \kappa_2(H_s) \leq n \min_{D = diag} \kappa_2(DHD)$ All  $\lambda$ 's stable IFF  $||H_s^{-1}||$  and  $||M_s^{-1}||$  moderate. We have optimal accuracy.

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

#### Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD RRD of structured matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks Implicit diagonalization of *HM* is actually computing the SVD of a product of two matrices,  $BC^{T} = U\Sigma V^{T}$ .  $A = BC^{T} = U\Sigma V^{T}$ , *B*, *C* full column rank

- A=yxGEMM(B,C<sup>T</sup>) fastesssst matrix multiply
- CALL yxGESDD(A) fastesssst SVD
- $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ -1 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2+2\epsilon & 2+\epsilon \\ -2+2\epsilon & -2+\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ -2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$
- $U_2 B U_1^T U_1 C^T U_3 \rightsquigarrow \Sigma$ ,  $U_i$  orthogonal

PSVD

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singula values

Jacobi method

#### Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD RRD of structured matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

• 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ -1 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ -2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $\epsilon$  not happy  
•  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ -1 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} U_1^T U_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$   
•  $U_1 C^T = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{8} & \frac{\sqrt{18}}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$   
•  $BU_1^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \epsilon & -1 + \epsilon \\ -1 + \epsilon & 1 + \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

- $\epsilon$  happy because  $U_1$  is orthogonal ?!
- backward errors:  $\|\delta B\| \lesssim \exp \|B\|$ ,  $\|\delta C\| \lesssim \exp \|C\|$
- Is that the best we can do?

**PSVD** 

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

#### Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD

RRD of structure matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

### How to compute the SVD of a product of two matrices, $BC^{T} = U\Sigma V^{T}$ , accurately?

PSVD:  $SVD(BC^T)$ 



• 
$$C\Delta_B P = Q\begin{pmatrix} R\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; BC^T = (B\Delta_B^{-1}P)(R^T \quad 0) Q^T;$$
  
•  $A = (B\Delta_B^{-1}P)R^T; R^T = \begin{pmatrix} \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare \end{pmatrix} = \text{well.cond} \times \text{diag.}$   
•  $[U, \Sigma, V_1] = \text{SVD}(A)_{\text{Jacobi}}; V = Q\begin{pmatrix} V_1 & 0\\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD RRD of structure matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

# And what about $BPR^{T}$ ? $BPT^{T} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}$

Consider  $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ \ell_{21} & \ell_{22} & 0 \\ \ell_{31} & \ell_{32} & \ell_{33} \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$\begin{split} \tilde{a}_{3} &= (b_{3} + \delta b_{3})\ell_{33} \\ \tilde{a}_{2} &= (b_{2} + \delta_{2}b_{2})\ell_{22} + (b_{3} + \delta_{2}b_{3})\ell_{32} \\ &= (b_{2} + \delta_{2}b_{2})\ell_{22} + (b_{3} + \delta b_{3} - \delta b_{3} + \delta_{2}b_{3}) \\ &= (b_{2} + \delta_{2}b_{2} + (\delta_{2}b_{3} - \delta b_{3})\frac{\ell_{32}}{\ell_{22}})\ell_{22} + (b_{3} + \delta b_{3})\ell_{33} \\ &= (b_{2} + \delta b_{2})\ell_{22} + (b_{3} + \delta b_{3})\ell_{33} \\ (\tilde{a}_{2} \quad \tilde{a}_{3}) &= (b_{2} + \delta b_{2} \quad b_{3} + \delta b_{3}) L, \quad L = \tilde{R}^{T} \end{split}$$

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method

#### Accurate PSVD and applications

#### Accurate PSVD

RRD of structure matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

# **Backward stability**

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQ@

- $C = Q\begin{pmatrix} R\\ 0 \end{pmatrix};$ •  $C + \delta C = \tilde{Q}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{R}\\ 0 \end{pmatrix};$ 
  - $\|\delta C(:,i)\| \le \epsilon \|C(:,i)\|$ , for all columns *i*
- $A = BR^T$ ;
  - $\tilde{A} = (B + \delta B)\tilde{R}^T$ ,
  - $\|\delta B(\dot{\cdot}, i)\| \le \epsilon \|B(\cdot, i)\|$ , for all columns *i*
- $(B + \delta B)(C + \delta C)^T = (I + \delta B B^{\dagger}) B C^T (I + \delta C C^{\dagger})^T$
- $B = B_{scaled} D$ ,  $scond(B) = cond(B_{scaled})$

# Theoretical accuracy

(日) (圖) (E) (E)



#### AS NLA in CONTROL

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and application

Accurate PSVD RRD of structure matrices Rational

Concluding remarks

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

#### Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD RRD of structured matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

# Measured accuracy



・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うへぐ

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications Accurate PSVD RRD of structured matrices

Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

# Rank Revealing Decomposition

In a +60 pages LAA paper Demmel, Drmač, Gu, Eisenstat, Slapničar, Veselić (DGESVD paper) noted that some classes of matrices allow so called Rank Revealing Decomposition (RRD),

 $P_1AP_2 = LDU, P_1, P_2$  permutations,

where *D* is diagonal, and *L* and *U* are well conditioned. Moreover, *L*, *D*, *U* can be computed in a forward stable way. An example of a RRD of *A* is obtained by non–standard Gaussian eliminations using certain structural properties of *A*. More examples by Demmel and Koev.

Then, we can use the accurate PSVD algorithm and get the SVD of *LDU*.

Example: Cauchy matrix  $C_{ij} = 1/(x_i + y_j)$ (displacement rank one,  $XC + CY = d_1 d_2^T$ )

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

RRD of structured matrices

Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

# **Cauchy matrices**

$$\det(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{\prod_{i < j} (\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i)(\mathbf{y}_j - \mathbf{y}_i)}{\prod_{i,j} (\mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{y}_j)}$$

Can get accurate *LDU* at high cost,  $O(n^5)$ . Then Demmel reduced it to the usual  $O(n^3)$  using the recursive structure of the Schur complement.

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ C_{21}C_{11}^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ 0 & S^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$S_{ij}^{(k)} = S_{ij}^{(k-1)} \frac{(x_i - x_k)(y_j - y_k)}{(x_k + y_j)(x_i + y_k)}$$

Straightforward extension to Cauchy–like matrices  $D_1 CD_2$ ,  $D_i$  diagonal. Simplified for symmetric positive definite cases.

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- RRD of structured matrices
- Rational
- Concluding remarks

# An illustration

After computing C = LDU, one applies accurate Jacobi PSVD to the product (LD)U. All forward stable, but the spectrum is ill-conditioned!

An illustration of the power of this algorithm is the example of  $100 \times 100$  Hilbert matrix  $H_{100}$ . Computation done by Demmel:

- The singular values of H<sub>100</sub> range over 150 orders of magnitude and are computed using the package Mathematica with 200–decimal digit software floating point arithmetic. The computed singular values are rounded to 16 digits and used as reference values.
- The singular values computed in IEEE double precision floating–point ( $\varepsilon \approx 10^{-16}$ ) by the Jacobi PSVD agree with the reference values with relative error less than  $34 \cdot \varepsilon$ .

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD RRD of structure matrices

Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

# Rational approximation

New highly accurate NLA algorithms open new possibilities in other computational tasks.

For instance, Haut and Beylkin (2011) used Adamyan–Arov–Krein theory to show that nearly  $L^{\infty}$ –optimal rational approximation on |z| = 1 of

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_i}{z - \gamma_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\overline{\alpha_i}z}{1 - \overline{\gamma_i}z} + \alpha_0$$

with  $\max_{|z|=1} |f(z) - r(z)| \rightsquigarrow \min$ ,

$$r(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\beta_i}{z - \eta_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\overline{\beta_i}z}{1 - \overline{\eta_i}z} + \alpha_0$$

is numerically feasible if one can compute the con–eigenvalues and con–eigenvectors

$$Cu = \lambda \overline{u}, \quad C_{ij} = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_i}\sqrt{\overline{\alpha_j}}}{\gamma_i^{-1} - \overline{\gamma_j}} \longleftrightarrow \frac{\alpha_i \overline{\alpha_j}}{1 - \gamma_i \overline{\gamma_j}}$$

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

RRD of structurer matrices

Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

# Con-eigenvalues

Here  $C = (\frac{\sqrt{\alpha_i}\sqrt{\alpha_j}}{\gamma_i^{-1} - \overline{\gamma_j}})$  is positive definite Cauchy matrix *C*. The con–eigenvalue problem  $Cu = \lambda \overline{u}$  is equivalent to solving

$$\overline{C}Cu=|\lambda|^2u,$$

where *C* is factored as  $C = XD^2X^*$ . The problem reduces to computing the SVD of the product  $G = DX^T XD$ . Accurate SVD via the PSVD based on the Jacobi SVD. Haut and Beylkin tested the accuracy with  $\kappa_2(C) > 10^{200}$  and using Mathematica with 300 hundred digits for reference values. Over 500 test examples of size 120, the maximal error in IEEE 16 digit arithmetic ( $\varepsilon \approx 2.2 \cdot 10^{-16}$ ) was

$$\frac{|\tilde{\lambda}_i - \lambda_i|}{|\lambda_i|} < 5.2 \cdot 10^{-12}, \quad \frac{\|\tilde{u}_i - u_i\|_2}{\|u_i\|_2} < 5.4 \cdot 10^{-12}.$$

#### Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

1 Introduction

Scaling: examples

3 Numerical rank revealing

4 Eigenvalues and singular values

5 Jacobi method

6 Accurate PSVD and applications



. . . . . . . . . .

・ロト ・雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

3

#### Zlatko Drmač

#### Introduction

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

# Concluding remarks

- Ill-conditioning can be artificial, an artifact of a particular algorithm, and not the underlying problem. In many cases accurate computation is possible, despite high classical condition numbers.
- Backward stability is often used to justify the result. Structured backward error can yield better results.
- Using only orthogonal transformations does not automatically guarantee good results.
- Users from applied sciences and engineering often not interested in math details, just solutions, software.
   Need robust reliable and efficient numerical software. Is trading accuracy for speed avoidable?
- Challenging problems for numerical linear algebra. Higher standards for new algorithms.