Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Numerical algorithms in control

Zlatko Drmač

University of Zagreb Department of Mathematics

Trogir 2011

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

- Introduction
- 2 Scaling: examples
- 3 Numerical rank revealing
- 4 Eigenvalues and singular values
- 5 Jacobi method
- 6 Accurate PSVD and applications
- 7 Concluding remarks

Outline

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q (~

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems Machine number Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

3 Numerical rank revealing

- 4 Eigenvalues and singular values
- 5 Jacobi method

6 Accurate PSVD and applications

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems

Machine numbe Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

LTI systems, control, tasks

Space station, CD player, vehicle suspension system, ...

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{E}\dot{x}(t) &= \mathsf{A}x(t) + \mathsf{B}u(t), \quad \mathsf{E}, \mathsf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \ \mathsf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} \\ y(t) &= \mathsf{C}x(t) + \mathsf{D}u(t), \quad \mathsf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}, \ \mathsf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}. \end{aligned}$$
(1

Example: $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu + Gr$ with $x \in \mathbb{R}^6$,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{k_p}{m_p} - \frac{c_p}{m_p} & \frac{k_p}{m_p} & \frac{c_p}{m_p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{k_p}{m_s} & \frac{c_p}{m_s} & -\frac{k_s + k_p}{m_s} & -\frac{c_s + c_p}{m_s} & \frac{k_s}{m_s} & \frac{c_s}{m_s} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{k_s}{m_{us}} & \frac{c_s}{m_{us}} & -\frac{k_s + k_t}{m_{us}} - \frac{c_s}{m_{us}} \end{pmatrix}, \ B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $G = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, k_t/m_{us}); r(t) = road; u(t) = actuator force; x_1(t) = passenger's vertical displacement. Determine <math>u(t)$ (e.g. u(t) = -Kx(t)) to ensure smooth riding on a rough road.

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems

Machine number Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Control, introduction, tasks

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), \ x(0) = 0;$$

 $y(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t).$ (2)

Apply Laplace transform to get

$$\hat{y}(s) = \underbrace{(C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D)}_{G(s) \equiv \text{transfer function}} \hat{u}(s)$$

Of interest is the input—output behavior $\hat{y}(s) = G(s)\hat{u}(s)$. In large scale/real time applications: try to reproduce nearly the same behavior with a system of smaller dimension $r \ll n$. Take D = 0.

$$\frac{\dot{x}_{r}(t) = A_{r}x_{r}(t) + B_{r}u(t)}{y_{r}(t) = C_{r}x_{r}(t)}$$

$$G_{r}(s) = C_{r}(sI - A_{r})^{-1}B_{r}$$

 $\hat{y}(s) - \hat{y}_r(s) = (G(s) - G_r(s))\hat{u}(s)$ should be small in some norm for a class of inputs $u(\cdot)$.

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems

Machine numbe Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

NLA tasks in control Consider *N* dimensional LTI SISO (more general, XIXO)

$$\dot{x}(t) = \mathbf{A}x(t) + bu(t) \quad \bowtie \mathbf{G}(s) = c(sI - A)^{-1}b.$$

$$y(t) = cx(t)$$

For $r < \mathbf{\Pi}$ and r-dimensional $\mathcal{V}_r = \mathcal{R}(V_r)$, $\mathcal{W}_r = \mathcal{R}(W_r)$ with $\mathcal{V}_r \cap \mathcal{W}^{\perp} = \{0\}$ ($\Leftrightarrow \det(W_r^T V_r) \neq 0$) look for

 $\mathcal{V}_r \ni v(t) = V_r x_r(t)$ such that $\dot{v}(t) - Av(t) - bu(t) \perp \mathcal{W}_r$.

The reduced output is $y_r(t) = cv(t)$. In the bases V_r , W_r ,

$$W_r^T(V_r \dot{x}_r(t) - AV_r x_r(t) - bu(t)) = 0, \text{ i.e.} \dot{x}_r(t) = A_r x_r(t) + b_r u(t) y_r(t) = c_r x_r(t) \qquad \bowtie G_r(s) = c_r(sI - A_r)^{-1} b_r$$

$$A_{r} = (W_{r}^{T}V_{r})^{-1}W_{r}^{T}A_{V_{r}}, b_{r} = (W_{r}^{T}V_{r})^{-1}W_{r}^{T}b_{r} c_{r} = cV_{r}.$$

Zlatko Drmač

Numerical tasks

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のQで

- $\|\mathbf{G}\|_{\mathcal{H}_2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{i}\omega)|^2 d\omega};$
- min $\|G G_r\|_{\mathcal{H}_2}$, G_r stable of order r
- Let G_r be a local minimizer with simple poles at $\tilde{\lambda}_i$, i = 1, ..., r. Then at $\sigma_i = -\tilde{\lambda}_i$: $G_r(\sigma_i) = G(\sigma_i)$, $G'_r(\sigma_i) = G'(\sigma_i)$, i = 1, ..., r.
- Hermite interpolation by $\mathcal{V}_r = Span((\sigma_i I A)^{-1}b)_{i=1}^r$, $\mathcal{W}_r = Span((\sigma_i I - A^T)^{-1}c^T)_{i=1}^r$.
- Solving linear systems for V_r and W_r. Reduce to generalized upper Hessenberg form

$$\mathbf{Q}^{T} \boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \\ \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{Q}^{T} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \\ \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \\ \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{Q}^{T} \boldsymbol{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and work on $(E, A, b, c) \equiv (Q^T E Z, Q^T A Z, Q^T b, c Z)$ is efficient. Simpler if E = I, Z = Q.

Problems

Machine number Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems

Machine number Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

Numerical tasks

Generate many interesting and challenging problems.

- Simple questions, difficult answers: Compute the transfer function $G(\zeta) = C(\zeta E A)^{-1}B$ for many complex values of ζ . Here *n* can be large.
- By changing the state space coordinates, $x(t) = T\hat{x}(t)$, the new representation is, e.g. for E = I, given with $(\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D}) = (T^{-1}AT, T^{-1}B, CT, D)$. Find *T* such that the new representation reveals structural properties of the system. Various canonical forms.
- Solve Lyapunov equation AH + HA^T + BB^T = 0. Solve Riccati eqn: XA + A^TX + Q - XSX = 0. Many other types of matrix equations.
- Find invariant subspace that corresponds to specified eigenvalues.

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems

- Machine number Examples Consequences Goals
- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

... algorithms, software

- Solve eigenvalue and singular value problems.
- Given A with eigenvalues λ₁,..., λ_n and B, find K such that A BK has prescribed eigenvalues α₁,..., α_n.
- Pressure from applications to deliver accurate solutions quickly. Computing environments changing rapidly.
- Users from applied sciences and engineering usually not interested in math details, just solutions, software.
- Pure mathematicians not interested because the problems are "trivial", non–fundamental or just too messy.
- And we have high performance computers. So, why is this difficult?

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Yes, have computer, but .. Machine (floating–point) numbers $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{Q}.$

$$f = \pm m \cdot 2^{e}, \ e = -126: 127, \ m = 1.z_{1} \dots z_{23}.$$

 $\overline{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{F} \bigcup \{ + \texttt{Infinity}, -\texttt{Infinity}, \texttt{NaN} \}$

Machine arithmetic \oplus , \ominus , \odot , \oslash .

• $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ finite, 2³² (single), 2⁶⁴ (double); 0.1 $\notin \mathbb{F}$;

•
$$a \oplus b \equiv \mathsf{FL}(a+b) = (a+b)(1+\epsilon_{a,b}),$$

 $|\epsilon_{a,b}| \leq u \equiv eps = round-off \approx 10^{-8}.$

- In general, $(a \oplus b) \oplus c \neq a \oplus (b \oplus c)$, $(a \odot b) \odot c \neq a \odot (b \odot c)$; $x \oplus y \oplus z =??$
- $1 \oplus 10^{-9} = 1$; $x = y \not\Leftrightarrow x y = 0$; $10^{-30} \odot 10^{-30} = 0$;
- Finite speed, finite memory.
- Faster ⇒ more mess per second.

Zlatko Drmač

Machine numbers

Goals

Example using MATLAB, $\texttt{eps}\approx 2.2\cdot 10^{-16}$

11

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times 2}, \ \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ c & b \end{pmatrix} = computed(X^T X),$$

Let
$$x = 5 \cdot 10^{153} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, y = 10 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}. (\cos \angle (x, y) = \frac{3}{\sqrt{10}}).$$

Test the orthogonality of x and y,

11

$$\cos \angle (x,y) \equiv rac{c}{\sqrt{ab}} \leq \epsilon$$

$$(c / sqrt(a*b) <= eps) = 1,$$

$$((c / sqrt(a)) / sqrt(b) <= eps) = 0,$$

$$(c <= sqrt(a*b) * eps) = 1,$$

$$(c \le sqrt(a) * sqrt(b) * eps) = 0.$$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Example using MATLAB, $\texttt{eps} \approx 2.2 \cdot 10^{-16}$

Let
$$x = 5 \cdot 10^{-153} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $y = 10^{-16} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$

Then

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆豆▶ ◆豆▶ □豆 − 釣�?

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Another example $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \xi \\ 0 & -1 & \xi \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \xi = 10/\text{eps. } \xi \approx 4.5\text{e+016}$

Givens rotation kills A_{13} : $\tilde{A}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \upsilon \\ 0 & \beta & \beta \\ 0 & \beta & \beta \end{pmatrix};$

 $\alpha\approx\sqrt{2},$ $\beta=$ 3.184525836262886e+016.

	svd(A)	$svd(A^T)$
σ_1	6.369051672525773e+16	6.369051672525772e+16
σ_2	5.747279316501105e+00	3.004066501831585e+00
σ_3	9.842664568695829e-01	4.220776043599739e-01

$$\tilde{A}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta & \beta \\ 0 & \beta & \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{A}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & \gamma \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$= BO_{\text{vis}}(B) < 2$$

$$A=BD,\,\kappa_2(B)<2.$$

・ロト・「聞・・「問・・「問・・」 しゃくの

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

A 2 \times 2 example

Take in MATLAB

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0e250 & 0\\ 0 & 1.0e-201 \end{pmatrix},$$

d = diag(A), $\sigma = \text{svd}(A)$. A is (bi)diagonal, and its singular values are on the diagonal. However,

$$d = \operatorname{diag}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 9.9999999999999999 + 249 \\ 1.000000000000 - 201 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\sigma = \operatorname{svd}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 9.99999999999999 + 249 \\ 1.000000000 \underbrace{16167}_{e} - 201 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\lambda = \operatorname{eig}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 9.99999999999999 + 249 \\ 1.00000000000 - 201 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

The 2 \times 2 example

LAPACK's driver routine xGESVD computes $\alpha = \max_{i,j} |A_{ij}|$ and scales the input matrix A with $(1/\alpha)\sqrt{\nu}/\varepsilon$ (if $\alpha < \sqrt{\nu}/\varepsilon$) or with $(1/\alpha)\varepsilon\sqrt{\omega}$ (if $\alpha > \varepsilon\sqrt{\omega}$). Here ε , ν and ω denote the round–off unit, underflow and overflow thresholds, respectively.

Let $\alpha = \max_{i,j} |A_{ij}|, \varepsilon = eps/2, \omega = realmax, \nu = realmin,$ $s = \varepsilon \sqrt{\omega} / \alpha$, and scale A with s. The singular values of sA are on its diagonal; scaling the diagonal of sA with 1/schanges the (2,2) entry precisely to 1.00000000016167e-201. Five digits in the second singular value of a 2×2 diagonal matrix are lost due to scaling $\sigma = (1/s) * (s * d)$. (In MATLAB, $\omega \approx 1.79 \cdot 10^{308}$, $\nu \approx 2.22 \cdot 10^{-308}$.) The problem is not removed if s is changed to the closest integer power of two. Note that this scaling is designed to avoid overflow in the implicit use of $A^T A$.

AS NLA in CONTROL	•	•••••	• • • •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
Zlatko Drmač											
Introduction Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals	•	•••••	•••	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	
Scaling: examples				7							
Numerical rank revealing	•	•••••	•••	• \•	$\left \cdot \right $	• (•	•	•	•	
Eigenvalues and singular values	•	•••••			•		*)•	•	•	
Jacobi method											
Accurate PSVD and applications	•	•••••			•	•	•	•	•	•	
Concluding remarks	•	•••••			•	•		\.	•	•	
	i						i	i			
	•	•••••		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	(0,0)							< □ >	< ∂ > < ≣	× E × E	500

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems Machine number Examples Consequences

Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Early loss of definiteness

The stiffness matrix of a mass spring system with 3 masses $\boxtimes \to \blacksquare \to \blacksquare$ with spring constants $k_1 = k_3 = 1$, $k_2 = \varepsilon/2$

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 + k_2 & -k_2 & 0 \\ -k_2 & k_2 + k_3 & -k_3 \\ 0 & -k_3 & k_3 \end{pmatrix}, \ \lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{K}) \approx \varepsilon/4.$$

The true and the computed assembled matrix are

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} & -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} & 0\\ -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} & 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} & -1\\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\mathcal{K}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} & 0\\ -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} & 1 & -1\\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 \tilde{K} is component–wise relative perturbation of K with $\max_{i,j} \frac{|\tilde{K}_{ij} - K_{ij}|}{|K_{ij}|} = \frac{\varepsilon}{(2 + \varepsilon)} < \varepsilon/2.$ \tilde{K} is indefinite with $\lambda_{\min}(\tilde{K}) \approx -\varepsilon^2/8$. Too late for $\lambda_{\min}(K)$. :(

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems Machine number Examples

Consequences Goals

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

Consequences

Almost never have exactly given data. Have $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ as approximation of an ideal, not accessible A_0 , $A = A_0 + E$. Do not have E, but know that $||E||/||A|| \approx f(m, n)\mathbf{u}$ is small. $A \in \mathcal{B} = \{A_0 + E, ||E|| \le \varepsilon\}$ and any $X \in \mathcal{B}$ is just as good as A.

- Full rank matrices dense in M_{m×n}. What is then the rank of A₀ = A − E? Rank of A? Any technique will fail over F.
- Chance to compute zero exactly is exactly zero.
- Matrices with simple eigenvalues dense in M_{n×n}.
 Jordan form? Diagonalizability?
- Is A +definite? Invertible? Orthogonal? Stable (Re(λ(A) < 0))? A⁻¹ =? A[†] =?
- In 1950 Goldstine and von Neuman concluded that solving linear systems with n > 15 with guaranteed accuracy would be nearly impossible!

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems Machine numbe Examples

Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Error? Distance to what?!?



Backward stability: solve exactly a problem close to *X* Not preserved under composition of mappings

 $\begin{array}{l} \longrightarrow \|\delta X\| \leq \epsilon \|X\|, \quad \|\delta X(:,i)\| \leq \epsilon \|X(:,i)\| \\ \longrightarrow |\delta X_{ij}| \leq \epsilon |X_{ij}|, \quad |\delta X_{ij}| \leq \epsilon \sqrt{|X_{ii}X_{jj}|} \\ \longrightarrow X + \delta X \text{ same structure as } X \\ Perturbation theory: } \|\tilde{Y} - Y\| \leq K \cdot \|\delta X\| \\ \text{Von Neumann, Turing, Givens, Wilkinson} \end{array}$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples

Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks A

III-conditioned = close to iII-posed

Relative condition number

$$\kappa(\mathcal{F}, X) = \limsup_{\Delta X \to 0} \frac{\frac{\|\mathcal{F}(X + \Delta X) - \mathcal{F}(X)\|}{\|\mathcal{F}(X)\|}}{\|\Delta X\| / \|X\|} = \frac{\|D\mathcal{F}(X)\| \|X\|}{\|\mathcal{F}(X)\|}$$

For $A \mapsto A^{-1}$, $\kappa(A) = ||A|| \cdot ||A^{-1}||$, and the bad set is the variety of singular matrices.

$$\frac{\operatorname{distance}(A, bad)}{\|A\|} = \frac{1}{\kappa(A)}, \ bad = \operatorname{det}^{-1}(\{0\}).$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{ij} \mapsto \mathbf{A}_{ij} + \epsilon |\mathbf{A}_{ij}|$$

 $\inf\{|\epsilon| : \det(\mathbf{A} + \epsilon \mathbf{E}) = \mathbf{0}\} = \frac{1}{\rho_0(\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{E})}$

Probability of being too close to bad set. Algebraic and geometric properties of bad sets.

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Problems Machine numbers Examples

Consequences Goals

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Eigenvalue assignment $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ given. Find *K* such that the spectrum of $A + BK^T$ is $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\}$. Try many *B*'s and methods to hit \odot :



Placing plenty of poles is pretty preposterous (Chunyang, Laub, Mehrmann)

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

- Problems Machine numbers Examples Consequences Goals
- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

Goals of this lecture

We develop sharp high precision numerical tools for linear algebra problems in control theory. In this lecture, we illustrate some aspects of the development of such tools.

- We show how things can go wrong, even in computing some elementary matrix factorization in order to determine the matrix numerical rank. We stress the necessity of strict mathematical approach to numerical software development.
- The symmetric eigenvalue and the singular value problems are known to be well–conditioned. We show that numerical algorithms do not always deliver optimal accuracy. Using only orthogonal transformations in the diagonalization process does not guarantee accurate results. Perturbation theory important ingredient.
- Higher standard solutions. Accurate NLA methods make other computational tasks numerically feasible.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト - ヨ

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

- Physical unit changes Integral equations and least squares A symmetric 3 ×
- example
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

Introduction

2 Scaling: examples

Physical unit changes Integral equations and least squares A symmetric 3×3 example

3 Numerical rank revealing

- Eigenvalues and singular values
- 5 Jacobi method
- 6 Accurate PSVD and applications
 - Concluding remarks

.

・ロット (雪) (日) (日)

Zlatko Drmač

1m = 100cm = 1000mm

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A\mathbf{x}(t) + B\mathbf{u}(t)$$
:

Scaling: examples

Physical unit changes

- Integral equations and least squares A symmetric 3 \times 3
- example
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_{1} \\ \dot{x}_{2} \\ \dot{x}_{3} \\ \dot{x}_{4} \\ \dot{x}_{5} \\ \dot{x}_{6} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{k_{p}}{m_{p}} -\frac{c_{p}}{m_{p}} & \frac{k_{p}}{m_{p}} & \frac{c_{p}}{m_{p}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{k_{p}}{m_{s}} & \frac{c_{p}}{m_{s}} & -\frac{k_{s}+k_{p}}{m_{s}} & -\frac{c_{s}+c_{p}}{m_{s}} & \frac{k_{s}}{m_{s}} & \frac{c_{s}}{m_{s}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{k_{s}}{m_{us}} & \frac{c_{s}}{m_{us}} & -\frac{k_{s}+k_{t}}{m_{us}} - \frac{c_{s}}{m_{us}} \end{pmatrix} + Bu$$

- x₁ displacement in meters (m); x₂ speed (m/s)
- *m_p*, *m_s*, *m_{us}* mass (kg)
- k_p , k_s , k_t spring stiffness (N/m)
- c_s, c_p damping coefficient (N s/m)
- $A_{23} = \frac{11000}{79} [N/m/kg], A_{24} = \frac{800}{79} [Ns/m/kg], \dots$
- In different units, $x(t) = D\hat{x}(t)$, D diagonal scaling.
- How to interpret $||x||_2$, $||A||_F$, $||\delta A||_F$? Big? Small?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ● ●

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Physical unit changes

Integral equations and least squares

A symmetric 3 $\,\times\,$ 3 example

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Diagonalizing the Grammians

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), x(0) = x_0$$

 $y(t) = Cx(t)$

Grammians $H = L_H L_H^T$, $M = L_M L_M^T$ via Lyapunov equations:

$$AH + HA^T = -BB^T$$
, $A^TM + MA = -C^TC$.

Hankel SV, $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i(HM)}$, $HM \mapsto T^{-1}HMT = \Sigma^2$. Different scaling (change of units, *x* may contain quantities of different physical nature) $x(t) = D\hat{x}(t)$; $A \mapsto D^{-1}AD$, $B \mapsto D^{-1}B$, $C \mapsto CD$;

 $H \mapsto \hat{H} = D^{-1}HD^{-T}, \ M \mapsto \hat{M} = D^{T}MD$

Change of units (scaling) changes classical condition numbers $\kappa_2(H)$, $\kappa_2(M)$ thus making an algorithm numerically inaccurate/unstable, while the underlying problem is the same. Is this acceptable?!?

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Physical unit changes

Integral equations and least squares

A symmetric 3 $\,\times\,$ 3 example

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Integral equation

Consider numerical solution of the integral equation

$$\mathsf{y}(\xi) = \int_a^b \mathsf{K}(\xi,\zeta) \mathsf{x}(\zeta) d\zeta$$

Here y denotes measured unknown function x distorted by the instrument with known kernel K(\cdot , \cdot). If the equation is discretized at $\xi_1 < \cdots < \xi_m$, and the integral is computed using quadrature rule with the nodes $\zeta_1 < \cdots < \zeta_n$ and weights d_1, \ldots, d_n , then

 $\mathbf{y}(\xi_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_j \mathbf{K}(\xi_i, \zeta_j) \mathbf{x}(\zeta_j) + \mathbf{e}_i, \ \mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{error}, \ i = 1, \dots, m.$

Set $y = (y(\xi_i))_{i=1}^m$, $K = (K(\xi_i, \zeta_j)) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $D = \text{diag}(d_i)_{i=1}^n$. An approximation $x = (x_j)_{j=1}^n$ of $(x(\zeta_j))_{j=1}^n$ is obtained by solving the linear regression problem

$$y = KDx + e, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, e = (e_i)_{i=1}^m.$$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Physical unit changes

Integral equations and least squares

A symmetric 3 $\,\times\,$ 3 example

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

..
$$\mathbf{y}(\xi) = \int_a^b \mathbf{K}(\xi,\zeta) \mathbf{x}(\zeta) d\zeta$$

$$y = \mathcal{K}\mathcal{D}x + \mathbf{e}, \ x \in \mathbf{R}^n, \ \mathbf{e} = (\mathbf{e}_i)_{i=1}^m,$$

with vector *e* dominated by statistically independent measurement errors from $\mathcal{N}(0, S^2)$, where positive definite $S = \operatorname{diag}(s_i)_{i=1}^n$ carries standard deviations of the e_i 's. A good estimate of *S* is usually available. Wanted is an estimate \tilde{x} of *x*. To normalize the error variances, the model is scaled with S^{-1} to get

$$b = Ax + e', \ b = S^{-1}y, \ A = S^{-1}KD, \ e' = S^{-1}e.$$

Hence, we solve $||b - Ax||_2 \longrightarrow \min$ So, what does it mean if we have $A + \delta A$ with backward error (or initial uncertainty) δA with $||\delta A||_F \ll ||A||_F$? Compare with $A + \delta A = S^{-1}(K + \delta K)D$ with $||\delta K||_F \ll ||K||_F$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Physical unit changes Integral equations and least squares

A symmetric 3 $\,\times\,$ 3 example

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Is *H* positive definite?

What is the spectrum of *H*?

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 10^{40} & 10^{29} & 10^{19} \\ 10^{29} & 10^{20} & 10^{9} \\ 10^{19} & 10^{9} & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

use MATLAB, eps $\approx 2.22 \cdot 10^{-16}$
 $eig(H) = -8.100009764062724e + 019 \\ -3.966787845610502e + 023$
L=chol(H)' (H = LL^T)
 $L = \begin{pmatrix} 1.000000e + 20 & 0 & 0 \\ 9.9999999e + 8 & 9.9498743e + 9 & 0 \\ 9.9999999e - 2 & 9.0453403e - 2 & 9.9086738e - 1 \end{pmatrix}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Physical unit changes Integral equations

A symmetric 3 \times 3 example

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

What is the spectrum of *H* now?

	eig(<i>H</i>)	$eig(P^THP), P \simeq (2,1,3)$
λ_1	1.000000000000000000e+40	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000
λ_2	-8.100009764062724e+19	9.900000000000000000e+19
λ_3	-3.966787845610502e+23	9.818181818181818e-01
	1./eig(inv(H))	eig(inv(inv(H)))
λ_1	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.000000000000000e+40
λ_2	9.900000000000000000e+19	9.90000000000000000e+19
λ_3	9.818181818181817e-01	9.818181818181817e-01
	$eig(H + E_1)$	$eig(H+E_2)$
λ_1	1.000000000000000000e+40	1.000000000000000000000000000000000000
λ_2	-8.100009764062724e+19	1.208844819952007e+24
λ_3	-3.966787845610502e+23	9.899993299416013e-01

 $E_{1}: H_{22} = 10^{20} \rightarrow -10^{20}, E_{2}: H_{13}, H_{31} \rightarrow H_{13} * (1 + eps),$ eps $\approx 2.22 \cdot 10^{-16};$ All numbers Correct!?

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Examples Consequences SLICOT Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Introduction

Scaling: examples

3 Numerical rank revealing Introduction Examples

Consequences SLICOT Analysis

4 Eigenvalues and singular values

5 Jacobi method

6 Accurate PSVD and applications

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Introduction Examples Consequences SLICOT Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, determine whether for some small δA , the matrix $A + \delta A$ is of rank $\rho < \operatorname{rank}(A)$.

Case study

- needed and useful if A is close to matrices of lower rank (i.e. ill-conditioned)
- in the case of ill-conditioning, one does not expect much and any bad result is attributed to ill-conditioning;
- condition number can be ill-conditioned
- numerical instability in a software implementation of a basic numerical linear algebra decomposition (QR factorization with column pivoting) for almost 40 years hidden in all major numerical software packages

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Introduction

Examples Consequence SLICOT

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Eckart–Young–Mirsky–Schmidt

A $m \times n$ real of rank r

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{T}} = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \sigma_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{\mathsf{T}}$$

$$\sigma_1 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_r > \mathbf{0} = \sigma_{r+1} = \cdots = \sigma_{\min(m,n)}$$

Let
$$\ell < r$$
 and $A_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^\ell \sigma_i u_i v_i^T$ Then

$$\min_{rank(X) \le \ell} ||A - X||_{F} = ||A - A_{\ell}||_{F}$$
$$\min_{rank(X) \le \ell} ||A - X||_{2} = ||A - A_{\ell}||_{2}$$
$$||A - A_{\ell}||_{F} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=\ell+1}^{r} \sigma_{i}^{2}}$$
$$||A - A_{\ell}||_{2} = \sigma_{\ell+1}$$

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆・ のへ(?)

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Introduction

Examples Consequences SLICOT

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

QRCP with Businger–Golub pivoting



The structure (3), (4) may not be rank revealing but it must be guaranteed by the software (e.g. LAPACK, Matlab)

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Introduction Examples

SLICOT Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

QRCP as preconditioner

Let
$$AP = Q\begin{pmatrix} R\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
; $A_c = A \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\|A(:,1)\|_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{\|A(:,n)\|_2})$;
 $R_c = R \cdot \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\|R(:,1)\|_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{\|R(:,n)\|_2}) = \begin{pmatrix} \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \\ 0 \downarrow \downarrow \\ 0 \mid 0 \downarrow \end{pmatrix}$;
 $R_r = \operatorname{diag}(\frac{1}{\|R(1,:)\|_2}, \dots, \frac{1}{\|R(n,:)\|_2}) \cdot R = \begin{pmatrix} \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \\ 0 \downarrow \downarrow \\ 0 \mid 0 \downarrow \end{pmatrix}$.
Proposition:

Let AP = QR, where $|R_{ii}| \ge \sqrt{\sum_{k=i}^{j} |R_{kj}|^2}$, $1 \le i \le j \le n$. Then $|| |R_r^{-1}| ||_2 \le \sqrt{n} || |R_c^{-1}| ||_2$, $\kappa_2(R_r) \le n^{3/2} \kappa_2(A_c)$. Moreover, $||R_r^{-1}||_2$ is bounded by $O(2^n)$, independent of A. With exception of rare pathological cases, $||R_r^{-1}||_2$ is below O(n) for any A. RR^* is more diagonal than R^*R . Example:

Let A = Hilbert(100). $\kappa_2(A) > 10^{150} \gg \text{cond}(A) \approx 3.6e19$ $\kappa_2(A_c) = \kappa_2(R_c) > 10^{19}$, $\kappa_2(R_r) \approx 48.31$. Repeat with $A \leftarrow R^T$, P = I, to get new $\kappa_2(R_r) \approx 3.22$.

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Examples

Consequence SLICOT Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Examples of failure (Matlab)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ・三 の々ぐ

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Examples

Consequent SLICOT Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Examples of failure (Matlab)



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 _ のへで
Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealin Introduction

Examples

Consequences SLICOT

Analysis Figenval

and singula

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks



Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Introduction Examples

Consequences SLICOT Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Consequences

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q (~

Any routine based on xQRDC (LINPACK) or xGEQPF, xGEQP3 (LAPACK) can catastrophically fail.

- xGEQPX (TOMS # 782, rank revealing QRF)
- xGELSX and xGELSY in LAPACK ($||Ax b||_2 \rightarrow \min$)
- xGGSVP in LAPACK (GSVD of (A, B))

$$U^T A Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & A_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V^T B Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & B_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

• ... and many others ... long list. Need a new xGEQP3.

Resolved by Drmač and Bujanović (ACM TOMS, 2008) and included in LAPACK. In control, included in SLICOT in 2010.

SLICOT

AS NLA in CONTROL

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealin Introduction Examples Consequences SLICOT

Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks The SLICOT (Subroutine Library In COntrol Theory)

- is used as computational layer in sophisticated CACSD packages such as EASY5 (since 2002. MSC.Software, initially developed in the Boeing Company), Matlab (The MathWorks) and Scilab (INRIA).
- Since its initial release, SLICOT has been growing at an impressive rate, from 90 user–callable subroutines in 1997., 200 subroutines in 2004., 470 subroutines in 2009., ...
- Efficiency an reliability based on BLAS, LAPACK and state of the art numerical linear algebra

The problem illustrated in the previous examples of QRCP failure affects SLICOT and thus many other control theory libraries.

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealin Introduction Examples Consequences SLICOT

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{E}\dot{x} &= \mathsf{A}x + \mathsf{B}u\\ y &= \mathsf{C}x + \mathsf{D}u. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$

SLICOT

- Strategically placed "WRITE(*,*) variable" statements in the affected subroutines can completely change the computed properties of (5).
- Substantial variations of the output can also be caused by changing the compiler and optimizer options.
- This is undesired behavior, even if the computation is backward stable, and even if it is doomed to fail, due to ill-conditioning.

The problem occurs only at certain distance to singularity, and the rank revealing task itself is usually performed if the matrix is close to singularity. Since many things can happen close to singularity, any ill-behavior is usually attributed to ill-conditioning and the true cause remains inconspicuous.

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealin Introduction Examples Consequences

Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

SLICOT Example: MB03OY



Figure: Left: The matrix *R* computed by MB03OY, shown by meshz(log10(abs(R))). The computed rank is 49. Right: The matrix *R* computed with MB03OY, with "WRITE(*,*) TEMP2" statement added after the line 339 in MB03OY.f. The computed rank is 82.

・ロ と く 聞 と く 回 と く 回 と

3

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealin Introduction Examples Consequences SLICOT

Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks



Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing Introduction Examples Consequences

Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks



Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

- Numerica rank revea Introduction Examples Consequence SLICOT
- Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

60 out of 470 affected!



Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing Introduction Examples Consequences SLICOT Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Implementation: L(IN+A)PACK, 1970s, 1990s, ...



Zlatko Drmač

Examples

Analysis

L(IN+A)PACK update

```
DO 30 J = I+1. N
            IF (WORK(J).NE.ZERO) THEN
                TEMP = ONE - ( ABS( A( I, J ) ) / WORK( J ) )**2
                TEMP = MAX( TEMP, ZERO )
                TEMP2 = ONE + 0.05*TEMP*( WORK( J ) / WORK( N+J ) )**2
                WRITE(*.*) TEMP2
                IF( TEMP2.EQ.ONE ) THEN
                   IF( M-I.GT.0 ) THEN
                     WORK( J ) = SNRM2( M-I, A( I+1, J ), 1 )
                     WORK(N+J) = WORK(J)
                  ELSE
                     WORK(J) = ZERO
                     WORK(N+J) = ZERO
                  END IF
                FLSF
                  WORK(J) = WORK(J)*SQRT(TEMP)
                END IF
            END IF
30
         CONTINUE
```

g77 -c -O -ffloat-store

Critical part in the column norm update. (For the full source see http://www.netlib.org/lapack/single/sgeqpf.f)

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing Introduction Examples Consequences SLICOT Analysis
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

NEW update



$$\mathbf{H}_{k}\mathbf{z}_{k}^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} R_{kk} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{H}_{k}\mathbf{z}_{j}^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{j}^{(k+1)} \\ \mathbf{z}_{j}^{(k+1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \omega_{j}^{(k)} = \|\mathbf{z}_{j}^{(k)}\| \quad (7)$$

$$\|\mathbf{a}_{j}^{(k)}\| = \alpha_{j}^{(k)} = \alpha_{j}^{(0)}; \ \xi_{j}^{(k+1)} = \sqrt{(\xi_{j}^{(k)})^{2} + (\beta_{j}^{(k+1)})^{2}} \\ \|\mathbf{z}_{j}^{(k+1)}\| \equiv \omega_{j}^{(k+1)} = \sqrt{(\alpha_{j}^{(0)})^{2} - (\xi_{j}^{(k+1)})^{2}}$$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

- Numerical rank revealin Introduction Examples Consequences SLICOT
- Analysis

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

New update - conclusion

- Provably delivers Businger–Golub structured *R* (up to roundoff)
- Row scaled \tilde{R}_r well conditioned. $\begin{pmatrix} \overrightarrow{0} & \overrightarrow{-} & \overrightarrow{-} \\ 0 & 0 & \overrightarrow{-} \\ 0 & 0 & \overrightarrow{-} \end{pmatrix}$
- Same efficiency as original routines
- Makes many other solvers more robust and can prevent catastrophes in mission critical applications

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ● ●

• Included in LAPACK, SLICOT

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction Backward stal

Perturbations of the spectrum

4

in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Introduction

Scaling: examples

3 Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values Introduction Backward stability Perturbations of the spectrum Positive definiteness in floating point

5 Jacobi method

6 Accurate PSVD and applications

.

・ロト ・雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

-

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction

Backward stability Perturbations of the spectrum Positive definiteness in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

$H - \lambda I$, $HM - \lambda I$

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = A\mathbf{x}(t) + B\mathbf{u}(t), \ \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0$$

$$\mathbf{y}(t) = C\mathbf{x}(t)$$

Grammians $H = L_H L_H^T$, $M = L_M L_M^T$ via Lyapunov equations:

$$H = \int_0^\infty e^{tA} B B^T e^{tA^T} dt, \quad M = \int_0^\infty e^{tA^T} C^T C e^{tA} dt$$

 $AH + HA^T = -BB^T$, $A^TM + MA = -C^TC$.

Hankel singular values, $\sigma_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i(HM)}$. Need spectral decomposition of the product *HM* of positive definite matrices, $HM \mapsto T^{-1}HMT = \Sigma^2$. New state coo's $x(t) = T\hat{x}(t); A \mapsto T^{-1}AT, B \mapsto T^{-1}B, C \mapsto CT;$

$$H\mapsto \hat{H}=T^{-1}HT^{-T}=\Sigma, \ \ M\mapsto \hat{M}=T^TMT=\Sigma$$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction

Backward stability Perturbations of the spectrum Positive definiteness in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Backward stability: eig() $H = H^T$, $n \times n$ symmetric.

$$Hu_i = \lambda_i u_i, \quad H = U \wedge U^T, \quad \Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n$$

Symm. EigenValue Problem perfect $\star \star \star$: * eigenvalues real, eigenvectors orthogonal * algorithms use orthogonal transformations * Weyl: If $H \rightsquigarrow H + \delta H$, then $\lambda \rightsquigarrow \lambda + \delta \lambda$, with

$$\max_{\lambda} |\delta\lambda| \le \|\delta H\|$$
$$\cdots U_{k}^{T} \cdots (U_{2}^{T} (U_{1}^{T} H \underbrace{U_{1}) U_{2} }_{U}) \cdots \underbrace{U_{k} \cdots}_{U} \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

Computed (finite prec., $O(n^3)$) $\tilde{U} \approx U$, $\tilde{\Lambda} \approx \Lambda$. Backward stability:

$$\tilde{U}^{T}(H+\delta H)\tilde{U}\approx\tilde{\Lambda}, \quad \frac{\|\delta H\|}{\|H\|}\leq\epsilon\approx10^{-16}$$
 small.

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction

Backward stability

Perturbations of the spectrum

Positive definitenes in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks



Want better accuracy for better inputs.

・ロト ・雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

-

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction

Backward stability

Perturbations of the spectrum

Positive definiteness in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Error in the eigenvalues

Let $H = LL^T \succ 0$ and $\tilde{L}\tilde{L}^T = H + \delta H \succ 0$, $|\delta H_{ij}| \le \eta_C \sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}$. Compare the eigenvalues of H and $\tilde{H} = H + \delta H = \tilde{L}\tilde{L}^T$:

- $H = LL^T$ is similar to $L^T L$, $H \sim L^T L$.
- Let $Y = \sqrt{I + L^{-1}\delta H L^{-T}}$. Then

$$H + \delta H = L(I + L^{-1}\delta H L^{-T})L^{T} = LYY^{T}L^{T} \sim Y^{T}L^{T}LY.$$

Compare $\lambda_i(L^T L) = \lambda_i(H)$ and $\lambda_i(Y^T L^T L Y) = \lambda_i(H + \delta H)$.

- Ostrowski: $\tilde{M} = Y^T M Y$, then, for all i, $\lambda_i(\tilde{M}) = \lambda_i(M)\xi_i$, $\lambda_{\min}(Y^T Y) \le \xi_i \le \lambda_{\max}(Y^T Y)$. Here $Y^T Y = I + L^{-1} \delta H L^{-T}$.
- Hence $|\lambda_i(H) \lambda_i(\tilde{H})| \le \lambda_i(H) ||L^{-1} \delta H L^{-T}||_2$,

$$\begin{split} \|L^{-1}\delta HL^{-T}\|_{2} &= \|L^{-1}DD^{-1}\delta HD^{-1}DL^{-T}\|_{2} = \|L^{-1}D(\delta H_{s})DL^{-T}\|_{2} \\ &\leq \|L^{-1}D\|_{2}^{2}\|\delta H_{s}\|_{2} = \|DL^{-T}L^{-1}D\|_{2}\|\delta H_{s}\|_{2} \\ &= \|(D^{-1}HD^{-1})^{-1}\|_{2}\|\delta H_{s}\|_{2} = \|H_{s}^{-1}\|_{2}\|\delta H_{s}\|_{2} \end{split}$$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction

Backward stability

Perturbations of the spectrum

Positive definiteness in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Error in the eigenvalues Since $\delta H_{s} = (\delta H_{ij} / \sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}})$

$$\max_{i} \left| \frac{\delta \lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}} \right| \leq \|\boldsymbol{H}_{s}^{-1}\|_{2} \underbrace{\left\| \left[\frac{\delta \boldsymbol{H}_{ij}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{H}_{ii} \boldsymbol{H}_{jj}}} \right] \right\|_{2}}_{\leq n \eta_{C}}$$

Compare with
$$\max_{i} \left| \frac{\delta \lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}} \right| \leq \kappa_{2}(H) \frac{\|\delta H\|_{2}}{\|H\|_{2}}$$

Van der Sluis: $||H_s^{-1}||_2 \le \kappa_2(H_s) \le n \min_{D=diag} \kappa_2(DHD)$. Our 3 × 3 example: $H = DH_sD$, $D = diag(10^{20}, 10^{10}, 1)$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 10^{40} & 10^{29} & 10^{19} \\ 10^{29} & 10^{20} & 10^{9} \\ 10^{19} & 10^{9} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = DH_sD = D \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.1 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 1 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} D,$$

 $\kappa_2(H) > 10^{40}, \kappa_2(H_s) < 1.4, \|H_s^{-1}\|_2 < 1.2.$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction

Backward stability Perturbations of the spectrum

Positive definiteness in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Positive definiteness in floating-point

Demmel and Veselić

Let $H = DH_sD$, where $D = \text{diag}(\sqrt{H_{ii}})_{i=1}^n$, and let $\lambda_{\min}(H_s)$ be the minimal eigenvalue of H_s .

If δH is symmetric perturbation such that $H + \delta H$ is not positive definite, then

$$\max_{1\leq i,j\leq n}\frac{|\delta H_{ij}|}{\sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}}\geq \frac{\lambda_{\min}(H_s)}{n}=\frac{1}{n\|H_s^{-1}\|_2}.$$

If $\delta H = -\lambda_{\min}(H_s)D^2$, then $\max_{i,j} \frac{|\delta H_{ij}|}{\sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}} = \lambda_{\min}(H_s)$ and $H + \delta H$ is singular.

If $||H_s^{-1}||_2$ is too big $(\gtrsim 1/\varepsilon)$ then *H* is entry–wise close to a non–definite matrix. Can say: *H* is numerically definite iff $||H_s^{-1}||_2 < 1/\varepsilon$.

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Introduction

Backward stability Perturbations of the spectrum

Positive definiteness in floating point

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Implicit definiteness

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 + k_2 & -k_2 & 0 \\ -k_2 & k_2 + k_3 & -k_3 \\ 0 & -k_3 & k_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $K = LL^T$, where

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{k_1} & 0 & 0 \\ -\sqrt{k_2} & \sqrt{k_2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{k_3} & \sqrt{k_3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{k_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{k_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{k_3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren One-sided Jacobi SVD Floating point Jaco Provable accuracy

SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

5 Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren One-sided Jacobi SVD Floating point Jacobi Provable accuracy SVD computation in floating point

6 Accurate PSVD and applications

Jacobi, 1844, 1846

AS NLA in CONTROL Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singula values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD Floating point Jaco

SVD computation in

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Ein leichtes Verfahren ... $H = H^T$, $H^{(k+1)} = U_k^T H^{(k)} U_k \longrightarrow \Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_i) \ (k \longrightarrow \infty)$ Each U_k annihilates (p_k, q_k) , (q_k, p_k) positions in $H^{(k)}$.

$$\cdots U_{3}^{T} U_{2}^{T} U_{1}^{T} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} U_{1} U_{2} U_{3} \cdots = \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \circledast & \otimes & 0 \\ \circledast & \bullet & \star & \bullet \\ 0 & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}$$
$$U_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi_{1} & \sin \psi_{1} \\ -\sin \psi_{1} & \cos \psi_{1} \end{pmatrix} \bigoplus I_{n-2}, \quad U_{2} = \cdots$$
$$\boxed{\text{Jacobi rotation}} \quad \cot 2\psi_{k} = \frac{H_{q_{k}q_{k}}^{(k)} - H_{p_{k}p_{k}}^{(k)}}{2H_{p_{k}q_{k}}^{(k)}},$$
$$\tan \psi_{k} = \frac{\operatorname{sign}(\cot 2\psi_{k})}{|\cot 2\psi_{k}| + \sqrt{1 + \cot^{2} 2\psi_{k}}} \in (-\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{4}],$$
$$p, q) = \mathcal{P}(k) \text{ pivot strategy}, \quad \mathcal{P} : \mathbb{N} \to \{(i, j) : i < j\}$$

Convergent strategies

Introduction

AS NLA in CONTROL

Zlatko Drmač

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD Floating point Jacob Provable accuracy SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks Jacobi: $|h_{pq}^{(k)}| = \max_{i \neq j} |h_{ij}^{(k)}|$, $\mathcal{P}(k) = (p, q)$. Reading Jacobi's 1846. paper recommended. Cyclic: \mathcal{P} periodic, one full period called sweep. Row–cyclic and column–cyclic:



 $H^{(k)} \longrightarrow \Lambda$, $U_1 \cdots U_k \cdots \longrightarrow U$ as $(k \longrightarrow \infty)$; $U^T HU = \Lambda$ Asymptotically quadratic reduction of $Off(H^{(k)})$. Forsythe, Henrici, Wilkinson, Rutishauser, Hari, Veselić Asymptotically cubic strategies exist.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q (~

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacobi Provable accuracy SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

One-sided Jacobi SVD

Hestenes used implicit Jacobi for SVD of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$: Diagonalize $H = H^{(0)} = A^T A$; $A \equiv A_0$. $H^{(1)} = V_0^T H^{(0)} V_0 = V_0^T A^T (AV_0) = A_1^T A_1$ $H^{(k+1)} = V_k^T H^{(k)} V_k = A_{k+1}^T A_{k+1} \longrightarrow \Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_i)$

 $\leftrightarrow \boxed{A_{k+1} = A_k V_k}, \text{ where } H^{(k)} = A_k^T A_k$ V_k uses Jacobi rotation to diagonalize

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_{pp}^{(k)} & h_{pq}^{k} \\ h_{qp}^{(k)} & h_{qq}^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{array}{c} h_{pp}^{(k)} = \|A_{k}(1:m,p)\|^{2} \\ h_{qq}^{(k)} = \|A_{k}(1:m,q)\|^{2} \\ h_{pq}^{(k)} = A_{k}(1:m,p)^{T}A_{k}(1:m,q) \end{array}$$

 $h_{pp}^{(k)}, h_{qq}^{(k)}$ scalar update; $h_{pq}^{(k)}$ BLAS1 SDOT $A_k \longrightarrow U\Sigma, \Sigma = \text{diag}(\sqrt{\lambda_i}), U^T U = I$ $V_1 \cdots V_k \cdots \longrightarrow V, V^T V = I, AV = U\Sigma$ $A = U\Sigma V^T$ the SVD of A.

◆□→ ◆□→ ◆目→ ◆目→ ◆□→ ◆□→

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacobi Provable accuracy SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

One-sided rotation $d_p = ||A_k(1:m,p)||^2, d_q = ||A_k(1:m,q)||^2,$ $\xi = A_k(1:m,p)^T A_k(1:m,q);$

ROTATE
$$(A_{1:m,p}, A_{1:m,q}, d_p, d_q, \xi, [V_{1:m,p}, V_{1:m,p}])$$

1: $\vartheta = \frac{d_q - d_p}{2 \cdot \xi}; t = \frac{\text{sign}(\vartheta)}{|\vartheta| + \sqrt{1 + \vartheta^2}};$
 $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + t^2}}; s = t \cdot c;$
2: $(A_{1:m,p} \ A_{1:m,q}) = (A_{1:m,p} \ A_{1:m,q}) \begin{pmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{pmatrix};$
3: $d_p = d_p - t \cdot \xi; d_q = d_q + t \cdot \xi;$
4: if V is wanted then
5: $(V_{1:n,p} \ V_{1:n,q}) = (V_{1:n,p} \ V_{1:n,q}) \begin{pmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{pmatrix};$
6: end if

Can avoid squared norms. Can use fast rotations. Unit stride memory access. Vectorizable. Parallelizable.

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacobi Provable accuracy SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Jacobi SVD $\hat{p} = n(n-1)/2$; s = 0; convergence = false; if V is wanted then initialize $V = I_n$ end if for i = 1 to n do $d_i = A_{1:m}^T A_{1:m,i}$ end for; repeat s = s + 1 : p = 0: for i = 1 to n - 1 do for j = i + 1 to n do $\xi = A_{1:m\,i}^T A_{1:m,i},$ if $|\xi| > m\varepsilon_{\sqrt{d_i d_i}}$ then call ROTATE($A_{1:m,i}, A_{1:m,i}, d_i, d_i, \xi, [V_{1:m,i}, V_{1:m,i}]$); else p = p + 1 end if end for end for if $p = \hat{p}$ then convergence=true; go to > end if until s > 30• if convergence then $\Sigma_{ii} = \sqrt{d_i}$, $U_{1:m,i} = A_{1:m,i}\Sigma_{ii}^{-1}$, i = 1 : n;

else Error: Did not converge in 30 sweeps. end if

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Ein leichtes Verfahren One-sided Jacobi

Floating point Jacobi

Provable accuracy SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks Jacobi in floating–point Breakthrough: Jacobi method is more accurate than QR! Demmel and Veselić: Let $\tilde{H}^{(k)}$, denote the computed matrices. Then, in the positive definite case, one step of Jacobi in floating–point arithmetic reads

$$ilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k+1)} = \hat{U}_k^{\mathsf{T}} (ilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)} + \delta ilde{\mathcal{H}}^{(k)}) \hat{U}_k$$

where \hat{U}_k is exactly orthogonal and ε -close to the actually used Jacobi rotation \tilde{U}_k , and $\delta \tilde{H}^{(k)}$ is sparse with

$$\mathbf{e}_{k} = \max_{i,j} \frac{|(\delta \tilde{H}^{(k)})_{ij}|}{\sqrt{(\tilde{H}^{(k)})_{ii}(\tilde{H}^{(k)})_{jj}}} \leq \epsilon$$

Relative perturbation of eigenvalues in the *k*-step bounded by $n\mathbf{e}_k \| (\tilde{H}_s^{(k)})^{-1} \|_2$, $\tilde{H}_s^{(k)}$ scaled to have unit diagonal. IMPORTANT: Stop when $\max_{i \neq j} | (\tilde{H}_s^{(k)})_{ij} | \leq \epsilon$ The accuracy depends on $\max_k \| (\tilde{H}_s^{(k)})^{-1} \|_2$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

SVD Floating point Jacobi

Provable accuracy SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Jacobi in floating-point

If the entries of the initial *H* are given with relative uncertainty ε , then:

- The spectrum is determined up to relative error of order of nε ||H_s⁻¹|| (H_s diagonally scaled H to have unit diagonal)
- The symmetric Jacobi method introduces perturbation of the order of $n\mathbf{e}_k \max_k \|(\tilde{H}_{\mathbf{s}}^{(k)})^{-1}\|_2$

Numerical evidence: $\max_k \|(\tilde{H}_s^{(k)})^{-1}\|_2$ behaves well. Theoretical (still open) problem: Bound

$$\max_{k \ge 1} \frac{\|(H_s^{(k)})^{-1}\|_2}{\|H_s^{-1}\|_2} \text{ or } \max_{k \ge 1} \frac{\kappa_2(H_s^{(k)})}{\kappa_2(H_s)}$$

Demmel, Veselić, Slapničar, Mascarenhas, Drmač

Provable accuracy

Let $H = LL^T \succ 0$, *L* Cholesky factor. Use Veselić–Hari trick:

- If we apply Jacobi SVD to *L*, $LV = U\Sigma$, where *V* is the product of Jacobi rotations, then $H = U\Sigma^2 U^T$.
- So, can apply Jacobi and get eigenvectors without accumulation of Jacobi rotations! This reduces flop count, memory requirements and memory traffic!
- This implicitly diagonalizes $L^T L$, which is similar to $H = LL^T$, and it is actually one step of the Rutishauser's LR method. If *L* is computed with pivoting, then $L^T L$ is 'more diagonal' than *H*.
- The cost of Cholesky $(n^3/3)$ much less than one sweep of Jacobi $(2n^3$ with fast rotations).
- In floating point

$$\tilde{L}\tilde{L}^{T} = H + \delta H, \quad \max_{i,j} \frac{|\delta H_{ij}|}{\sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}} \leq \eta_{C} \lesssim n\varepsilon$$

Zlatko Drmač

AS NLA in CONTROL

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

```
Jacobi method
```

Ein leichtes Verfahren

- One-sided Jacobi SVD
- Floating point Jacobi

Provable accuracy

SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

- Ein leichtes Verfahren
- One-sided Jacobi SVD
- Floating point Jacobi

Provable accuracy

- SVD computation in floating point
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

Provable accuracy

Now to the SVD of \tilde{L} : One sided Jacobi SVD $\tilde{L}V_1 V_2 \cdots V_k \cdots V_\ell \rightarrow \tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma}$ In floating point

•
$$\tilde{L} \leftarrow (((\tilde{L}_1 + \delta \tilde{L}_1)\hat{V}_1 + \delta \tilde{L}_2)\hat{V}_2 + \delta \tilde{L}_3)\hat{V}_3 + \cdots$$

- If y = xV, V rotation, x, y row vectors, then $\tilde{y} = (x + \delta x)\hat{V}$, \hat{V} orthogonal, $\|\delta x\| \le 6\varepsilon \|x\|$.
- Hence, each row of δL
 _i is ε small relative to the corresponding row of L
 _i. The Ŷ_j with j ≠ i do not change the row norms of δL
 _i.
- At convergence, $\tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma} = (\tilde{L} + \delta \tilde{L})\hat{V}$, with $\tilde{\Sigma} = \text{diag}(\tilde{\sigma}_i)$, $\|\delta \tilde{L}(i,:)\| \leq O(n)\varepsilon \|\tilde{L}(i,:)\|$ for all *i*.
- $\tilde{\lambda}_i = \tilde{\sigma}_i^2$ are the eigenvalues of $(\tilde{L} + \delta \tilde{L})(\tilde{L} + \delta \tilde{L})^T$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacob SVD

Floating point Jacobi

Provable accuracy

SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Provable accuracy

$$(\tilde{L} + \delta \tilde{L})(\tilde{L} + \delta \tilde{L})^T = \tilde{L}\tilde{L}^T + \underbrace{\tilde{L}\delta\tilde{L}^T + \delta\tilde{L}\tilde{L}^T + \delta\tilde{L} + \delta\tilde{L}^T}_{E}$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\begin{split} |E_{ij}| &\leq 2O(n\varepsilon) \|\tilde{L}(i,:)\| \|\tilde{L}(j,:)\| + O(\varepsilon^2) \|\tilde{L}(i,:)\| \|\tilde{L}(j,:)\| \\ &\approx (O(n\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^2)) \sqrt{(\tilde{L}\tilde{L}^T)_{ii}(\tilde{L}\tilde{L}^T)_{jj}} \\ &\approx (O(n\varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^2)) \sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}, \\ &\text{ since } \tilde{L}\tilde{L}^T = H + \delta H, \ \max_{i,j} \frac{|\delta H_{ij}|}{\sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}} \leq \eta_C \lessapprox n\varepsilon \end{split}$$

So, we have the eigenvalues of

$$\tilde{L}\tilde{L}^{T} + E = H + \delta H + E = H + \Delta H, \quad \max_{i,j} \frac{|\Delta H_{ij}|}{\sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}} \leq O(n\varepsilon)$$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacobi

Provable accuracy

SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Provable accuracy-conclusion

If $H \succ 0$ then

- The algorithm:
 - 1. Compute the Cholesky factorization $H = LL^T$;
 - 2. Compute $L = U \Sigma V^T$ using one-sided Jacobi SVD;
 - 3. Output: Set $\Lambda = \Sigma^2$; $H = U \Lambda U^T$

computes the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of *H* with entry–wise small backward error $\max_{i,j} \frac{|\Delta H_{ij}|}{\sqrt{H_{ii}H_{ij}}} \leq O(n\varepsilon)$.

- The forward error is $\max_i |\delta \lambda_i| / \lambda_i \leq O(n^2 \varepsilon) \|H_s^{-1}\|_2$.
- Most of the forward error comes from Step 1. Step 2. in floating point is as good as exact SVD.
- If Cholesky in Step 1 fails to compute *L*, then the matrix is entry–wise close to a non–definite matrix, and smallest eigenvalue can be lost due to symmetric tiny entry–wise perturbations.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○○

• All computations in one $n \times n$ array.

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacobi Provable accuracy

SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

SVD perturbation theory
et rank(A) =
$$n \le m$$
, $D = \text{diag}(||A(:,i)||)$, and
 $A \mapsto A + \delta A \implies \sigma_j \mapsto \sigma_j + \delta \sigma_j$.
 $A + \delta A = (I + \delta A A^{\dagger}) A \Longrightarrow \max_j \frac{|\tilde{\sigma}_j - \sigma_j|}{\sigma_j} \le ||\delta A A^{\dagger}||,$
 $||\delta A A^{\dagger}|| \le \begin{cases} \frac{||\delta A||}{||A||} (||A^{\dagger}||||A||) = \epsilon \cdot \kappa(A), \\ ||\delta A D^{-1}|| ||(A D^{-1})^{\dagger}||. \end{cases}$
 $\delta A D^{-1}|| \le \sqrt{n} \max_j \frac{||\delta A(:,j)||}{||A(:,j)||} \le \sqrt{n}\varepsilon;$
 $(A D^{-1})^{\dagger}|| = ||A_j^{\dagger}|| \le \sqrt{n} \min_{\Delta = diag} \kappa(A \Delta)$

$$\begin{split} \|\delta AD^{-1}\| &\leq \sqrt{n} \max_{j} \frac{\|\delta A(z,j)\|}{\|A(z,j)\|} \leq \sqrt{n}\varepsilon; \\ \|(AD^{-1})^{\dagger}\| &\equiv \|A_{s}^{\dagger}\| \leq \sqrt{n} \min_{\Delta = diag} \kappa(A\Delta) \\ \text{Possible: } \|A_{s}^{\dagger}\| \ll \kappa(A); \text{ always } \|A_{s}^{\dagger}\| \leq \sqrt{n}\kappa(A). \\ \text{Jacobi SVD: } \|A_{s}^{\dagger}\| \longrightarrow \text{more accurate }. \\ \text{bidiagonal SVD: } \kappa(A) \longrightarrow \text{less accurate }, \\ \text{bidiagonalization provokes } \kappa(A). \\ \text{Jacobi++ SVD: } A = D_{1}CD_{2} \rightarrow D_{1}(C + \delta C)D_{2}. \\ \end{split}$$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacob Provable accuracy

SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks **QRF preprocessor for Jacobi** A = QR; $[\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}] = qr(A)$, \tilde{Q}, \tilde{R} computed. Backward error analysis:

$$(\exists \delta A) \ (\exists \hat{Q}, \ \hat{Q}^T \hat{Q} = I) \ A + \delta A = \hat{Q}\tilde{R},$$
$$\|\delta A(:, i)\| \le \epsilon_1 \|A(:, i)\|, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$
Perturbation analysis: $\sigma_i(\tilde{R}) = \sigma_i((I + \delta A A^{\dagger})A)$

$$1 - \|\delta A A^{\dagger}\| \leq rac{\sigma_i(ilde{R})}{\sigma_i(A)} \leq 1 + \|\delta A A^{\dagger}\|, ext{ for all } i.$$

Let $A = A_s D$, D = diag(||A(:, i)||).

$$\|\delta AA^{\dagger}\| = \|\delta AD^{-1}(AD^{-1})^{\dagger}\| \le \sqrt{n} \max_{i} \frac{\|\delta A(:,i)\|}{\|A(:,i)\|} \|A_{s}^{\dagger}\|$$

$$\|A_{s}^{\dagger}\| \leq \kappa(A_{s}) \leq \sqrt{n} \min_{\Delta = \text{diag}} \kappa(A\Delta)$$

If $\kappa(A_s)$ is moderate, then $SVD(\tilde{R})$ is OK for the SVD(A).

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Ein leichtes Verfahren

One-sided Jacobi SVD

Floating point Jacob

Provable accuracy

SVD computation in floating point

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

Strong backward stability Jacobi SVD(\tilde{R}): $\tilde{R}^T V = U\Sigma$. Computed: $[\tilde{U}, \tilde{V}, \tilde{\Sigma}] = \texttt{JacobiSVD}(\tilde{R}^T)$. Jacobi rotations \tilde{V} such that

$$\max_{i\neq j} \left| \cos \angle ((\tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma})\boldsymbol{e}_i, (\tilde{U}\tilde{\Sigma})\boldsymbol{e}_j) \right| \leq O(n) \mathbf{u}$$

Error analysis:

$$(\exists \delta \tilde{R}) \ (\exists \hat{V}, \ \hat{V}^T \hat{V} = I) \ (\tilde{R} + \delta \tilde{R})^T \hat{V} = (\tilde{U} \tilde{\Sigma})$$
$$\|\delta \tilde{R}(:, i)\| \le \epsilon_2 \|\tilde{R}(:, i)\|, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{R} + \delta \tilde{R} &= \hat{Q}^{T} (A + \delta A) + \hat{Q}^{T} \hat{Q} \delta \tilde{R} \\ &= \hat{Q}^{T} (A + \underbrace{\delta A + \hat{Q} \delta \tilde{R}}_{\Delta A}) \end{split}$$

where $\|\Delta A(:,i)\| \le (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2(1 + \epsilon_1)) \|A(:,i)\|$ for all *i*, and the SVD is $(A + \Delta A)^T \hat{Q} \hat{V} = \tilde{U} \tilde{\Sigma}$. Very nice and simple. Accurate.

Zlatko Drmač

Accurate PSVD and applications

3 Numerical rank revealing

6 Accurate PSVD and applications Accurate PSVD RRD of structured matrices Rational approximation



・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

-
Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD RRD of structured matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

Entry–wise backward stability also possible for $HMx = \lambda x$.

- Use contragredient scaling H := DHD, $M := D^{-1}MD^{-1}$ to get all $M_{ii} = 1$. Here $D = \text{diag}(\sqrt{M_{ii}})_{i=1}^{n}$.
- Cholesky f. $H := P^T H P = L_H L_H^T$, $M = L_M L_M^T$
- $HM = PL_H L_H^T P^T L_M L_M^T = L_M^{-T} (L_M^T P L_H L_H^T P^T L_M) L_M^T$
- $HM = L_M^{-T}(AA^T)L_M$, $A = L_M^T PL_H$, $L_H = L_{H,s}D_H$
- Compute $A = (L_M^T P)L_H$. (No fast matrix–multiply allowed. Must pay $O(n^3)$.)
- Compute the SVD $A = U\Sigma V^T$ using the Jacobi SVD $(AV = U\Sigma, AA^T = U\Sigma^2 U^T)$.
- Assemble: $T = DL_M^{-T}U\Sigma^{1/2}$.
- It holds $T^{-1}HT^{-T} = T^TMT = \Sigma$

+definite $HMx = \lambda x$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD RRD of structure matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

Accurate solution

The algorithm solves

$$(H + \delta H)(M + \delta M)\mathbf{x} = \tilde{\lambda}\mathbf{x}$$

exactly, with symmetric δH , δM ,

$$\frac{|\delta H_{ij}|}{\sqrt{H_{ii}H_{jj}}} \le f(n) \cdot \varepsilon, \quad \frac{|\delta M_{ij}|}{\sqrt{M_{ii}M_{jj}}} \le g(n, L_{H,s}) \cdot \varepsilon, \quad 1 \le i, j \le n$$
$$\frac{|\delta \lambda|}{\lambda} \le h(n)(||H_s^{-1}|| + ||M_s^{-1}||) \cdot \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon = \text{eps.}$$

 $H_s = \operatorname{diag}(H)^{-1/2} H \operatorname{diag}(H)^{-1/2}, \kappa_2(H_s) \leq n \min_{D = diag} \kappa_2(DHD)$ All λ 's stable IFF $||H_s^{-1}||$ and $||M_s^{-1}||$ moderate. We have optimal accuracy.

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD RRD of structured matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks Implicit diagonalization of *HM* is actually computing the SVD of a product of two matrices, $BC^{T} = U\Sigma V^{T}$. $A = BC^{T} = U\Sigma V^{T}$, *B*, *C* full column rank

$$BC^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare & \blacksquare \end{pmatrix}$$

- A=yxGEMM(B,C^T) fastesssst matrix multiply
- CALL yxGESDD(A) fastesssst SVD
- $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ -1 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2+2\epsilon & 2+\epsilon \\ -2+2\epsilon & -2+\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ -2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$
- $U_2 B U_1^T U_1 C^T U_3 \rightsquigarrow \Sigma$, U_i orthogonal

PSVD

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singula values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD RRD of structured matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

•
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ -1 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ -2 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$
, ϵ not happy
• $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \epsilon \\ -1 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix} U_1^T U_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$
• $U_1 C^T = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{8} & \frac{\sqrt{18}}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$
• $BU_1^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \epsilon & -1 + \epsilon \\ -1 + \epsilon & 1 + \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

- ϵ happy because U_1 is orthogonal ?!
- backward errors: $\|\delta B\| \lesssim \exp \|B\|$, $\|\delta C\| \lesssim \exp \|C\|$
- Is that the best we can do?

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD

RRD of structure matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

How to compute the SVD of a product of two matrices, $BC^{T} = U\Sigma V^{T}$, accurately?

PSVD: $SVD(BC^T)$



•
$$C\Delta_B P = Q\begin{pmatrix} R\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}; BC^T = (B\Delta_B^{-1}P)(R^T \quad 0) Q^T;$$

• $A = (B\Delta_B^{-1}P)R^T; R^T = \begin{pmatrix} \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare \end{pmatrix} = \text{well.cond} \times \text{diag.}$
• $[U, \Sigma, V_1] = \text{SVD}(A)_{\text{Jacobi}}; V = Q\begin{pmatrix} V_1 & 0\\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD RRD of structure matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

And what about BPR^{T} ? $BPT^{T} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{pmatrix}$

Consider $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \ell_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ \ell_{21} & \ell_{22} & 0 \\ \ell_{31} & \ell_{32} & \ell_{33} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{a}_{3} &= (b_{3} + \delta b_{3})\ell_{33} \\ \tilde{a}_{2} &= (b_{2} + \delta_{2}b_{2})\ell_{22} + (b_{3} + \delta_{2}b_{3})\ell_{32} \\ &= (b_{2} + \delta_{2}b_{2})\ell_{22} + (b_{3} + \delta b_{3} - \delta b_{3} + \delta_{2}b_{3}) \\ &= (b_{2} + \delta_{2}b_{2} + (\delta_{2}b_{3} - \delta b_{3})\frac{\ell_{32}}{\ell_{22}})\ell_{22} + (b_{3} + \delta b_{3})\ell_{33} \\ &= (b_{2} + \delta b_{2})\ell_{22} + (b_{3} + \delta b_{3})\ell_{33} \\ (\tilde{a}_{2} \quad \tilde{a}_{3}) &= (b_{2} + \delta b_{2} \quad b_{3} + \delta b_{3}) L, \quad L = \tilde{R}^{T} \end{split}$$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD

RRD of structure matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

Backward stability

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★ □▶ ★ □▶ → □ → の Q (~

- $C = Q\begin{pmatrix} R\\ 0 \end{pmatrix};$ • $C + \delta C = \tilde{Q}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{R}\\ 0 \end{pmatrix};$
 - $\|\delta C(:,i)\| \le \epsilon \|C(:,i)\|$, for all columns *i*
- $A = BR^T$;
 - $\tilde{A} = (B + \delta B)\tilde{R}^T$,
 - $\|\delta B(\dot{\cdot}, i)\| \le \epsilon \|B(\cdot, i)\|$, for all columns *i*
- $(B + \delta B)(C + \delta C)^T = (I + \delta B B^{\dagger}) B C^T (I + \delta C C^{\dagger})^T$
- $B = B_{scaled}D$, $scond(B) = cond(B_{scaled})$

Theoretical accuracy

(日) (圖) (E) (E)



AS NLA in CONTROL

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and application

Accurate PSVD RRD of structure matrices Rational

Concluding remarks

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD RRD of structured matrices Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

Measured accuracy



・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー うへぐ

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications Accurate PSVD RRD of structured matrices

Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

Rank Revealing Decomposition

In a +60 pages LAA paper Demmel, Drmač, Gu, Eisenstat, Slapničar, Veselić (DGESVD paper) noted that some classes of matrices allow so called Rank Revealing Decomposition (RRD),

 $P_1AP_2 = LDU, P_1, P_2$ permutations,

where *D* is diagonal, and *L* and *U* are well conditioned. Moreover, *L*, *D*, *U* can be computed in a forward stable way. An example of a RRD of *A* is obtained by non–standard Gaussian eliminations using certain structural properties of *A*. More examples by Demmel and Koev.

Then, we can use the accurate PSVD algorithm and get the SVD of *LDU*.

Example: Cauchy matrix $C_{ij} = 1/(x_i + y_j)$ (displacement rank one, $XC + CY = d_1 d_2^T$)

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

RRD of structured matrices

Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

Cauchy matrices

$$\det(\mathbf{C}) = \frac{\prod_{i < j} (\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i)(\mathbf{y}_j - \mathbf{y}_i)}{\prod_{i,j} (\mathbf{x}_i + \mathbf{y}_j)}$$

Can get accurate *LDU* at high cost, $O(n^5)$. Then Demmel reduced it to the usual $O(n^3)$ using the recursive structure of the Schur complement.

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ C_{21}C_{11}^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} \\ 0 & S^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$S_{ij}^{(k)} = S_{ij}^{(k-1)} \frac{(x_i - x_k)(y_j - y_k)}{(x_k + y_j)(x_i + y_k)}$$

Straightforward extension to Cauchy–like matrices $D_1 CD_2$, D_i diagonal. Simplified for symmetric positive definite cases.

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- RRD of structured matrices
- Rational
- Concluding remarks

After computing C = LDU, one applies accurate Jacobi

An illustration

- PSVD to the product (LD)U. All forward stable, but the spectrum is ill-conditioned! An illustration of the power of this algorithm is the example
- of 100×100 Hilbert matrix H_{100} . Computation done by Demmel:
 - The singular values of H₁₀₀ range over 150 orders of magnitude and are computed using the package Mathematica with 200–decimal digit software floating point arithmetic. The computed singular values are rounded to 16 digits and used as reference values.
 - The singular values computed in IEEE double precision floating–point ($\varepsilon \approx 10^{-16}$) by the Jacobi PSVD agree with the reference values with relative error less than $34 \cdot \varepsilon$.

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Accurate PSVD RRD of structure matrices

Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

Rational approximation

New highly accurate NLA algorithms open new possibilities in other computational tasks.

For instance, Haut and Beylkin (2011) used Adamyan–Arov–Krein theory to show that nearly L^{∞} –optimal rational approximation on |z| = 1 of

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\alpha_i}{z - \gamma_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\overline{\alpha_i} z}{1 - \overline{\gamma_i} z} + \alpha_0$$

with $\max_{|z|=1} |f(z) - r(z)| \rightsquigarrow \min$,

$$r(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\beta_i}{z - \eta_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\overline{\beta_i}z}{1 - \overline{\eta_i}z} + \alpha_0$$

is numerically feasible if one can compute the con–eigenvalues and con–eigenvectors

$$Cu = \lambda \overline{u}, \quad C_{ij} = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_i}\sqrt{\overline{\alpha_j}}}{\gamma_i^{-1} - \overline{\gamma_j}} \longleftrightarrow \frac{\alpha_i \overline{\alpha_j}}{1 - \gamma_i \overline{\gamma_j}}$$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

RRD of structured matrices

Rational approximation

Concluding remarks

Con-eigenvalues

Here $C = (\frac{\sqrt{\alpha_i}\sqrt{\alpha_j}}{\gamma_i^{-1} - \overline{\gamma_j}})$ is positive definite Cauchy matrix *C*. The con–eigenvalue problem $Cu = \lambda \overline{u}$ is equivalent to solving

$$\overline{C}Cu=|\lambda|^2u,$$

where *C* is factored as $C = XD^2X^*$. The problem reduces to computing the SVD of the product $G = DX^T XD$. Accurate SVD via the PSVD based on the Jacobi SVD. Haut and Beylkin tested the accuracy with $\kappa_2(C) > 10^{200}$ and using Mathematica with 300 hundred digits for reference values. Over 500 test examples of size 120, the maximal error in IEEE 16 digit arithmetic ($\varepsilon \approx 2.2 \cdot 10^{-16}$) was

$$\frac{|\tilde{\lambda}_i - \lambda_i|}{|\lambda_i|} < 5.2 \cdot 10^{-12}, \quad \frac{\|\tilde{u}_i - u_i\|_2}{\|u_i\|_2} < 5.4 \cdot 10^{-12}.$$

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

Scaling: examples

Numerical rank revealing

Eigenvalues and singular values

Jacobi method

Accurate PSVD and applications

Concluding remarks

1 Introduction

Scaling: examples

3 Numerical rank revealing

4 Eigenvalues and singular values

5 Jacobi method

6 Accurate PSVD and applications



.

・ロト ・雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

3

Zlatko Drmač

Introduction

- Scaling: examples
- Numerical rank revealing
- Eigenvalues and singular values
- Jacobi method
- Accurate PSVD and applications
- Concluding remarks

Concluding remarks

- Ill-conditioning can be artificial, an artifact of a particular algorithm, and not the underlying problem. In many cases accurate computation is possible, despite high classical condition numbers.
- Backward stability is often used to justify the result. Structured backward error can yield better results.
- Using only orthogonal transformations does not automatically guarantee good results.
- Users from applied sciences and engineering often not interested in math details, just solutions, software.
 Need robust reliable and efficient numerical software. Is trading accuracy for speed avoidable?
- Challenging problems for numerical linear algebra. Higher standards for new algorithms.