



Model Reduction for Dynamical Systems

— Lecture 5 —

Peter Benner

Max Planck Institute for Dynamics of Complex Technical Systems
Computational Methods in Systems and Control Theory
Magdeburg, Germany

benner@mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de

www.mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de/research/groups/csc/lehre/2012_SS_MOR/

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Model Reduction for Dynamical Systems
 - Application Areas
 - Motivating Examples

- 2 Mathematical Basics
 - Numerical Linear Algebra
 - Systems and Control Theory
 - Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error System Norms

Consider transfer function

$$G(s) = C (sI - A)^{-1} B + D$$

and input functions $u \in \mathcal{L}_2^m \cong L_2^m(-\infty, \infty)$, with the 2-norm

$$\|u\|_2^2 := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(j\omega)^* u(j\omega) d\omega.$$

Assume A (asymptotically) stable: $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^- := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$.

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error

System Norms

Consider transfer function

$$G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D$$

and input functions $u \in \mathcal{L}_2^m \cong L_2^m(-\infty, \infty)$, with the 2-norm

$$\|u\|_2^2 := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(j\omega)^* u(j\omega) d\omega.$$

Assume A (asymptotically) stable: $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^- := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$.
Then for all $s \in \mathbb{C}^+ \cup j\mathbb{R}$, $\|G(s)\| \leq M < \infty \Rightarrow$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y(j\omega)^* y(j\omega) d\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(j\omega)^* G(j\omega)^* G(j\omega) u(j\omega) d\omega$$

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error

System Norms

Consider transfer function

$$G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D$$

and input functions $u \in \mathcal{L}_2^m \cong L_2^m(-\infty, \infty)$, with the 2-norm

$$\|u\|_2^2 := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(j\omega)^* u(j\omega) d\omega.$$

Assume A (asymptotically) stable: $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^- := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$.
Then for all $s \in \mathbb{C}^+ \cup j\mathbb{R}$, $\|G(s)\| \leq M < \infty \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y(j\omega)^* y(j\omega) d\omega &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(j\omega)^* G(j\omega)^* G(j\omega) u(j\omega) d\omega \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|G(j\omega)u(j\omega)\|^2 d\omega \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M^2 \|u(j\omega)\|^2 d\omega \end{aligned}$$

(Here, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidian vector or spectral matrix norm.)

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error

System Norms

Consider transfer function

$$G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D$$

and input functions $u \in \mathcal{L}_2^m \cong L_2^m(-\infty, \infty)$, with the 2-norm

$$\|u\|_2^2 := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(j\omega)^* u(j\omega) d\omega.$$

Assume A (asymptotically) stable: $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^- := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$.
Then for all $s \in \mathbb{C}^+ \cup j\mathbb{R}$, $\|G(s)\| \leq M < \infty \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y(j\omega)^* y(j\omega) d\omega &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(j\omega)^* G(j\omega)^* G(j\omega) u(j\omega) d\omega \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|G(j\omega)u(j\omega)\|^2 d\omega \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M^2 \|u(j\omega)\|^2 d\omega \\ &= M^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(j\omega)^* u(j\omega) d\omega < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(Here, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidian vector or spectral matrix norm.)

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error

System Norms

Consider transfer function

$$G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D$$

and input functions $u \in \mathcal{L}_2^m \cong L_2^m(-\infty, \infty)$, with the 2-norm

$$\|u\|_2^2 := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(j\omega)^* u(j\omega) d\omega.$$

Assume A (asymptotically) stable: $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^- := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$.
Then for all $s \in \mathbb{C}^+ \cup j\mathbb{R}$, $\|G(s)\| \leq M < \infty \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y(j\omega)^* y(j\omega) d\omega &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(j\omega)^* G(j\omega)^* G(j\omega) u(j\omega) d\omega \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|G(j\omega)u(j\omega)\|^2 d\omega \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} M^2 \|u(j\omega)\|^2 d\omega \\ &= M^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(j\omega)^* u(j\omega) d\omega < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in \mathcal{L}_2^p \cong L_2^p(-\infty, \infty).$$

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error

System Norms

Consider transfer function

$$G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D$$

and input functions $u \in \mathcal{L}_2^m \cong L_2^m(-\infty, \infty)$, with the 2-norm

$$\|u\|_2^2 := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(j\omega)^* u(j\omega) d\omega.$$

Assume A (asymptotically) stable: $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^- := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$.
Consequently, the 2-induced operator norm

$$\|G\|_\infty := \sup_{\|u\|_2 \neq 0} \frac{\|Gu\|_2}{\|u\|_2}$$

is well defined. It can be shown that

$$\|G\|_\infty = \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \|G(j\omega)\| = \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \sigma_{\max}(G(j\omega)).$$

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error

System Norms

Consider transfer function

$$G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D$$

and input functions $u \in \mathcal{L}_2^m \cong L_2^m(-\infty, \infty)$, with the 2-norm

$$\|u\|_2^2 := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(j\omega)^* u(j\omega) d\omega.$$

Assume A (asymptotically) stable: $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^- := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z < 0\}$.
Consequently, the 2-induced operator norm

$$\|G\|_{\infty} := \sup_{\|u\|_2 \neq 0} \frac{\|Gu\|_2}{\|u\|_2}$$

is well defined. It can be shown that

$$\|G\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \|G(j\omega)\| = \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \sigma_{\max}(G(j\omega)).$$

Sketch of proof:

$$\|G(j\omega)u(j\omega)\| \leq \|G(j\omega)\| \|u(j\omega)\| \Rightarrow "\leq".$$

$$\text{Construct } u \text{ with } \|Gu\|_2 = \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \|G(j\omega)\| \|u\|_2.$$

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error

System Norms

Consider transfer function

$$G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D.$$

Hardy space \mathcal{H}_∞

Function space of matrix-/scalar-valued functions that are analytic and bounded in \mathbb{C}^+ .

The \mathcal{H}_∞ -norm is

$$\|F\|_\infty := \sup_{\operatorname{Re} s > 0} \sigma_{\max}(F(s)) = \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \sigma_{\max}(F(j\omega)).$$

Stable transfer functions are in the Hardy spaces

- \mathcal{H}_∞ in the SISO case (single-input, single-output, $m = p = 1$);
- $\mathcal{H}_\infty^{p \times m}$ in the MIMO case (multi-input, multi-output, $m > 1, p > 1$).

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error System Norms

Consider transfer function

$$G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D.$$

Paley-Wiener Theorem (Parseval's equation/Plancherel Theorem)

$$L_2(-\infty, \infty) \cong \mathcal{L}_2, \quad L_2(0, \infty) \cong \mathcal{H}_2$$

Consequently, 2-norms in time and frequency domains coincide!

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error System Norms

Consider transfer function

$$G(s) = C(sI - A)^{-1}B + D.$$

Paley-Wiener Theorem (Parseval's equation/Plancherel Theorem)

$$L_2(-\infty, \infty) \cong \mathcal{L}_2, \quad L_2(0, \infty) \cong \mathcal{H}_2$$

Consequently, 2-norms in time and frequency domains coincide!

\mathcal{H}_∞ approximation error

Reduced-order model \Rightarrow transfer function $\hat{G}(s) = \hat{C}(sI_r - \hat{A})^{-1}\hat{B} + \hat{D}$.

$$\|y - \hat{y}\|_2 = \|Gu - \hat{G}u\|_2 \leq \|G - \hat{G}\|_\infty \|u\|_2.$$

\Rightarrow compute reduced-order model such that $\|G - \hat{G}\|_\infty < tol!$

Note: error bound holds in time- and frequency domain due to Paley-Wiener!

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error System Norms

Consider stable transfer function

$$G(s) = C (sI - A)^{-1} B, \quad \text{i.e. } D = 0.$$

Hardy space \mathcal{H}_2

Function space of matrix-/scalar-valued functions that are analytic \mathbb{C}^+ and bounded w.r.t. the \mathcal{H}_2 -norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|F\|_2 &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\sup_{\operatorname{Re} \sigma > 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|F(\sigma + j\omega)\|_F^2 d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|F(j\omega)\|_F^2 d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Stable transfer functions are in the Hardy spaces

- \mathcal{H}_2 in the SISO case (single-input, single-output, $m = p = 1$);
- $\mathcal{H}_2^{p \times m}$ in the MIMO case (multi-input, multi-output, $m > 1, p > 1$).

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error

System Norms

Consider stable transfer function

$$G(s) = C (sI - A)^{-1} B, \quad \text{i.e. } D = 0.$$

Hardy space \mathcal{H}_2

Function space of matrix-/scalar-valued functions that are analytic \mathbb{C}^+ and bounded w.r.t. the \mathcal{H}_2 -norm

$$\|F\|_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|F(j\omega)\|_F^2 d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

\mathcal{H}_2 approximation error for impulse response ($u(t) = u_0\delta(t)$)

Reduced-order model \Rightarrow transfer function $\hat{G}(s) = \hat{C}(sI_r - \hat{A})^{-1}\hat{B}$.

$$\|y - \hat{y}\|_2 = \|Gu_0\delta - \hat{G}u_0\delta\|_2 \leq \|G - \hat{G}\|_2 \|u_0\|.$$

\Rightarrow compute reduced-order model such that $\|G - \hat{G}\|_2 < tol!$

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error System Norms

Consider stable transfer function

$$G(s) = C (sI - A)^{-1} B, \quad \text{i.e. } D = 0.$$

Hardy space \mathcal{H}_2

Function space of matrix-/scalar-valued functions that are analytic \mathbb{C}^+ and bounded w.r.t. the \mathcal{H}_2 -norm

$$\|F\|_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|F(j\omega)\|_F^2 d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Theorem (Practical Computation of the \mathcal{H}_2 -norm)

$$\|F\|_2^2 = \text{tr} \left(B^T Q B \right) = \text{tr} \left(C P C^T \right),$$

where P, Q are the controllability and observability Gramians of the corresponding LTI system.

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error

Approximation Problems

\mathcal{H}_∞ -norm	best approximation problem for given reduced order r in general open; balanced truncation yields suboptimal solution with computable \mathcal{H}_∞ -norm bound.
\mathcal{H}_2 -norm	necessary conditions for best approximation known; (local) optimizer computable with iterative rational Krylov algorithm (IRKA)
Hankel-norm $\ G\ _H := \sigma_{\max}$	optimal Hankel norm approximation (AAK theory).

Qualitative and Quantitative Study of the Approximation Error

Computable error measures

Evaluating system norms is computationally very (sometimes too) expensive.

Other measures

- absolute errors $\|G(j\omega_j) - \hat{G}(j\omega_j)\|_2$, $\|G(j\omega_j) - \hat{G}(j\omega_j)\|_\infty$ ($j = 1, \dots, N_\omega$);
- relative errors $\frac{\|G(j\omega_j) - \hat{G}(j\omega_j)\|_2}{\|G(j\omega_j)\|_2}$, $\frac{\|G(j\omega_j) - \hat{G}(j\omega_j)\|_\infty}{\|G(j\omega_j)\|_\infty}$;
- "eyeball norm", i.e. look at **frequency response/Bode (magnitude) plot**:
for SISO system, log-log plot frequency vs. $|G(j\omega)|$ (or $|G(j\omega) - \hat{G}(j\omega)|$)
in decibels, $1 \text{ dB} \simeq 20 \log_{10}(\text{value})$.

For MIMO systems, $p \times m$ array of of plots G_{ij} .

