

## Scientific Computing 1 5th Homework

**Handout:** 11/17/2016

**Return:** 11/25/2016

---

### Exercise 1:

(6 Points)

Compute the forward error for the evaluation of the polynomial

$$P(x) = c_1x + c_2x^2$$

- a.) using direct evaluation and
- b.) using the Horner scheme.

Consider the case where  $x$  is close to a root of the polynomial and conclude which of those evaluation techniques is the more stable one.

In the IEEE 754-2008 standard the fused-multiply-add operation  $a \leftarrow a \pm (b \times c)$  is added to the set of basic instructions. What does that change (qualitatively and quantitatively) in the above considerations?

### Exercise 2:

(6 Points)

Determine the absolute and the relative condition numbers of

- a.)  $f(x) = \sin(x)$ ,
- b.)  $f(x) = \arctan(x)$ ,
- c.)  $f(x) = \sqrt{x \exp(x)}$ ,  $x > 0$ .

Which values of  $x$  will lead to high condition numbers?

### Exercise 3:

(4 Points)

For all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a fixed  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we define the following mapping  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :

$$f(x) = \langle x, v \rangle = v^T x.$$

Determine the condition of this mapping. For which  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the condition particularly small or particularly large?

**Exercise 4:****(4 Points)**

Use a backward error analysis to determine numerical stability of:

a.)  $f(x) = ax$  and

b.)  $g(x) = a + x$ .

Give conditions (where necessary) to guarantee stability. Assume that  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is fixed.

**Exercise 5:****(7 Points)**

We want to compute the following two integrals

$$I_1 := \int_{-20}^{20} e^x dx$$

and

$$I_2 := \int_{-20}^{20} e^{-x} dx$$

using a C program. Create a naive implementation of the *midpoint rule*:

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h f\left(a + ih + \frac{1}{2}h\right),$$

where  $h := \frac{b-a}{n}$ , in **single precision** arithmetic.

The integrals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are now approximated by employing  $n \in \{1024, 2048, 4096, 8192, 16384, 32768\}$  sampling points. Compare the results and prove them by computing the correct value of the integrals using their antiderivatives.

Analyze the reason behind the occurring errors and create a modified version of your implementation which avoids those errors **without** using double precision computations.

Compute the integral again with the sampling points  $n \in \{1000, 2000, 4000, 8000, 16000, 32000\}$ . What do you recognize in comparison to the previous results. Explain your observations.

**Overall Points: 27**