



MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE
FOR DYNAMICS OF COMPLEX
TECHNICAL SYSTEMS
MAGDEBURG



COMPUTATIONAL METHODS IN
SYSTEMS AND CONTROL THEORY

Model Reduction for Dynamical Systems

–Lecture 4–

Lihong Feng

Otto-von-Guericke Universitaet Magdeburg
Faculty of Mathematics
Summer term 2019

Max Planck Institute for Dynamics of Complex Technical Systems
Computational Methods in Systems and Control Theory
Magdeburg, Germany

feng@mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de

https://www.mpi-magdeburg.mpg.de/3668354/mor_ss19





1. Mathematical Basics III

Systems and control theory

Realizations of Linear Systems (with $E = I_n$ for simplicity)

Definition

The **McMillan degree** of Σ is the unique minimal number $\hat{n} \geq 0$ of states necessary to describe the input-output behavior completely.

A **minimal realization** is a realization $(\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D})$ of Σ with order \hat{n} .

Realizations of Linear Systems (with $E = I_n$ for simplicity)

Definition

The **McMillan degree** of Σ is the unique minimal number $\hat{n} \geq 0$ of states necessary to describe the input-output behavior completely.

A **minimal realization** is a realization $(\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, \hat{D})$ of Σ with order \hat{n} .

Theorem

A realization (A, B, C, D) of a linear system is minimal \iff
 (A, B) is controllable and (A, C) is observable.



Balanced Realizations

Definition

A realization (A, B, C, D) of a linear system Σ is **balanced** if its infinite controllability/observability Gramians P/Q satisfy

$$P = Q = \text{diag} \{ \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \} \quad (\text{w.l.o.g. } \sigma_j \geq \sigma_{j+1}, j = 1, \dots, n-1).$$



Balanced Realizations

Definition

A realization (A, B, C, D) of a linear system Σ is **balanced** if its infinite controllability/observability Gramians P/Q satisfy

$$P = Q = \text{diag} \{ \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \} \quad (\text{w.l.o.g. } \sigma_j \geq \sigma_{j+1}, j = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

When does a balanced realization exist?



Balanced Realizations

Definition

A realization (A, B, C, D) of a linear system Σ is **balanced** if its infinite controllability/observability Gramians P/Q satisfy

$$P = Q = \text{diag} \{ \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \} \quad (\text{w.l.o.g. } \sigma_j \geq \sigma_{j+1}, j = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

When does a balanced realization exist?

Assume A to be Hurwitz, i.e. $\Lambda(A) \subset \mathbb{C}^-$. Then:

Theorem

Given a **stable** minimal linear system $\Sigma : (A, B, C, D)$, a balanced realization is obtained by the state-space transformation with

$$T_b := \Sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} V^T R,$$

where $P = S^T S$, $Q = R^T R$ (e.g., Cholesky decompositions) and $SR^T = U \Sigma V^T$ is the SVD of SR^T .

Proof. Exercise!



Balanced Realizations

Definition

A realization (A, B, C, D) of a **stable** linear system Σ is **balanced** if its infinite controllability/observability Gramians P/Q satisfy

$$P = Q = \text{diag} \{ \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \} \quad (\text{w.l.o.g. } \sigma_j \geq \sigma_{j+1}, j = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

$\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ are the **Hankel singular values** of Σ .

Note: $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \geq 0$ as $P, Q \geq 0$ by definition, and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n > 0$ in case of minimality! For nonbalanced systems, the Hankel singular values can be computed by $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n\} = (\Lambda(PQ))^{\frac{1}{2}}$. I.e. they are the square roots of the eigenvalues of PQ .



Balanced Realizations

Definition

A realization (A, B, C, D) of a **stable** linear system Σ is **balanced** if its infinite controllability/observability Gramians P/Q satisfy

$$P = Q = \text{diag} \{ \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \} \quad (\text{w.l.o.g. } \sigma_j \geq \sigma_{j+1}, j = 1, \dots, n-1).$$

$\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ are the **Hankel singular values** of Σ .

Note: $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \geq 0$ as $P, Q \geq 0$ by definition, and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n > 0$ in case of minimality! For nonbalanced systems, the Hankel singular values can be computed by $\{ \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \} = (\Lambda(PQ))^{\frac{1}{2}}$. I.e. they are the square roots of the eigenvalues of PQ .

Theorem

The infinite controllability/observability Gramians P/Q satisfy the **Lyapunov equations**

$$AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0, \quad A^T Q + QA + C^T C = 0.$$



Balanced Realizations

Theorem

The infinite controllability/observability Gramians P/Q satisfy the **Lyapunov equations**

$$AP + PA^T + BB^T = 0, \quad A^T Q + QA + C^T C = 0.$$

Proof. (For controllability Gramian only, observability case is analogous!)

$$\begin{aligned} AP + PA^T + BB^T &= A \int_0^\infty e^{At} BB^T e^{A^T t} dt + \int_0^\infty e^{At} BB^T e^{A^T t} dt A^T + BB^T \\ &= \int_0^\infty \underbrace{Ae^{At} BB^T e^{A^T t} + e^{At} BB^T e^{A^T t} A^T}_{= \frac{d}{dt} e^{At} BB^T e^{A^T t}} dt + BB^T \\ &= \underbrace{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{At} BB^T e^{A^T t}}_{=0} - \underbrace{e^{A \cdot 0} BB^T}_{=I_n} \underbrace{e^{A^T \cdot 0}}_{=I_n} + BB^T \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

For stable systems, the solution to the Lyapunov equation is unique.



Balanced Realizations

Theorem

The Hankel singular values (HSVs) of a stable minimal linear system are system invariants, i.e. they are unaltered by state-space transformations!

Proof. The HSVs of a stable minimal linear system are $(\Lambda(PQ))^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Now let

$$(\hat{A}, \hat{B}, \hat{C}, D) = (TAT^{-1}, TB, CT^{-1}, D)$$

be any transformed realization with associated controllability Lyapunov equation

$$0 = \hat{A}\hat{P} + \hat{P}\hat{A}^T + \hat{B}\hat{B}^T = TAT^{-1}\hat{P} + \hat{P}T^{-T}A^TT^T + TBB^TT^T.$$

This is equivalent to

$$0 = A(T^{-1}\hat{P}T^{-T}) + (T^{-1}\hat{P}T^{-T})A^T + BB^T.$$

The uniqueness of the solution of the Lyapunov equation (for stable systems) implies that $\hat{P} = TPT^T$ and, analogously, $\hat{Q} = T^{-T}QT^{-1}$.



Balanced Realizations

Therefore,

$$\hat{P}\hat{Q} = TPQT^{-1},$$

showing that $\Lambda(\hat{P}\hat{Q}) = \Lambda(PQ) = \{\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2\}$.

Remark

For non-minimal systems, the Gramians can also be transformed into diagonal matrices with the leading $\hat{n} \times \hat{n}$ submatrices equal to $\text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{\hat{n}})$, and

$$\hat{P}\hat{Q} = \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_{\hat{n}}^2, 0, \dots, 0).$$

see [LAUB/HEATH/PAIGE/WARD 1987, TOMBS/POSTLETHWAITE 1987].